From: Cyra Croft <

Sent: 11 August 2014 14:53 **To:** Planning

Subject: application ref: 2014/4679/P

Dear Peter Higginbottom.

I live at 6 Bonny Street, London NW1 9PG. I object to this application on the following grounds:

We do not need another over sized residential space in an already crammed street. The proposed development comes directly after the massive, over sized, ill conceived development, your planning department already gave Taylor Wimpy permission to build. This Taylor Wimpy development has had the effect of overloading the already inadequate infrastructure. The no parking clause is being broken by residents who use cars. This proposed development 2014/4679/P will add more problems to these already overcrowded streets.

The external appearance and the proposed materials for this development (2014/4679/P) are out of keeping with the existing buildings and will cause,

Loss of daylight to the adjacent residences.

Traffic and parking issues

Increase in the already intolerable levels of noise.

In addition as the planning department you do not only have to consider commercial advantages to developers and a handful of businesses, you are supposed to take into account the density of population and the lack of essential services. For example, where are the school places for all these extra residents? Where are the GP services? Where is the parking? Where are the extra buses and trains

The extra phone lines and Internet services required for the Taylor Wimpy development have already effected the services of the existing residents, (as a result of the failure of phone companies and Internet companies to provide enough extra Chanel's for their customers. Since, like the council, their only criteria is profit.)

The drains are constantly foul smelling because they have to accommodate so many extra residents. Did the water company or the government build extra sewage facilities to cope with the increase in population? An increase Camden Council are actively encouraging at the expense of existing rate payers.

The noise nuisance is increasingly becoming intolerable.

In short this small Victorian street is grossly overdeveloped already.

However in keeping with your planning department's history of close relationships with developers at the expense of the public; your failure to provide adequate sustainable development by limiting the massive growth in the population without a corresponding growth in infrastructure and essential services; your complete failure to take into account the overburdened transport systems and the crowded public transport; the lack of school places, the lack of GP services, the overburdened A&E departments, the underfunded hospitals and all the other top heavy social problems the borough has to accommodate; I have no doubt despite an array of cogent objections your department will see fit to grant this application.

I note you sent this application in August at the height of the summer holidays, no doubt because you think your department will receive fewer objections on account of residents being on holiday. This fact has not escaped residents notice. It has been the subject of comments and complaints.

The word planning can only apply to those with foresight. The over sized, financially inflated development directly behind us has not served the needs of the borough in any meaningful sense. It provides token social housing for a few people no doubt allowing the Council to claim it houses people. In fact many thousands have been forced to either live in sub standard accommodation or leave London due to constant oversize developments inflating property prices. This in turn has led to increasing pressure on all Londoners.

As a Council you constantly add more overpriced residences whilst doing nothing to create residential environments. You treat the public with a complete lack of respect. It is the public in whose name you are supposed to be planning for. Instead you work for developers in the interest of quick profit, not long term planning.

I believe asking the public for its views is a paper exercise designed to tick boxes and go through the motions of what Camden Council call planning. You do not appear to take the interests of the borough and the interests of sustainable development seriously.

I've made my views clear about this application. I object to it on all the grounds mentioned above.

Cyra Croft