

BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT

for

192 HAVERSTOCK HILL

LONDON NW3 2AJ

by

Norman Train, C.Eng, F.I.StructE, F.I.C.E

10 Kennington Park Place Kennington London SE11 4AS England Tel +44 (0) 20 7582 1276 Fax +44 (0) 20 7582 5728 mail@trainandkemp.co.uk www.trainandkemp.co.uk



Designated Members N.C. Train 850 CEng Ristware HCE FCIAID M.W. Stone 8Eng CEng Ristware T.A. Roberts IEng AMIStructe Associates J. Murphy IEng AMIStructe G.J.G. Tyldesley 86ng CEng Mistructe Consultant M.J. Spencer CEng Ristware Geo-Environmental Consultant P. Crosby 850 Misc Octem MRSC



CONTENTS

- 1.0 Introduction
 - 1.1 BIA Stages

2.0 Existing Site and Environmental Setting

- 2.1 Existing Site
- 2.2 Topography and Levels
- 2.3 Proposed Scheme
- 2.4 Basement and Foundations
- 2.5
- 2.6 Geology and Hydrogeology
- 2.7 Water Courses and Flooding
- 2.8 Land Stability

3.0 Groundwater Flow

- 3.1 Stage 1 Screening
- 3.2 Stage 2 Scoping
- 3.3 Stage 3 Study and Site Investigation
- 3.4 Stage 4 Impact Assessment

4.0 Ground Stability

- 4.1 Stage 1 Screening
- 4.2 Stage 2 Scoping
- 4.3 Stage 3 Study and Site Investigation
- 4.4 Stage 4 Impact Assessment

5.0 Surface Flow and Flooding

- 5.1 Stage 1 Screening
- 5.2 Stage 2 Scoping
- 5.3 Stage 3 Study and Site Investigation
- 5.4 Stage 4 Impact Assessment

6.0 Additional Impact Assessments

- 6.1 Construction Plan
- 6.2 Sustainable Construction
- 6.3 Amenity and Landscape
- 6.4 Lightwells
- 6.5 Third Party Considerations and Impact on Neighbours
- 6.6 Cumulative Impacts

7.0 Summary

- Appendix 1: CPG4 Stage 1 Screening
- Appendix 2: Photographs
- Appendix 3: Site Location Plan and Foundation Proposals
- Appendix 4: Site Investigation

DN

TRAIN EKEMP

192 Haverstock Hill

Basement Impact Assessment

Document Control

| Revision | Date | Status |
|----------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 01 | 30 April 2012 | Final Issue |
| 02 | 2 May 2012 | Expansion of sections on topographical and sustainable construction |
| 03 | 31 May 2012 | Revised drawings |
| 04 | 8 June 2012 | Minor corrections |
| 05 | 3 Sept 2012 | Screening in Appendix 1 expanded, Section 3.7 Site Investigation |
| | | added and text developed to address feedback from planning officer |
| 06 | 7 Sept 2012 | Reformatted |
| 07 | 9 Oct 2012 | Site Investigation details added |
| 08 | 21 Oct 2014 | 2.3 Cantilever over shared access removed from upper floors |

This report has been prepared by Train and Kemp (Consulting Engineers) LLP in accordance with the instructions of its client. No liability is extended to other parties.

© Train and Kemp (Consulting Engineers) LLP 2012 to 2014

Norman Train C.Eng, FIStructE, FICE

Basement Impact Assessment

1. INTRODUCTION

This Basement Impact Assessment, BIA, has been prepared in support of the planning application for a mixed residential and commercial development of 192 Haverstock Hill. This BIA, has been prepared in accordance with LB of Camden CPG4, Basements and Lightwells and the Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study, CGHHS.

CPG4 screening questions are presented in Appendix 1 and Site Photographs are included in Appendix 2. A Site Location Plan and drawings of the Proposed Development are presented in Appendix 3. The site investigation letter report and borehole logs are presented in Appendix 4.

1.1 BIA Stages

A Stage 1 Screening has been completed, utilising the questions in CPG4, and these are presented in Appendix 1. An additional question, GW1B, has been added to the Groundwater screen set relating to water issues rather than water table.

The screening has been used to define the Stage 2 Scope of the Assessment.

As part of the Stage 3 site investigations and study, a site walk over was completed on 17 April and a meeting was held with London Underground relating to the Northern Line alignment. The desk top research included published geology, aquifer classification and flood data. Two boreholes were excavated to a maximum depth of 20m.

The Stage 4 Impact Assessment of the scheme is presented in Sections 3 to 6.

2. EXISTING SITE AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

For the purposes of this assessment, Haverstock Hill is taken to the west with Belsize Park Underground Station to the south. This means that Allingham Court is to the north and the Globe Tennis Club and parking are to the east.

2.1 Existing Site

192 Haverstock Hill is a narrow rectangle, having a street frontage along Haverstock Hill of 9m and a depth from front to rear of 33m. The site is currently occupied with a single storey shop unit without a basement. Photo 1. See Site Location Plan in Appendix 3. The surface of the site is impervious.

To the north of the site, as Nos 194 to 208 Haverstock Hill, is Allingham Court as a five storey block of flats with shops at the ground floor. Photos 1 & 3. Allingham Court does not have a basement.

To the south side of No 192 is a shared access leading to the Globe Lawn Tennis Club at 190A Haverstock Hill. The shared access is a cul de sac which provides vehicular access to the tennis club's car parking; at its narrowest point this access is 4m wide. Photos 2 to 4. Behind the site to the east is a parking bay on the shared access.

The shared access is under the control of LB of Camden Estates; it is not a formal highway, but clearly the access is subjected to traffic loadings from cars and lorries accessing the tennis club car parking.

There are no signs of distress or significant movement in any of the adjacent buildings.

London Underground Tunnels

To the south of the access at No 190 Haverstock Hill is Belsize Park Underground Station. This extends back the full depth of No 192. Photo 1. The lift shaft and emergency stairs are located in the middle of the

Basement Impact Assessment

Z

station and extend down to the platform concourse 30m below ground level. London Underground has confirmed that the Northern Line running tunnels are to the west under Haverstock Hill; there are deep emergency tunnels beneath the site but these are 40m below ground level.

Behind the Station, with access from the shared access, is an electricity sub-station.

2.2 Topography and Levels

The site is on the hill dropping from Hampstead towards the Thames basin. The natural gradient is from northwest to southeast. This means that not only does Haverstock Hill have a gradient descending to the south but there is a fall from front to rear across the site of just under 1.0m. Photos 1 to 3.

The front of the site is at +68.8m OD with the parking bay to the rear at +68.0m OD. The tennis club parking to the rear of the site is at +67.8m OD. The crossfall across the width of the site is up to 0.5m at the front, but this reduces at the rear. Photo 1.

The topographical survey levels show that the slope along Haverstock Hill is 1 in 21 and along the shared access is 1 in 40; the gradients of the slopes on and around the site are all less than 1 in 8.

2.3 Proposed Scheme

It is proposed to construct a six storey block including basement giving A1 Retail at basement and ground and 5 flats on the upper four floors. Vertical circulation is to the rear of the building.

The building follows the building line of Allingham Court along the Haverstock Hill frontage with a 7.5m patio area behind the pavement. The basement extends out beneath this patio so the basement wall is 3.5m behind the pavement; the basement extends the full footprint of the building.

The building steps back 1.0m on the southern side to the front so the clearance along the shared access is increased to 5.0. The flats on the upper floors are are set back on the road frontage and spaced away from Allingham Court. See drawings in Appendix 3.

The scheme will be framed in concrete.

2.4 Basement and Foundations

Basement

The basement will be constructed with contiguous piles around the whole perimeter, lined with concrete to form a box. The contiguous piles would be designed to act as free cantilevers in the temporary condition before the ground floor slab is cast. See drawings in Appendix 3. The basement extends beneath the full footprint of the building. The basement abuts Allingham Court and will be lower than its foundations.

Foundations

The scheme will have piled foundations; these will be around the perimeter and beneath the internal columns.

Contiguous piled walls will be used to ensure lateral stability to:

- i. the Allingham Court foundations to the north;
- ii. the shared access to the south;
- iii. the rear of the pavement along Haverstock Hill.

The contiguous piles will be designed for appropriate highway loadings for Haverstock Hill pavement and the share access and for the surcharge from Allingham Court foundations. The piles will be drilled from ground level and a capping beam cast on top before any excavation commences. The piles will be stiff enough to ensure that there is no appreciable movement to the ground on any of the perimeters.



Basement Impact Assessment

3 GROUNDWATER FLOW

3.1 Stage 1 Screening

- GW1 The site is not on an aquifer. CGHH Fig 8 shows the site is founded on London Clay as a non productive strata.
- GW1A London Clay is an impermeable stratum and there is not a free water surface within the London Clay. The basement will not extend beneath the water table.
- GW1B There may be water issues to the base of any made ground on top of the London Clay and also with the more permeable fissured or claystone layers within the clay. These do not constitute a continuous subterranean flow or water table.
- GW2 There are no water courses or water features in the immediate vicinity of the site. CGHH Fig 11 shows that the River Fleet is 400m to the west is the nearest watercourse.

Tributaries to the River Tyburn originate to the west of Haverstock Hill.

- GW3 CGHH Fig 14 shows that the Hampstead Ponds catchment is to the north of the site.
- GW4 The site is currently 100% impervious.
- GW5 The current surface water drains will be maintained. With the proposed green roof, the attenuation of the discharge will be increased.
- GW6 CGHH Fig 12 shows that there are no water features in the vicinity of the site.

3.2 Stage 2 Scoping

• Possible water issues in the made ground on top of the London Clay or in Claystone bands within the clay itself.

3.3 Stage 3 Study and Site Investigation

<u>Study</u>

The London Clay is an impervious layer which is classified as an unproductive stratum and means that there are no groundwater flows across the site. The properties of London Clay are well understood with published data. In hydrogeological terms, the site does not have the challenges of the Claygate beds to the Hampstead Ponds catchment nor the alluvial deposits of the Thames basin to the south of the borough.

As an impervious layer there is no water table with a pheratic surface within the London Clay. The claystone bands within the London Clay can be water bearing but these are minor issues and do not constitute a continuous subterranean flow or water table. There is also the possibility of minor issues and seepage in any made ground mantle on top of the London Clay but given that up slope is Allingham Court, these will not be significant.

Site Investigation

Two boreholes were sunk to 15m and 20m at the front and the rear of the site respectively and the letter report, borehole logs and location plan are given in Appendix 4.

This established that there is a minimal made ground mantle, ranging between 0.6m and 1.6m, overlying weathered, becoming unweathered London Clay. There were water seepages in the rear borehole at 7.3m and in the front borehole at 6.2m, but both boreholes were dry on completion.



Basement Impact Assessment

As noted in the letter report, 'Water seepage is almost certainly due to thin bands of claystone or lenses of sand which are almost certainly discontinuous as evidenced by the fact that both boreholes were dry on completion and the water seepages were was at different depths below ground level.'

3.4 Stage 4 Impact Assessment

Groundwater Flow

London Clay is impervious and there is no groundwater flow within it.

Water Issues and Seepages

There is no perched water table to the base of the made ground and the seepages that were encountered are deeper than the proposed basement.

The lack of any standing water in the boreholes demonstrates that the seepages in the London Clay do not constitute a continuous water flow and the groundwater is not effected by the piling

Given the lack of any perched water table contiguous piles will be used rather than secant piles.

4 GROUND STABILITY

4.1 Stage 1 Screening

- GS1 CGHH Fig 16 shows that the slopes on this part of Haverstock Hill are less than 7° [1 in 8]
- GS2 There will be no significant remodelling of the slopes
- GS3 CGHH Fig 10 shows that the contours on this part of Haverstock Hill are all < 1 in 8
- GS4 The topographical survey levels show that the slope along Haverstock Hill is 1 in 21 and along the shared access is 1 in 40.
- GS5 The 1:10,000 scale geological map shows that the site is founded on London Clay. The Claygate Beds are found 0.6km to the north east of the site towards Hampstead Whilst the site is founded on London Clay, the slopes to the surrounding area are all < 1 in 8
- GS6 No tree will be felled
- GS7 There is no history of seasonal movement in the vicinity of the site.
- GS8 CGHH Fig 11 shows that the River Fleet is 400m to the west as the nearest watercourse with a tributary of the River Tyburn spring 250m to the east.
- GS9 As a site to the side of Haverstock Hill as an established road up to Hampstead, there is likely to be a superficial made ground mantle associated with previous historic development.

The current levels demonstrate that there has not been any significant working of the ground.

GS10A CGHH Fig 8 shows the site is founded on London Clay as a non productive stratum

GS1OBLondon Clay is an impermeable stratum and the basement will not extend beneath the water table.

GS11 The site is 0.6km to the south of the Hampstead Ponds and is at a lower altitude

Basement Impact Assessment

g

- GS12 The basement along the Haverstock Hill frontage is 3.5m behind the back of the pavement and 7m from the carriageway. The design will need to take cognisance of highway loading on both the Haverstock Hill and share access and contiguous piles will be used to ensure stability of both the highway and shared access.
- GS13 No works will be undertaken on the adjoining foundations with contiguous piles used to ensure that the pressure bulb beneath these and the ground around these is held stable.
- GS14 The site is over London Underground deep tunnels. This will affect the foundations but does not have an impact on the slope stability of the site

4.2 Stage 2 Scoping

- The site is found on London Clay
- The site is within 5m of the pavement to Haverstock Hill, but is 7m from the carriageway
- The basement will increase the differential depth of foundations relative to neighbouring properties
- The site is situated over London Underground deep tunnels

4.3 Stage 3 Study and Site Investigation

<u>Study</u>

Whilst the site is founded on London Clay, the slope angles are all less than 1 in 20 and ground stability is not an issue. No trees are being removed and heave will not be an issue.

A visual assessment of the external elevations of Allingham Court and Belsize Park Station shows that neither of these is exhibiting any movement or structural distress.

Both the site walkover and the records in CGHHS show that there are no problems of land stability in the vicinity of the site.

Site Investigation

The depth of the made ground mantle varies from 0.6m to the rear to 1.6m to the front, overlying weathered London Clay. This means the basement is founded in the London Clay.

The increasing strength of the clay with depth can be seen with the increasing SPT count and blows required in driving the U100 samples

4.4 Stage 4 Impact Assessment

Stability of Adjacent Buildings

There is no movement in the adjoining buildings and their structural integrity has not been compromised.

Design Parameters for Piles

The contiguous piles will be designed to ensure that Allinghan Court, the pavement to Haverstock Hill and the shared access are held in position. Design parameters will be agreed with engineers representing the different parties.

Land Stability

The existing gradients are all shallower than 1 in 20 and there are no land slippage or ground stability problems within or adjacent to the site.

Slope Stability

There are no slopes being introduced in the scheme.

Ground Movement

Revision 08

Basement Impact Assessment

The basement excavation will extend to a depth of 3.2m below ground level so the reduction in load on the underlying ground will be around 60kN/m². The self weight of the structure will be of the order of 45kN/m². With occupation the total load will be nearer 60kN/m². Hence there will be no long term ground movement.

There will be elastic rebound of the excavation within the site, but the piles will be installed from ground level and will act to restrain this rebound.

Adjoining Foundations

Allingham Court does not have a basement and so the excavation of the basement will introduce a differential depth. All of the foundation will be constructed from within the site and the foundations to Allingham Court will not be altered. Consequently there will not be any differential change in depth of the adjoining foundations. Stiffness of the ground will be maintained by the contiguous piles.

Highways

The front western wall is 3.5m behind the pavement to Haverstock Hill and will need to be designed to accommodate these loadings.

Underground Tunnels

The piles will be of the order of 20m deep and consequently will be 20m above the deep tunnels to the rear of the site. The piles will be 4m behind the front boundary and will be clear of the Northern Line running tunnels beneath Haverstock Hill.

5 SURFACE FLOW AND FLOODING

5.1 Stage 1 Screening

- F1. The site is not within Hampstead Ponds Catchment. The ponds on Hampstead Heath and Parliament Hill Fields act as sources for the River Fleet which follows the base of the valley some 0.4km to the east of the site
- F2. There are no material changes in surface water flows
- F3. There are no changes in impervious area
- F4. There are no changes in flow rate onto neighbouring land.
- F5. There are no changes in quality of water discharge
- F6. The site is too high to be subjected to any fluvial flooding. There have been instances of local surface water flooding within LB Camden, but Haverstock Hill is not listed as a street at risk of surface water flooding in CPG 4 or Floods in Camden [2003].

5.2 Stage 2 Scoping

There are no scoping issues.

5.3 Stage 3 Study and Site Investigation

Flooding in Camden 2003 was used in the screening.

Basement Impact Assessment

AND

5.4 Stage 4 Impact Assessment

There are no surface water flow or flooding issues with the site.

6 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

6.1 Construction Plan

The preferred construction solution will utilise the shared access road with access to the site from the rear where the depth of the basement excavation is reduced to about 2.5m and a ramp access can be installed down to the basement. Both material deliveries and muck away will be from the back of the site utilising the shared access. If access is not possible from the shared access road, construction will have to be from the front off Haverstock Hill. Both of these options are explored in more detail in the Construction Management Plan.

- 6.1.1 The existing shop unit at No 192 is separate from Allingham Court and can be demolished without effecting Allingham Court.
- 6.1.2 The site will be hoarded and the ground floor slab peckered at the perimeter and internal pile locations
- 6.1.3 Pilling will be installed from ground level and the top of the piles exposed to construct the capping beam.
- 6.1.4 Once the piles and capping beams have been inserted the remainder of the ground floor slab will be removed and the basement will be excavated. There are two routes for construction trafic:
 - either exiting from the rear of the site, using the shared access back onto Haverstock Hill;
 - or using the site frontage along Haverstock Hill.
- 6.1.5 Whichever vehicle routing is used, a traffic management system will need to be adopted which takes cognisance of the pedestrian traffic.
- 6.1.6 The piles in the middle of the site will be cut down to basement level.
- 6.1.7 The pile caps and basement slab will be constructed.
- 6.1.8 The basement wall will be constructed inside the contiguous piles to give a monolithic box

6.2 Sustainable Construction

The inclusion of a green roof in the scheme will mean that the surface water will be improved.

6.3 Amenity and Landscape

The landscape will be improved with tree planting to the front of the scheme and the green roof. The retaining wall to the rear will be shallow to minimise its effect on the root canopy to the tree in the rear car park.

6.4 Lightwells

There are no lightwells or roof lights at ground level.

6.5 Third Party Considerations and Impact on Neighbours

Allingham Court and Shared Access

The contiguous piling to the side of Allingham Court and to the shared access will have to be agreed as part of a party wall award with the adjoining owners. These awards will include the appropriate surcharge and stiffness criteria for the design of the contiguous piles as well as condition surveys.

Basement Impact Assessment

Traffic management is discussed in the Construction Management Plan where pedestrian safety and segregation along the shared access is a key consideration.

London Underground

The pile solution will have to be submitted and agreed with London Underground. As discussed at the meeting with London Underground Asset Managers, the tunnels are unlikely to be effected by the piling. If necessary, verification of this could be made subject to a planning condition.

The shared access separates the basement from Belsize Park Station and consequently the basement will not effect the station foundations.

LB of Camden Highways

The basement is too remote from Haverstock Hill to need to be designed for full Highway Loadings.

6.6 Cumulative Impacts

The environmental setting is such that the impacts of the proposed scheme are minimal and as such there is no cumulative impact.

7 SUMMARY

The site is founded on London Clay as a stable impervious layer and the gradient of the ground is such that there are no problems of ground stability or surface water flooding. This means that there are no concerns with the environmental setting of the site.

The excavation of the new basement will use established piling techniques throughout and will be designed to ensure the stability of the neighbouring properties and shared access highway.

The site investigation has established that there is not a perched water table to the base of the made ground and has obtained strength parameters for the design of the contiguous piles.

There is nothing in this BIA to suggest that the construction of a basement at No 192 Haverstock Hill will have a detrimental impact on the site or neighbouring sites.



Basement Impact Assessment

Appendix 1: CPG4 Stage 1 Screening

Basement Impact Assessment to CPG4 Stage 1 Screening

Response Amplification Screen Subterranean (groundwater) flow GW1. Is the site founded on an aquifer CGHH Fig 8 shows the site is founded on London No Clay as a non productive stratum London Clay is an impermeable stratum and GW1AWill the basement extend No beneath the water table there is not a free water surface within the London Clay. GW1BWill the basement encounter There may be water issues on top of the London Possible Clay and with the more permeable fissured or water issues claystone layers within it. These do not constitute a continuous subterranean flow or water table. GW2. Is the site within 100m of a CGHH Fig 11 shows that the River Fleet is 400m No to the west as the nearest watercourse. watercourse GW3. Is the site within Hampstead No CGHH Fig 14 shows that the Hampstead Ponds Ponds catchment catchment is to the north of the site GW4. Will proportions of impermeable The site is currently 100% impervious No areas change The current surface water drains will be GW5. Will more surface water discharge No to ground maintained albeit with the green roof the attenuation of the discharge will be increased GW6. Is the lowest excavation lower CGHH Fig 12 shows that there are no water No than any nearby water feature. features in the vicinity Ground stability CGHH Fig 16 shows that the slopes on this part Stab1. Are existing slopes > 1 in 8 No of Haverstock Hill are less than 7° [1 in 8] There will be no significant remodelling of the Stab2. Will remodelled slopes be > 1 in No slopes Stab3. Does neighbouring land slope > No CGHH Fig 10 shows that the contours on this part of Haverstock Hill are all < 1 in 8 1 in 8 Stab4. Is site on hillside with slope > 1 The topographical survey levels show that the No slope along Haverstock Hill is 1 in 21 and along in 8 the shared access is 1 in 40 Whilst the site is founded on London Clay, the Stab5. Is the site founded on London Yes Clay slopes to the surrounding area are all < 1 in 8 Stab6. Will any trees be felled No Stab7. Is there a history of seasonal No movement Stab8. Is the site within 100m of CGHH Fig 11 shows that the River Fleet is 400m No watercourse or spring to the west as the nearest watercourse with a tributary of the River Tyburn spring 250m to the east As a site to the side of Haverstock Hill there is Stab9. Is the site on worked ground No likely to be a superficial made ground mantle associated with previous historic development. The levels demonstrate that there has not been any significant working of the ground CGHH Fig 8 shows the site is founded on London Stab10A Is the site on an aquifer No Clay as a non productive stratum See amplification to GW1A Stab10. B If so will excavation be No below water table Stab11. Is the site within 50m of No Hampstead Ponds



TRAIN EKEMP

Basement Impact Assessment

| 192 Haverstock Hill | | Basement Impact Assessment |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Screen | Response | Amplification |
| Stab12. Is site within 5m of highway | Carriageway: No Pedestrian Pavement: Yes | The basement along the Haverstock Hill frontage is 3.5m behind the back of the pavement and 7m from the carriageway. The design will need to take cognisance of highway loading on both the Haverstock Hill and share access and contiguous piles will be used to ensure stability of both the highway and shared access. |
| Stab13. Will the basement increase the differential depth of foundations relative to adjoining properties | Yes | No works will be undertaken on the adjoining foundations will contiguous piles used to ensure that the pressure bulb beneath these and the ground around these is held stable. |
| Stab14. Is the site over tunnels | Yes | The site is over London Underground deep tunnels. This will affect the foundations but does not have an impact on the slope stability of the site |
| Surface Water and Flooding | | |
| F1. Is site within Hampstead Ponds Catchment | No | |
| F2. Material changes in surface water flows | None | |
| F3. Changes in impervious area | No | |
| F4. Changes in flow rate onto neighbouring land | No | |
| F5. Changes in quality of water discharge | No | |
| F6. Is site in area of risk from surface water flooding | No | The site is not listed in either CPG4 or Floods in Camden [2003] as a road that has suffered from surface water flooding |

TRAIN EKEN

SOLUTIONS

Stage 2 Scoping

The key areas that need further investigation and clarification are:

- Possible water issues on top of the London Clay or in Claystone bands within the clay
- The site is found on London Clay •
- The site is within 5m of the pavement to Haverstock Hill, but is 7m from the carriageway
- The basement will increase the differential depth of foundations relative to neighbouring properties
- The site is situated over London Underground deep tunnels •



Appendix 2:

Site Photographs

Basement Impact Assessment

Revision 08



- Photo 1 No 192 Haverstock Hill Road with Allingham Court to the North and Belsize Park Station to the south
- Note 1 Allingham Court is 5 storeys
 - 2 Crossfall to front of site is 0.5m



Photo 2192 Haverstock Hill with shared access to south leading to the Globe Tennis Club to rear.Note 1Shared access drops 0.6m along side of shop and 1.0m overall from front to rear



- Photo 3 Note 1 Share drive and rear yard area to No !92 Gated access to shared drive at start of parking to Globe Tennis Club



Car parking bays and mature trees behind No 192. Photo 4



Basement Impact Assessment

Appendix 3: Drawings

- 11922/03
- 11922/04
- Site Block Plan Basement Plan showing piling arrangement Cross section and details showing piling arrangement 11922/05



Project: 192 Haverstock Hill, NW3

Title: SITE BLOCK PLAN AND SHARED ACCESS

 Drawing Status:
 Date:
 Aug' 12
 Drawing No.
 Rev.

 Scale:
 1:200 @ A3
 Drawing No.
 11922/03
 Rev.

 Drawn:
 NPM
 Chkd:
 NCT
 11922/03
 Rev.



Title:

Drawing Status:

Project: 192 Haverstock Hill, NW3

| BASEMENT PLAN SHOWIN | ١G |
|----------------------|----|
| PILING ARRANGMENT | |

| Date: | Aug' 12 | Drawing No. | Rev. |
|--------|------------|-------------|------|
| Scale: | 1:100 @ A3 | 11000/04 | |
| Drawn: | NPM | 11922/04 | |
| Chkd: | NCT | | |





Appendix 4:

Site Investigation Report

Basement Impact Assessment

Revision 08

K F GEOTECHNICAL

CONSULTING GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERS

Director: W.J.C. WALLACE B.Eng. (Hons.) 85 Alexandra Road Farnborough Hants GU14 6BN

Tel: Farnborough (01252) 518821 Fax: Farnborough (01252) 370394 Email: kfgroup@fbro.demon.co.uk

Consultant: G.L. MARTIN B.Sc., M.Sc., C.Eng., M.I.C.E.

G/101203/WJCW/001/ar

8 October 2012

Train and Kemp 10 Kennington Park Place Kennington London SE11 4AS

For the attention of Norman Train

Dear Norman

192 HAVERSTOCK HILL, LONDON NW3

I have pleasure in enclosing the logs of the two boreholes carried out at the above site, together with a site plan showing the location of the boreholes.

You will note that we encountered fill material at the rear in the shell and auger borehole to just 600mm but 1.6m at the front. Beneath this is firm brown silty clay typical of undisturbed weathered London Clay and at between 6.5m and 7.2m a stiff grey silty clay typical of undisturbed unweathered London Clay.

There was water seepage at 7.3m in borehole 1, and 6.3m in borehole 2 but both boreholes were dry and open on completion.

We assume that the basement formation will be at about 3.0m to 3.5m. The in-situ testing by hand held vane test in borehole 2 indicates a shear strength in the region of 125kPa at this depth, which would equate to a safe bearing capacity of 250kPa. The SPT tests at the same depth give a shear strength after Stroud of 63kPa, which would equate to a safe bearing capacity in the region of 130kPa. The differences are consistent with the on-site descriptions and we therefore have to assume at this stage that they are a reasonable assessment of the in-situ clay conditions.

Water seepage is almost certainly due to thin bands of claystone or lenses of sand which are almost certainly discontinuous as evidenced by the fact that both boreholes were dry on completion and the water seepage was at different depths below ground level

I trust this gives the information you require at present.

Yours sincerely C Wallac

K F Technical Services Limited – Registered in England – No. 07044150 Registered Address – 3 Silverton, High Street, Yenston, Somerset BA8 0NF Website: http://www.fbro.demon.co.uk



| K. F. Geotechnical | | Borehole 1 | | | | | | Ref: G101203 | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------|----------|----------------------|--|--|
| 85 Alexandra Road Farnborough Tel : (01252) 51882 | 1 | Sheet: | 1 | | Scale: | 1: | 50 | Date: 25/9/12 | | |
| Hants Fax : (01252) 37039 GU14 6BN Email : kfgroup@fbro.d | lemon.co.uk | Client: | Sient: TRAIN AND KEMP | | | | | | | |
| Equipment & Shell & Auger | Location: 192 HAVERSTOCK HILL, LONDON NW3 | | | | | | | | | |
| Decedetion of Strate [thickness] | Reduced | Learnd | Depth | San | nples | Te | sts | Field Notes | | |
| Description of Strata (nickness) | Level | | | Туре | Depth | Туре | Value | 10.1719.000000 | | |
| Block paving and sand (0.10) Concrete (0.10) MADE GROUND: firm gravelly silty sandy clay (0.40) | -0.10 | | 0.10 | D | 0.40 | | | | | |
| Firm brown silty sandy CLAY (0.85) | E | | 0.00 | D | 0.80 | | 27 BLOWS | | | |
| Firm brown, grey veined silty CLAY becoming stiffer with depth 15.05) | -1.45 | | 1.45 | D | 1.45 | | | | | |
| | - | | | D | 2.00 | s | N=19 | | | |
| | | | | υ | 3.00 | | 37 BLOWS | | | |
| | | | | D | 3.45 | | | 1.0 | | |
| | - | | | D | 4.00 | S | N-14 | | | |
| | Ē | · | | u | 5.00 | | 46 BLOWS | | | |
| | | | | D | 5.45 | | | | | |
| Stiff grey silty CLAY (13.50) | -6.50 | | 6.50 | D | 6.50 | S | N=22 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Water seepage at 7.3 | | |
| | F | | 1 | U | 8.00 | | 60 BLOWS | | | |
| | | | | D | 8.45 | | | | | |
| | | | | D | 9.50 | S | N=25 | | | |
| Where 0.3m penetration has not been achieved, if for the quoted penetration is given. (Not the N va All depths and reduced levels are in metres. Water level observations during boring are given of U Undisturbed Sample S Standard P D Disturbed Sample V Vane Test B Bulk Sample MP Mackintosi W Water Sample | Rema Bore Star Bore | nks hole dry dpipe in hole cas | and q stalle ed to | pen on compl d to 10m Im | letion | | | | | |

| K. F. Geotechnical | | Borehole 1 | | | | | | Ref: G101203 | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--|
| 85 Alexandra Road Farnborough Tel : (01252) 518821 Hants Fax : (01252) 370394 GU14 6BN Email : kfgroup@fbro.demon.co.uk | | | 1 | 50 | Date: 25/9/12 | | | | |
| | | | TR | AIN AND | KEMP | | | | |
| Equipment & Shell & Auger | Locatio | on: 19 | 2 HAVER | этоск ні | ц, ю | RDON NW3 | | | |
| Developing of Strate (this base) | Reduced | Legend | Depth | San | nples | Tests | | Field Note | |
| Description of Suata [Unickness] | Level | | o suber | Туре | Depth | Type | Value | | |
| | F | | | | | | | | |
| | F | | | | | | | | |
| | E | * | | | 1 1 | | | | |
| | | · | | 0.220 | | | 00 00000 | | |
| | F | * | | 0 | 11.00 | | BO BLOWS | | |
| | E . | · | 1 | | | | | | |
| | F | 1 | 1 | D | 11.45 | | | 1 | |
| | E | | | | 1 1 | | | | |
| | E. | | | | 1 1 | | | | |
| | F | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | E | | | D | 12.50 | s | N=26 | | |
| | E | × | | | | 50 | 0,000 | 1 | |
| | E | · | | | | | 9 | | |
| | F | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | 1 | - | | | 1 1 | | | | |
| | E | * | 1 | | | | | | |
| | E | - | | | | | | | |
| | E. | | | σ | 14.00 | | 96 BLOWS | | |
| | - | | | 1.000 | | | 1 | | |
| | E | · | | D | 14.45 | | | | |
| | E | | 1 | - | | | | | |
| | F | · | 1 | | | | | | |
| | F | -1- | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| | E | | 1 | 1.46 | 1200443 | | 0 (355) | | |
| | E | | • | D | 15.50 | S | N=33 | 1 | |
| | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | - | · | | 1 | | | | | |
| | E | | | | | | | | |
| | E | · | | 1 | 1 1 | | | | |
| | E | 8 | 1 | 1 | | | i | | |
| | ŧ | * | 1 | 12 | 17.00 | | 100 01000 | | |
| | F | | 1 | 0 | 17.00 | | TOO BLOWS | | |
| | E | * | - | 1.112 | - comment | | | 1 | |
| | F | | 1 | D | 17.45 | | | 1 | |
| | F | * | | | | | | | |
| | F | • | | | | | | | |
| | E | | | | | | | | |
| | E | н | 1 | D | 18.50 | 5 | N=38 | | |
| | ŧ | | 1 | 1 | | | 105/1855 | | |
| | F | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| | F | | 4 | | | | | | |
| | E | - | 1 | | | | | | |
| | F | · | | u | 19.50 | | 100 BLOWS | 13 | |
| | ŧ | | | | | | | | |
| Base of Borehole | -20.0 | | 20.00 | D | 19.95 | | | | |
| Where 0.3m penetration has not been achieve | d, the number | of blows | | Rema | arks | W- 1 | 0) | -60 | |
| for the quoted penetration is given. (Not the N All depths and reduced levels are in metres. Water level observations during boring are give | I value) In on the last s | heet of the | log. | Bore | shole dra dpipe i | y and o nstalle | pen on compl d to 10m | letion | |
| U Undisturbed Sample S Standar D Disturbed Sample V Vane Te | d Penetration T est | est | | Bore | ante ca | | | | |

| K. F. Geotechnical | | Boreho | Borehole 2 | | | | | Ref: G101203 | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 85 Alexandra Road Famborough Tel : (01252) 519821 | | Sheet: | 1 | | Scale: 1:50 | | | Date: 1/10/12 | | |
| Hants Fax : (01252) 370394 GU14 6BN Email : kfgroup@fbro.demon.co.uk | | | Client: TRAIN AND KEMP | | | | | | | |
| Equipment & Restricted Access Flight Auger | | | Location: 192 HAVERSTOCK HILL, LONDON NW3 | | | | | | | |
| Description of Strata [thickness] | Reduced | Legend | Depth | Sar | nples | Te | ests | Field Notes | | |
| Concententing and eard (0.10) | -0.10 | 12 | 0.10 | Туре | Depth | Туре | Value | | | |
| WEDE GROOND: firm gravelly silty sandy clay with brick fragments (1.50) | | | 0.10 | | | | | Roots of live appearance to 1.3m | | |
| Stiff brown, grey veined silty CLAY (1.70) | -1.60 | * <u>-</u> * | 1.60 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | D | 2.50 | | | | | |
| | E | | | D | 3.00 | v | 124 | | | |
| Very stiff as above (3.90) | -3.30 | | 3.30 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | D | 4.00 | v | 140+ | | | |
| | Ē | | | | _ | | - | | | |
| | - | | | D | 5.00 | v | 140+ | | | |
| | | | | D | 6.00 | v | 140+ | | | |
| | | | | D | 6.50 6.00 | sv | N=22 140+ | Slight water seepag at 6.3m | | |
| Very stiff grey silty CLAY (7.80) | -7.20 | | 7.20 | D | 8.00 | v | 140+ | | | |
| | | * | | | | | | | | |
| | F | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ē | | | D | 10.00 | v | 140+ | | | |
| Where 0.3m penetration has not been achieved, the number of blows for the quoted penetration is given. (Not the N value) All depths and reduced levels are in metres. Water level observations during boring are given on the last sheet of the log. | | | | Rema Bore Star | Remarks Borehole dry and open on completion Standpipe installed to 10m | | | | | |

| K. F. Geotechnical | | | ale | Ref: G101203 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| 85 Alexandra Road Famborough Tel : (01252) 518 | Sheet: 1 Scale: 1:50 | | | | | 0 | Date: 1/10/12 | | | |
| fants Fax : (01252) 370394 GU14 6BN Email : kfgroup@fbro.demon.co.uk | | | TR | | | | | | | |
| Equipment & Method : Restricted Access Flight Auger | | | Location: 192 HAVERSTOCK HILL, LONDON NW3 | | | | | | | |
| Description of Strata (thickness) | Reduced | Legend | Depth | San | nples Depth | Tes | its Value | Field Note | | |
| (Continued) Arry stiff grey silty CLAY (7.80) | I | | | D | 12.00 | v | 140+ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Where 0.3m penetration has not been achieve for the quoted penetration is given. (Not the N All depths and reduced levels are in metres. Water level observations during boring are give U Undisturbed Sample U Undisturbed Sample S Standar V Vane To B Bulk Sample MP Macking | of blows heet of the lest | e log. | Rem Bor Sta | arks ehole dr ndpipe in | y and op | en on com ito 10m | pletion | | | |