

Comments Form

Name MR MARY J. PICKERSILL

Address 65 UPPER PARK ROAD, LONDON NW3 2UL

Email address [REDACTED]

Telephone number [REDACTED]

Planning application number 2014/4731P

Planning application address 65 UPPER PARK ROAD

I support the application (please state reasons below)

☐

I object to the application (please state reasons below)

☒

Your comments

Please note that the attached letter is a copy of that delivered to the Town Hall address at 18:30 hrs on 1st September, 2014. It was stamped and put into the Internal Mail but I understand from Mr Dean that as of today (5th September, 2014) it has not been delivered to the Planning Dept. I am therefore re-directing it to what I understand is the current address.

Attn. Katrina Dean
Camden Planning Applications
Town Hall, Tudd Street
WCH 8ND

1st September, 2014
65, Upper Park Rd.

Dear Ms. Dean,

Comments on Planning Application 2014/4713/P
63, Upper Park Rd., NW32HL

1) Conservatory to rear

- The conservatory extends across the whole width of the small garden and represents over-development of the space, out of keeping with adjoining properties.
- The proposals appear to involve excavation of soil adjacent to the party fence and would necessitate reconstruction and reinforcement at the party boundary with No. 65.
- The applicant states that the conservatory height will not exceed the current "high boundary fencing". It is not, however, acknowledged in the application that the first two metres of the fence measured from and adjacent to the house are about 33cm lower than the further, higher part.
- In addition, I cannot verify the applicant's measurements. By my measurements, the applicant's conservatory brick wall would project

(CONTINUED)

(2)

Planning Application 2014/4713/P (continued)

(Conservatory, cont.)

above the lower fence by about 60 cm and above the higher part by 27 cm.

These projections would result in loss of daylight, sunlight and a pleasant view and, thus, be unwelcome.

2) Balcony rail (completed several weeks ago).

- The balcony floor has been reconstructed and brought forward to the outer edge of the gutter, thus projecting beyond the house wall and further than previously. It ^(the floor) is built right up to the party wall and now allows a view of the whole of the back garden of No. 65 right up to the back wall of the house, resulting in a further loss of privacy over the original development. (Objects dropped from the balcony are even more likely than before to land in the garden of No. 65)
- The design of the rail is stark black ironwork, out of keeping with the rest of the terrace (which is in a conservation area).
- There was an unsafe gap at the bottom, now partially blocked.

Yours faithfully, Mary J. Pickersgill.

(DR. M. J. PICKERSGILL).