Gentet, Matthias

From: feedback@camden.gov.uk
Sent: 30 July 2014 10:47
To: Planning
Subject: Comments on a current Planning Application
Attachments: 9560340.htm; 9560340.xml; 9560340.pdf

PLANNING APPLICATION DETAILS
————————————
Year: 2014

Number: 4332

Letter: P
Planning application address: hampstead and highgate cain of ponds

Title: Dr.
Your First Name: martin
Initial:
Last Name: mitcheson
Organisation:
Comment Type: Support

Postcode: n6 6jj
Address line 1: Flat 16West Hill CourtMillfield Lane Address line 2: LONDON Address line 3:
Postcode: N6 6JJ
E-mail: [redacted]
Confirm
Contact

Your comments on the planning application: Residing beside number 1
Highgate pond I have been fully informed and consulted regarding the need for and nature of the proposed work. I am impressed by the care for the environment and the steps proposed to minimise the impact of works on local residents.
On balance, and contrary to many of the protesters who do not live in close proximity, I believe the works will overall improve the beauty of the environment as well as the safety of those living downstream

IF YOU WISH TO UPLOAD A FILE CONTAINING YOUR COMMENTS THEN USE THE LINK BELOW
————————————————————————————————
-
No files attached

ABOUT THIS FORM
———————
Issued by: Camden Council
Customer feedback and enquiries

Camden Town Hall
Judd Street
London WC1H 9JE
Form reference: 9560340

Gentet, Matthias

From: [redacted]
Sent: 30 July 2014 12:00
To: Planning; Markwell, Jonathan
Subject: Ponds Project

As a Hampstead resident I object to the City proposals re Ponds Project. It is a stupid project thought up by City administrators who need to justify their high salaries by thinking up "original" but non-commonsensical projects, I feel.

Thank you.

Sidney Chang, PhD.

Gentet, Matthias

From: [redacted]
Sent: 30 July 2014 07:27
To: Planning
Cc: Markwell, Jonathan
Subject: Planning Reference 2014/4332/P

Dear Sirs

I am very concerned at the plans developed by the City of London and its proposals for the dams on Hampstead heath as set out in the above planning application.

1 The proposals will lead to an extended period when the heath will be a construction site and thus unusable in large part for recreation

2 The works are counter to the Hampstead Heath Act which requires that it be kept in its wild and natural state

3 The works, which are to protect those living downstream of the ponds from a collapse of the dams are required for a one in 400,000 year event; this is totally disproportionate

4 The works will still not protect the residents in Gospel Oak etc from simple excessive rainfall and overspillage

5 The works are required "in the interests of safety"; this is undefined and could mean anything including much lesser work

6 No account has been taken of the ability of those responsible for forecasting storms to do just that enabling residents who might be in the path of flooding to make and take relevant precautions thus saving lives

7 The monies could be much better spent on other issues

I urge the Council to turn down the application.

Frank Harding
11 Pilgrim's Lane
Hampstead
NW3 1SJ