From: CAROL MURPHY

04 August 2014 10:57 Sent. To:

Planning

Subject: Application Number 2014/4332/P

Application Number 2014/4332/P

I wish to object in the strongest possible terms to this application.

It is hard to imagine the mindset that would even contemplate the destruction of one of London's best loved and most used delights.

The tree felling alone would have an appalling effect.. The giant spillways envisaged between the ponds would disastrously impact on wildlife and the appearance of the area, Catchpit Valley, destroyed for ever. I object also to the idea of a 2.5m dam above the Men's Pond, and to the idea of a concrete dam below it.

The machinery involved in this work, would in and of itself cause lasting damage and the destruction for all time of the the unique section of Millfield Lane that passes the entrance to the Women's Pond.

The City of London in putting forward this Application, has ignored the independent experts who say that no such work is necessary. They have, instead, freely accepted the opinions of those who will massively financially benefit from the contracts

The opinion of independent experts is that the required result could be achieved at a much reduced cost, with softer measures which would preserve the Ponds and the beautiful and beloved Heath for its wildlife and its many appreciative visitors.

Please will you carefully consider the many valid objections being put forward by Heath lovers and the independent experts who have given their opinions.

REFUSE THE APPLICATION!

Yours faithfully,

Carol Murphy.

From:

Valerie Chalfen -04 August 2014 11:34 Sent: Planning To:

Subject: Application 2014/4332/p

Object!

Sent from my iPhone

From: Anthony Tomei
Sent: 04 August 2014 11:46

To: Planning

Subject: 2014/4332/P - Hampstead Heath Ponds

I am writing to object to the proposed works on the ponds on Hampstead Heath.

I have read the various documents and attended the consultation exhibition held by the Corporation of London. I do not have anything new to add to the many objections you will have received, but I want to say, as many others have said, that I found the reasoning behind the works unconvincing and the proposed actions completely out of proportion to the supposed risks.

The medium term disruption will be enormous and the long term permanent changes unsightly, especially those at the Boating pond, and the large new dam in the valley behind the mixed pond. Most of the rest of the changes seem acceptable and proportionate but these two, which constitute the majority of the works, seem quite unreasonable.

Yours Faithfully

Anthony Tomei

Anthony Tomei 100 Highgate West Hill London N6 6NR From: Tracey Bates

Sent: 04 August 2014 11:56

To: Planning

Subject: Hampstead Heath Dams and Ponds Project Ref 2014/4332/P

Dear Sir or Madam.

I would like to object in the strongest way possible to the proposed plans for Hampstead Heath.

I am a regular user of the Heath and especially the Women's Pond and I feel the development would not enhance the Heath in any way and would mean this proposed work would put the Heath and ponds out of action for a considerable time.

Please consider the needs of locals and visitors to London above the needs of this project before you agree to anything.

As you can see I work in sport and feel that it is important that an important resource for walking, running and swimming is not harmed in any way and remains open continuously.

Kind regards Tracey Bates

Tracey Bates | Customer Relations
Communications
The FA Group
Wembley Stadium I Wembley | London | HA9 0WS
Postal address: Wembley Stadium, PO Box 1966, London SW1P 9EQ
T+44 (0) 844 980 8200
www.TheFA.com

If you wish to reply, please click on the link below to go to the contact us form http://www.thefa.com/feedback

This communication contains information which is confidential, which may be privileged, and which is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient please note that any distribution, disclosure, use or copying of any part of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error please notify us by return email or by telephone on +44 (0)844 980 8200 and delete this communication and any copies of it. The FA Group (which for the purpose of this communication means The Football Association Limited and its subsidiary companies including Wembley National Stadium Limited, National Football Centre Limited and FA Learning Limited) does not warrant that this email is free from error, viruses, malware, data-damaging material or other defects, or is compatible with your equipment or fit for any purpose. The FA Group may monitor, intercept and block emails addressed to its users or take any other action in accordance with its email use policy.

Statements or opinions may be expressed in this communication that are personal to the sender and do not necessarily represent the views of The FA Group or any member of it. Unless expressly stated otherwise, no member of The FA Group shall be bound by any contract or obligation purported to be created by this communication.

This communication has originated from the communications system of The FA Group.

The Football Association Limited (Company number 77797), Wembley National Stadium Limited (Company number 3388437) National Football Centre Limited (Company number 2523346) and FA Learning Limited (Company number 04249339) are all registered in England and Wales, with their registered office at Wembley Stadium, Wembley, London HA9 0WS. For The FA Tel: 0844 980 8200. http://www.thefa.com. For Wembley National Stadium Limited Tel: +44(0)844 980 8001 http://www.wembleystadium.com.

From: Victoria Lowe <

Sent: 04 August 2014 12:13 **To:** Planning

Subject: Application number 2014/4332/P

My Grounds for objection:

The City of London has based its plans on:

Unrealistic computer modeling that assumed the collapse of all existing dams, no warning and no emergency services and the very worst kind of storm ever possible - predicted to happen only once in 400,000 years.

Regards

Victoria

Sent from my iPhone

From: ROBERT Pellegrinetti

Sent: 04 August 2014 12:42

To: Planning

Cc: Revah, Larraine (Councillor); McCormack, Maeve (Councillor); Blackwell, Theo (Councillor); philipdiones@gmail.com; Gimson, Sally (Councillor)

Subject: Dams on Hampstead Heath: for attention of Jonathan Markwell

As a resident of Oak Village, I fully support the City of London's Planning Application no. 2014/4332/P (and the Associated Applications 2014/2149/PRE, 2013/7231/P and 2014/0320/P).

I believe it complies with Camden's Core Strategy, Development Policy 23, and will provide increased protection against flooding for much of our community and other downstream communities in certain circumstances.

My house was flooded to a depth of 5 feet in 1975, and I do not want to go through that ordeal again. I welcome the City's initiative.

Robert Pellegrinetti 23 Oak Village. From: Maire Fahey

Sent: 04 August 2014 13:00

To: Planning

Subject: Application no 2014/4332/P

to Camden Planners.

I'm writing to object to the horrendous plans to build huge dams on Hampstead bathing ponds, which will mean closure of these beloved ponds for at least a couple of years and destruction of this beautiful part of the Heath forever.

It is madness and seems to be based on a wildly exaggerated flood threat. I understand there is a much less intrusive option that would still offer the necessary safeguard against floods - improving existing dams, installing early warning systems and Improving the Heath's capacity to absorb water

Hampstead Heath and the ponds are a jewel in London's crown and are treasured by residents and visitors alike. Please listen to the people and do the right thing to preserve the ponds and the Heath. Say no to the dams.

Máire FAHEY

owner 101 Castlehaven Road, NW1 8SJ Sent from my iPad From: Pj McCullagh <

Sent: 04 August 2014 13:04

To: Planning

Subject: Hampstead ponds

The proposed work is an over-reaction to a projected problem, which will have a devastating effect on our heath. It should be stopped

From: andrew marks

Sent: 04 August 2014 13:20

To: Planning

Subject: Application 2014/4332/P

Dear Madam, Sir

I would like to register my very strong objection to these proposed works on Hampstead Heath.

In my view the works are completely unnecessary, and they would seriously adversely affect the Heath.

Regards

Andrew Marks (regular Heath user for decades)