

[REDACTED]

From: Michael Coley-Smith <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 04 August 2014 19:32
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application 2014/4332/P

Sir/Madam,

I wish to object to the proposed building of dams and spillways on Hampstead Heath. I believe that this is totally unnecessary, and will involve a major destruction of amenities (i.e. the swimming lakes) which I and many people love. I urge you not to give planning permission for this work. Other experts should be consulted and the reliance on one set of experts should be regarded as questionable.

Your sincerely,
Michael Coley-Smith

[REDACTED]

From: Bradley Adams [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 August 2014 20:11
To: Planning
Subject: Hampsted Ponds Dams

Dear Sir

I have looked carefully at the plans for the proposed Dam works to the Ponds on Hampstead Heath. I feel they are an over reaction to the 'problem' and I strongly object to them going ahead. It seems to me that there are far less radical alternatives to a problem badly assessed!

I file my objection.

Yours Sincerely

Bradley Adams
98 SOUTH HILL PARK
NW3 2SN

[REDACTED]

From: Victor Trott [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 August 2014 20:27
To: Planning
Subject: Application Number2014/4332/P

I wish to object to the city of London Corporation planning application on the grounds that it's plans are based on unrealistic computer modeling that assumed: the.collapse of existing dams ;no warning and no emergency services;and the very worst kind of storm possible-predicted to happen only once in 400,000 years.more than 160 trees cut down .plans would devastate this unique and beautiful natural environment vital to local wildlife and migrating birds, and enjoyed by millions of visitors. Also giant spillways between ponds gouged out. A 2.5m. Dam above the men's pond and a concrete wall below will ruin the beauty of this natural area. Please leave these hideous plans alone.

[REDACTED]

From: Anita Harper <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 04 August 2014 21:40
To: Planning
Subject: Ponds

dear sir/madam,

I would like to register my objection to the proposed dam project on the heath. It has suspect creditability on too many points. Much more detailed e/plantations should be required before approval.

Brian Haddon.

N19 5NQ

[REDACTED]

From: Annie Parker [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 August 2014 22:16
To: Planning
Subject: Application number 2014/4332/P

To whom it may concern,

I would like to lodge my objection to the planning requested to build dams and spillways on Hampstead Heath.

Ruining a unique and beautiful part of the Heath can not be necessary.

I urge you to consider this application seriously and review other alternatives.

Kind regards,

Annie Parker

[REDACTED]

From: daniel moorhouse - [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 August 2014 23:01
To: Planning
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Planned Works on Hampstead Heath

I am writing to object to the planned works on Hampstead Heath.

As a 1 in 400, 000 chance of flooding is cited as the reason to undertake massive loss of mature trees.

Huge man made constructions destroying what is a natural environment now a rarity in London.

There are clearly huge costs involved of £17 million on works that are highly unlikely to have any impact in a flood as most people will be at home where sewers create a bigger risk.

The importance of the Heath is it's natural beauty and unspoiled wildlife in amongst one of the busiest cities in the World this would be more of a devastating permanent loss to Londoners than the minimal risk to life of the Heath Flooding.

The Heath has never flooded in it's 300 year history.

These works are vastly destructive on a huge scale to an area of natural beauty renowned and cherished for being just that.

These works have no place on something that has never needed such man made intrusion.

IF IT'S NOT BROKE DON'T FIX IT!

I OBJECT TO THESE WORKS.

Daniel Moorhosue
Sent from Windows Mail

[REDACTED]

From: Felix Appelbe <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 04 August 2014 23:04
To: Planning
Subject: Application number 2014/4332/P

Highgate ponds and surrounds

As a local resident for 66 years, I strongly object to this application :

1. It will ruin the health and pleasure of so many local people who use the ponds for fitness and recreation.
2. It is illegal because the Heath was saved from such development by hundreds of Local people subscribing to it remaining a public open space free from development. If you check the covenants you will find this so in addition to being saved by historic local custom.
3. Local historic trees are preserved , making the Heath an area of unique beauty within London and painted by no less than the famous John Constable.
4. Rate payers will be subject to massive legal costs adding to the rate per £pound.

Felix Appelbe

[REDACTED]

From: Monica Teversham [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 August 2014 23:13
To: Planning
Subject: planning objection

planning application 2014/4332/p

I wish to object to the dams on Hampstead Heath. The proposals "in the interests of safety" are a grossly excessive response to the danger, and have been based on an incorrect interpretation of the law. They will ruin permanently the look of the Heath and lead to huge disruption for people and wildlife nearby.

Yours

M. Teversham
38 Talbot Rd
Highgate N6 4QP

[REDACTED]

From: manuela goetz - [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 August 2014 23:29
To: Planning
Subject: 2014/4332/P Strong objection to planning application highgate ponds

Importance: High

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am strongly objecting to the above. I have been a swimmer at the ponds for 15 years and love the heath in general. It is one of the very few areas, which still remains natural. I strongly object with thousands of others to the above planning project and believe that the plans suggested by independent sources should be followed. I think it is a disaster to even consider what Camden is suggesting and I hope with all my heart that the plans will not pass the legal challenge.

Best wishes,

Manuela Goetz BA (Hons)

[REDACTED]

From: JOHN Crouch <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 04 August 2014 23:41
To: Planning
Subject: Hampstead dam proposals

would wreck the environment, I live in the area that may be deluged and have no worries on that account, the statistical probability makes this a laughable idea if it did not cost so much and destrou so much. I suspect it is being driven by the potential contactors and those influenced by the contractors. Having the World Cup in Qatar is infinitely more sensible.