

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4963/P	Peter Dayan	117 Bedford Avenue London WC1B 3AG	06/10/2014 21:28:49	OBJ	I would like to object on the grounds that this development will inevitably kill a much-loved and much-valued tree. This tree is subject to a protection order - and so the proposal needs to be thrown out.
2014/4963/P	Mr & Mrs K D Fogarty	Flat 81 Bedford Court Mansions Bedford Avenue London WC1B 3AE	14/10/2014 15:51:53	INT	These proposals seem less invasive than the earlier (rejected?) planning application, but as direct neighbours, (our living room, bedroom and bathroom directly overlook this garden at 1st floor level) we are not happy with such extensions being built. Any such extension of commercial activity from the front of Gt Russell Street, to the rear is really not acceptable or appropriate in such a residential area in this Bloomsbury conservation area. We are also insistent that no damage, and in fact remedial work is needed, is done to the valuable last remaining tree in this area. The rear of this important building has been severely neglected, the window sills are damaged, the facade is weather beaten and organically spoiled by fungal growths. If this proposal were to go ahead we would need a guarantee that no commercial or large crowd activity would take place in this garden outside normal working hours, as it is within a few metres of our sleeping and living area.
2014/4963/P	DR SEAN GLYNN	77 BEDFORD COURT MANSIONS BEDFORD AVENUE LONDON WC1B 3AE	15/10/2014 12:03:27	COMMNT	<p>THIS PROPERTY BACKS ONTO AN ENCLOSED AREA WHERE NOISE CAN BE A PROBLEM. THE GARDEN CONTAINS A RARE PLANE TREE THAT IS A VALUED AMENITY FOR RESIDENTS. IT IS ALSO IN THE BLOOMS</p> <p>THE PROPERTY IS IN THE BLOOMSBURY CONSERVATION AREA AND BACKS ONTO AN ENCLOSED AREA. THE GARDEN CONTAINS A MUCH VALUED TREE.</p> <p>FLATS IN BEDFORD COURT MANSIONS ARE WITHIN A FEW FEET OF THE GARDEN AREA. IT IS IMPORTANT TO FIND OUT THE PURPOSE OF THE REAR EXTENSION PROJECTED IN THIS APPLICATION. THERE MUST BE NO EXTENSION OF COMMERCIAL OR NOISE-GENERATING ACTIVITY INTO THE GARDEN AREA. IF THE GARDEN IS USED FOR COMMERCIAL ENTERTAINMENT PURPOSES THIS CAN CAUSE SERIOUS NUISANCE. THIS HAS HAPPENED RECENTLY. ALSO, FLATS IN BCM ARE OVERLOOKED.</p> <p>IT IS NOT CLEAR WHAT LANDSCAPING OF THE GARDEN MEANS. HOWEVER, ANY DIGGING DOWN IS LIKELY TO THREATEN THE ROOTS OF THE TREE AND THIS MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO HAPPEN.</p> <p>THE APPLICATION HAS TO BE MUCH MORE SPECIFIC BEFORE IT CAN BE APPROVED AND THE AUTHORITY SHOULD SEEK ASSURANCE ON THE FOLLOWING:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. THERE SHOULD BE NO EXTENSION OF COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS TO THE REAR OF THE BUILDING. 2. THERE SHOULD BE NO NOISE GENERATING ACTIVITIES OR VENUE FOR SUCH AT THE REAR. 3. NO PROPERTIES SHOULD BE OVERLOOKED ANY MORE THAN AT PRESENT. 4. THE MUCH VALUED TREE SHOULD NOT BE THREATENED IN ANY WAY WHATSOEVER. <p>THE COMMITTEE SHOULD BE AWARE THAT THIS APPLICANT HAS PREVIOUSLY ATTEMPTED TO DESTROY THE TREE AND ALSO TO BUILD A REAR EXTENSION ROOF TERRACE.</p>