

[REDACTED]

From: Matthew Caldwell [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 October 2014 13:39
To: Planning
Subject: Re: Comments on 2014/3683/P have been received by the council.

Further to this matter, although I received an automated reply a month ago, the comment in question does not seem to have appeared among the documented responses on the planning site, although some other more recent responses have been included:

<http://planningonline.camden.gov.uk/MULTIWAM/showCaseFile.do?appType=Planning&appNumber=2014/3683/P>

Can you confirm that our comments were indeed received and have been included as part of the planning case?

Many thanks,
Matthew Caldwell

On 8 Sep 2014, at 22:39, planning@camden.gov.uk wrote:

> We object to the proposal for two extra storeys to be added to the roof of Herbal House. The increased height is wrong for the surrounding area and will have the effect of 'crowding in' the neighbouring buildings and adversely impacting the local character. The importance of protecting the feel of this neighbourhood has been recognised by adjoining Conservation Areas in both Camden and Islington. While Herbal House itself has not been deemed an important contributor to the appearance of the surroundings, many other notable buildings in the immediate vicinity will be affected by the additional height, including residential buildings in Warner Street and Summers Street and the Italian church of St Peter. Although the extra floors are set back a little, they will be visible all over the area and will significantly change the balance of the local buildings. The purpose of the Conservation Area is to maintain the character of the neighbourhood, and the imposition of these two extra storeys will change that irrevocably.

>

> Comments made by Matthew Caldwell of 22 Warner House, Warner Street,
> EC1R 5ER Phone [REDACTED] EMail [REDACTED] Preferred Method
> of Contact is Email

>

> Comment Type is Objection