

From: Eileen Willmott [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 August 2014 01:19
To: Planning
Subject: DPCAAC tree applications; List 281

Dear planners,

2014/4623/T No objection
2014/4878/T No objection
2014/5062/T No objection

2014/4942/T TPO Ref; 2003
2014/5043/T I strongly object to the felling of this Ash tree. It had a
TPO served in 2013 and is of great amenity value, especially
to the people who overlook it, living in Denyer House,
who have no garden of their own.

2014/4947/T Both trees need to be severely pruned as soon as possible as
both their neighbours and the people in the eastern side of Brookfield Park are
affected. A neighbour was hit in the neck by a falling branch. They both show
evidence of previous pruning and the Sycamore, some
pollarding. I suggest that the trees are cut back to their much
earlier reductions points and shaped. These trees need to
be pruned regularly in the future.

suffering I understand that the people in Brookfield Park are
No from overgrowth of an Ash at No 45 and a Sycamore at
47. Please may these be monitored?

2014/4998/T TPO Ref: C903
I supported the granting of a TPO on this tree. However, it
No has grown far too large and blocks the light to the front to
in 33A and its neighbour. I support its reduction to 10m
height, but it will have to be expertly cut to retain its shape.

Thank you,

Eileen Willmott