Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 28/10/2014 09:05:22 Response:			
2014/5589/P	Brian Lamprell	Studio #9 6 Cliff Road London NW1 9AN	23/10/2014 01:12:41	OBJEMAIL	I strongly object to the erection of a 4 story house at 102 Camden Mews which will overlook our garden and privacy. A while ago, permission was given to a similar property adjacent which overlooks our garden and into our studios. Once is enough.			
2014/5589/P	Philip Hughes	Studio 4 5 Cliff Road London NW1 9JN	23/10/2014 14:14:43	COMMNT	I am owner of the studio property address listed bellow . We as a group of 10 studios own the communal garden that would be overlooked by the development proposed . This garden is a very important amenity for us all . The development if allowed would overlook us and cut out vital light from the west . It would compromise our privacy in a major way . It would effect trees and plants in the garden . It should not be allowed . Sincerely Philip Hughes			
2014/5589/P	James-Lee Duffy	104 Camden Mews NW1 9AG	21/10/2014 20:09:49	OBJEMPER	We strongly object to the erection of the three story dwelling plus basement at 102 Camden Mews for a few reasons. Firstly and most importantly the impact the excavation will have on our property, we already have cracks and signs of movement in our house as do several other properties in the mews and on the surrounding road, this will only be made worse by the removal of all the clay and earth from beneath 102 to create a basement. Secondly the property is a quirky old mews house, surely we want to preserve what history is left in London's longest mews, such a shame to build more monstrous block built square buildings of little or no character.			
2014/5589/P	James-Lee Duffy	104 Camden Mews NW1 9AG	21/10/2014 20:09:48	OBJEMPER				
2014/5589/P	James-Lee Duffy	104 Camden Mews NW1 9AG	21/10/2014 20:09:27	OBJEMPER				
2014/5589/P	Sylvia Finzi	4 Cliff Road	14/10/2014 10:30:18	OBJ	The excavation of the site to basement level would severely de-stabilize the surrounding area, which is built on clay and already subject to subsidence. It is getting increasingly hard to insure properties in the area, and to establish the source of subsidence when it occurs. This aspect of the application should be turned down once and for all. A three storey building would rob surrounding buildings of light, and any development should be restricted to two storeys, as is characteristic of this street.			

					Printed on: 28/10/2014 09:			
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:			
2014/5589/P	Susan Collins	129 Camden Mews NW1 9AH	12/10/2014 16:14:34	OBJ	It is clear that this application is more sensitive to both history and context than the previous (failed) application of 2012. I have two key areas of concern that I wish to raise here.			
					<ul> <li>Firstly I welcome that it appears that there may still be a gap through from the mews to the greenery of cliff studios gardens behind, however the plans and drawings don't make it very clear how this will be. There is mention of a timber screen and one can see mesh in the drawings but no clarity in the drawings or descriptions on how this is to be incorporated.</li> <li>This really matters. We have lost so much green space already in the mews (proven to enhance wellbeing and quality of life) but also the recent buildings erected over what used to be gardens at the York Way end of the mews have had a severe knock on effect in terms of noise volume and transmission, and the 'noise tunnel' effect of infilling all the gaps along this very narrow street has been that of extreme amplification. Have any studies been done on the potentially negative noise impact on the street of filling in above even one of these garage spaces?</li> <li>It was not clear from the design and access statement why there is a need for a basement excavation and certainly nothing addressing how they might plan to conduct such extensive works in such a narrow street and the potentially enormous impact on all the neighbours in this section of the mews.</li> </ul>			
					utility/plant room and extra storage space to fulfill the requirements of a modern family." Is this enough of a reason to disrupt the street for months on end?the house will already be twice the volume of the existing building without the basement, and could easily accommodate these functions.			
					<ul> <li>I have serious concerns about</li> <li>whether this could destabilise existing buildings (a basement extension at 110 has been beset with</li> </ul>			
					problems – the building next door is suffering from severe subsidence and the basement itself is now unusable and full of water)			
					- the impact on the whole street –what is the access plan for removing tonnes of soil on this very narrow street? How will the street stay open for local residents who both live and work in this section			
					of the mews? The works are likely to cause noise, dust and disruption for many months and to what end? Certainly not additional much needed housing, simply a bit of extra storage space for an already doubled house.			
					I don't see any accommodation for bins or bicycles or maybe these environmental concerns are not planning priorities any more?			
					I ask that you refuse planning for the basement part of the application and respond to the above concerns before considering approval for the rest of the scheme. Many thanks indeed.			

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 28/10/2014 09:05:22 Response:
2014/5589/P	Philip Hughes	Studio 4 5 Cliff Road London NW1 9JN	23/10/2014 14:14:29	COMMNT	I am owner of the studio property address listed bellow . We as a group of 10 studios own the communal garden that would be overlooked by the development proposed . This garden is a very important amenity for us all . The development if allowed would overlook us and cut out vital light from the west . It would compromise our privacy in a major way . It would effect trees and plants in the garden . It should not be allowed . Sincerely Philip Hughes
2014/5589/P	Philip Hughes	Studio 4 5 Cliff Road London NW1 9JN	23/10/2014 14:14:43	COMMNT	
2014/5589/P	JOHN AKEHURST	5 CLIFF ROAD STUDIOS 5 CLIFF ROAD LONDON NW1 9AN	23/10/2014 15:57:03	OBJ	I most strongly object to this proposed development - it will be a small addition at great cost to the character of the area / neighbours and surrounds. it would again inevitably result in an unacceptable loss of privacy and intrusion for our garden 5 cliff road. We were promised no overlooking windows, access when planning was granted for 100 camden mews the adjoining building and this was flagrantly disregarded and flaunted by the developer resulting in an ugly intrusive building at 100 - which cannot be seen as a precedent by any means. The disruption and access would be unbearable plus although no damage is promised inevitably it will impact our well established garden. i for one will be pushing to save the remaining brick wall at 102 which is probably joint wall. and rebuild our original high brick wall across the back of no 100 camden mews. Another consideration is the digging of this area could cause considerably disturbance and subsidence and the area which is already an unstable substrate. the plan says it will retain some of the original building and be sympathetic this is a complete lie, one look at the plans and you can immediately see there is non of the original intention there at all - it would further disfigure and devalue the mews rather than adding to its aesthetic heritage. this is a esthetically a cynical and zero value project and not worthy of this exceptional area. It mentions the inappropriate windows in one of the other nearby buildings whilst imposing something equally inappropriate. completely tasteless. there would be absolutely be no permission to put even one foot of a scaffold pole in our garden and zero tolerance to any ANY disturbance of the garden . i strongly urge you not to go with the developer and their paltry worthless cynical plans .

					Printed on:	28/10/2014	09:05:22
<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2014/5589/P	toby m pond	studio 2 5 cliff road london nw1 9an	21/10/2014 14:52:20	COMMEMP ER	<ul> <li>i am completely against this planning application</li> <li>again we will have our privacy invaded and as with 100 camden mews our wishes f</li> <li>garden which was meant to mean there would be no view of our garden will all thou</li> <li>ignored.</li> <li>also a four story construction in that area is bound to cause major damage to the sur</li> <li>its outrageous</li> <li>also its an ugly design and opportunistic</li> <li>be nice to consider the residents for a change and not profit</li> <li>just say no for a change</li> </ul>	gh illegal will be	