## **Davies, Fiona**

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Keith Lipman <keith.lipman@gmail.com> 28 October 2014 13:29 Nelson, Olivier Spinella, Gio; Baillie, Siobhan (Councillor) Applications for April House, 45 Maresfield Gardens, NW3</keith.lipman@gmail.com>
Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:	Follow up Flagged
Dear Mr Nelson	
I am writing regarding the va	rious planning applications at April House, 45 Maresfield Gardens.
your office, and I hope you to done, and I understand that site prior to visits by your offi	en carried out without paying attention to the permissions granted by ake a dim view of this. Little preventative action seems to have been there is some evidence of games by the developer in 'preparing' the cers. My interpretation is that the developer and owner is assuming their contraventions, and I hope you will prove them wrong.
2014/5724/P and 2014/5725	<u>/P</u>
garden level of the house. T	nder no. 45 are not acceptable. The original application enlarged the hese new works appear to have excavated backwards towards the ement. It should therefore be viewed as a basement which has been
<u>2014/1956/P</u>	
Extension at second floor lev	vel.

I live in the property directly opposite. The gap between April House and the Danish YWCA is an integral part of the street architecture and appearance, because it allows sunlight through and a view of the trees (which they wish to chop down in <a href="mailto:2014/6612/T">2014/6612/T</a>) If this extension were to be permitted, there would be practically no visible gap, which will change the appearance of the street.

Furthermore, this will block light to the window of the Danish YWCA on their side wall.

Again, I suspect that the creep of this project has continued because no firm action has happened. The owners of Flat 1, and Flat 2, have therefore assumed a benevolent regulatory environment while they have built contrary to the planning application and taken the chance of additional applications.

I wonder, back when permission was originally granted for the April House development, perhaps 25 years ago, were any conditions put on the property to ensure that the gap between numbers 43 and 45 was not closed down to zero?

Please close this down.

I would hope that the inspectorate will view retrospective applications in a dim light, and seek to bring any development back to how the permission was granted. Any deviation should be discussed prior to the works being carried out; to do otherwise is surely an insult to the planning department.

I hope that the Planning Department will refuse these applications and take some drastic enforcement action on the works to return it to its original condition. If not the residents in our area will have much to fear.

Yours sincerely

Keith Lipman

50 Maresfield Gardens

