

[REDACTED]

From: Markwell, Jonathan
Sent: 10 July 2014 12:36
To: Planning
Subject: FW: 2014/4332/P, Hampstead and Highgate chains of ponds

Please log as a comment from the Designing Out Crime Officer.

Thanks,

Jonathan Markwell
Principal Planning Officer

Telephone: 0207 974 2453

From: [REDACTED] (mailto:[REDACTED])
Sent: 10 July 2014 11:56
To: Markwell, Jonathan
Subject: 2014/4332/P, Hampstead and Highgate chains of ponds

Jonathan,

I don't think I have a great deal to comment on this application.

The building should have security which is substantial and fit for purpose. There are building there at the moment which the security will have developed. Glazing should be laminated and opening windows and doors should be to an enhanced security standard such as BS PAS 24-2012.

Although as this site is isolated, shutters may be more appropriate to LPS 1175 sr 2 or higher.

The pathways should have clear sight lines and surveillance should be maximised.

Regards Adam Lindsay

Designing Out Crime Officer
Ruislip Police Station
The Oaks, Ruislip,
TP C&S North West

[REDACTED]

Office Email: [REDACTED]
www.immobilise.com

Consider our environment - please do not print this email unless absolutely necessary.

NOTICE - This email and any attachments may be confidential, subject to copyright and/or legal privilege and are intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. To avoid incurring legal liabilities, you must not distribute or copy the information in this email without the permission of the sender. MPS communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law. Consequently, any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude any binding agreement on behalf of the MPS by email. The MPS accepts no responsibility for unauthorised agreements reached with other employees or agents. The security of this email and any attachments cannot be guaranteed. Email messages are routinely scanned but malicious software infection and corruption of content can still occur during transmission over the Internet. Any views or opinions expressed in this communication are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS).

Find us at:

Facebook: [Facebook.com/metpoliceuk](https://www.facebook.com/metpoliceuk)

Twitter: [@metpoliceuk](https://twitter.com/metpoliceuk)

From: Elisabeth Waterhouse <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 11 July 2014 00:09
To: Dempsey, Matthew
Subject: Re: Consultee letter for PlanningApplication Application: 2014/4332/P

PlanningApplication application 2014/4332/P

Y2014/4332/P

Dear Mr Dempsey - Thank you for sending the above letter announcing the application for great changes to the Heath with regard to possible flooding.

I do ask that this plan should be rejected on the grounds that it is not necessary.

When rain falls, it flows 'downhill' so the main problem for water running off the Heath is to ensure that the drains and access down to the Thames are sufficient and not blocked up. I have understood that the problem in 1975 which was the last time that there was flooding in Gospel Oak was exactly that - the drains were not adequate. Since then I do believe there are 2 'storage tanks' and that there are 2 pipes 6feet diameter which carry, I can't remember how much water per minute, and I presume - down to the Thames - but I understood that that was sufficient to keep the water flowing off the Heath. There can be no value in creating large 'basins' for collecting water - most of the time these will be half empty or stagnant - it's not an enormous area. Urban London is and perhaps the drainage system for the residential areas is not adequate these days - so I am convinced that the answer lies in adequate sized drains.

We do live in a time of immediate communication with the world, so if a large storm breaks out we can all be alerted to possible trouble and get out of danger.

No firm should have been given a contract to carry out this completely devastating work on the Heath before looking at ALL the options. As I understand things - a firm of Dam builders has been commissioned to 'do this'. They might have been consulted - but I *sincerely hope that the planning application will be turned down.*

So thank you for hearing my small plea that it SHOULD NOT HAPPEN

Yours respectfully Elisabeth Waterhouse

On Wed, Jul 9, 2014 at 4:55 PM, Dempsey, Matthew <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Please find attached Consultee letter for PlanningApplication application 2014/4332/P

Y2014/4332/P

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.