

## **MTreister**

From: "MTreister"

Subject: PLANNING APPLICATION 2014/4949/P

Dear Sirs.

PLANNING APLICATION 2014/4949/P 65 COMPAYNE GARDENS, LONDON NW6 3DB

Further to my email of the 13th October 2014, in which I stated my objections to the proposed development of 65 Compayne Gardens, I wish to add that following on from the successful cmpaign that overturned an owner's right to build a basement in

Quadrant Grove, Kentish Town, I feel that my opposition to the plans for 65 Compayne Gardens have even more validity.

No 65 Compayne Gardens is a much larger structure than the small property in Kentish Town.

NB <a href="http://www.standard.co.uk/news/iondon/landmark-victory-over-londons-basement-developments-as-neighbours-win-fight-to-close-loophole-in-planning-rules-9815504.html">http://www.standard.co.uk/news/iondon/landmark-victory-over-londons-basement-developments-as-neighbours-win-fight-to-close-loophole-in-planning-rules-9815504.html</a>

Therefore, it follows that the application to build a basement at 65 Compayne Gardens should, if has not already done so, be viewed as major works requiring full planning permission.

As plans to build a basement in the teraced house in Kentish Town have now been overturned, it has become even more clear to me how unsuitable such an extension would be for 65 Compayne Gardens.

No 65 Compayne Gardens is too large for such a renovation and it would cause massive disturbance in the street while the works were taking place etc. etc.

Yours faithfully,	
Mrs JuneTreister, Flat A 63 Compayne Gardens London NW6 3DB	COPY IN POS
Tel No	