Objection to planning application 2014/3211/P 7-9 Perrin's Court, London NW3

From Laraine Goss, 5 Perrin's Court, London NW3 1QS

Attn Rachel Miller
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall
Judd Street
London WC1H 8ND

23 June 2014

RE: Planning Application 2014/3211/P

I wish to object in the strongest possible terms to the proposal to erect a further storey on the Savills building in Perrin's Court. This is an attempt to half-heartedly address the issues raised last time, but fails on a myriad of fronts. Yes, by not having windows overlooking Perrin's Lane or no.5 Perrin's Court, they will not suffer directly from a privacy issue. But the new proposal presents these occupants with an unsightly and intrusive block to their current outlook, and there will be issues of restricted light as well in these cases. In addition, the proposal makes no mention of the considerable privacy breach it imposes on the upper floor flats and offices on the opposite side of Perrin's court.

Surely there are simpler and more effective ways of increasing accommodation availability in the borough than alienating all the local tenants of offices, flats and houses, and a potential loss of character of one of Hampstead's prettiest lanes, all for the sake of one flat?

This development does not comply with the following Camden Policies:

- Camden Core Strategy CS5
- Protecting Amenity: CS 5.7 & CS 5.8
- Camden Core Strategy CS 14

Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage:

Lead note a) requiring development of the highest standard of design that respects local context and character - The building was designed specifically to be the height it currently is, and to have a flat roof, so that light and outlook from neighbours would not be impaired.

• Excellence in design:

CS 14.3, CS 14.4, CS 14.7 and CS 14.9

Local Development Framework/Camden's Development Policies

DP 24 Respecting local character:

DP24.11, DP24.2, DP24.13 and DP 24.14

• DP 24 Providing amenity space:



We know nothing about how the cafes, shops, offices, galleries, estate agents, shoe repairers, restaurants and other residents would be affected by the building work. To my knowledge there is no construction management plan. What is sure is that however well managed it is, the lane is such a narrow, quaint and tranquil part of Hampstead's heritage, the disruption would be out of proportion to the benefits to be gained in housing one tenant on a roof.

I therefore ask that your officers reject this application

Yours sincerely

