Co				- 1	E 6	vm
CO	ш	116	ш	5	Гυ	,, ,,,

Name James taily
Address 124 Tollians Are NWS 2244.
Email address
Telephone number
Planning application number. 2014 / 4-55 4- /P
Planning application address OI BRECKNOCK FOAT
I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below)

Your comments

- Proposed height of roof extension is far too high. As this pub is at the top of a hill it will completely
  overshadow and dominate the neighbouring area. Will also result in the loss of chimneys, attractive render
  and quoins that are mirrored elsewhere on neighbouring 'end' houses. All contrary to Camden' own policy
  CS14-CONSERVING OUR HERITAGE
- Materials proposed aluminium louvres are totally out of character in a Victorian street.
- invariants proposed administration and a second proposed houses is out of character and the materials to be used have not been specified -why?
- balconies will result in loss of privacy for neighbours and once again not in keeping architecturally with this
- this Avenue has a history of subsidence increased weight on top of pub could create problems for houses further down the hill will they be pile driving?
- parking is already a big issue in the road if only half the potential 18 occupants seek permits will mean 9 extra places
- · insufficient provision for waste and recycling for 6 flats and the pub?
- · insufficient bike storage -only 6 proposed not sufficient /amenity for number who could occupy the flats
- · no 'green space' at all planned for flat residents. Presumably Camden has a green environmental policy?
- can the pub survive as a business with so many flats above or will we find in years time an application is made for 2 flats on the ground floor?
- The Gloucester, The Admiral Mann and now possibly The Leighton Pub (by stealth)- will be lost in the immediate area within the last 6 months.
- flats could potentially accommodate 18 people taking into account the 2 new 4 storey houses proposed next door why are none allocated for affordable rents or social housing?

This planning application **cannot** be considered separately to the proposed 2 houses in the **garden** of this publit is a total **overdevelopment** of the site.

Camden Planning Application Number 2014/4554/P (Leighton Pub) Planning application address: 101 Brecknock Road N7 ODA

I strongly object to the planning application 2014/4554/P for the following reasons.

- the height of the new roof extension on the pub, which is already the highest (being at the top of a slope) and bulkiest building at the junction of Torriano Avenue and Brecknock Road will dominate and overshadow the neighbourhood and have a negative impact. It is contrary to Camden's own core strategy CS14 and does not respect the context and local heritage.
- the proposed extension will lead to the demolition of the chimneys and architectural features of the Victorian Pub which are part of the distinct character of the building and are mirrored in other corner properties in the area (DP 25 states that Camden values local heritage). It will also harm the uniform group of housing stock on Brecknock Road and have a negative impact on the houses directly opposite at 128-134 Torriano Avenue which are on Camden's Local List
- inappropriate materials proposed for roof screening-aluminium louvre screening is detrimental and does not complement the host building. It is inappropriate in a residential Victorian Street.
- Likewise the 'link/tower' between houses and Pub is completely out of character and is not consistent with local architecture. Why are the materials to be used not specified?
- proposed balconies overlooking the street will have a negative impact on the local existing symmetry and cause lack of privacy for neighbours (Camden DP26 developments need to consider the impact on neighbours).
- inadequate provision, for refuse /recycling for 6 flats, housing potentially 18 people and the Leighton Pub (a commercial enterprise)
- · none of the flats are available for affordable or social housing?
- · no disabled access for flat occupants
- flats can potentially house 18 people this could seriously contribute to parking stress and congestion for local residents. Camden's rejection of the erection of a one bedroom flat 2013/6719/P (91 Torriano Avenue) cited packing stress as a secondary reason to reject the development. (Camden's own Development Policy 18)
- · insufficient provision for bike storage for 18 potential residents in the flats (DP26)
- no green space/ soft landscaping for flat occupants who will be largely facing a main road

Though the developer would like us to think otherwise this application must taken in conjunction with the proposed two 4 storey houses in the garden of the Pub (2014/5401/P) and represents a considerable\_overdevelopment of the site\_i have lived in Torriano Avenue for 35 years and like most other residents can verify that the Pub garden has been used and enjoyed by the pub patrons. There is much photographic evidence to verify this and even a 'you tube' of patrons enjoying the garden in August 2014! It is not an 'infill site' nor is it a 'builders yard' as no planning permission has been applied for change of use. The Government Planning Policy Statement 3 (Housing) enables councils to prevent overdevelopment and 'garden grabbing' and on these grounds alone both planning applications should be rejected.

It is of concern to the local community that Camden Planning officers did not seek to notify, in writing, the residents in Torriano Avenue who are directly opposite the proposed development. Many people, especially the elderly, do not have internet access and have found it difficult to access the plans and drawing. Number 135 Torriano Avenue is the end house in Torriano Avenue and in accordance with Victorian building design and layout is more ornate. The adjacent gardens of Brecknock Road are part of the existing symmetry and are mirrored on local streets. They

provide a sense of light and openness which is highly valued as a local amenity and important to our quality of life. Any changes to this format poses a major change to the landscape and merits much wider consultation.

The Design and Access statement presented with this planning application is full of inaccuracies and is poorly researched. It does not respect local context and street pattern or, in particular, the scale and proportions of surrounding buildings and their proposals will be entirely out of the character of the area to the detriment of the local environment and thus should be rejected.

However I am not against a redevelopment of The Leighton. 101 Brecknock Road. All of their neighbours, along Brecknock Road have extensions that are subordinate to the original building in terms of scale and situation. But all have left some garden space at the end creating an open aspect which is environmentally beneficial, encourages biodiversity and is enjoyed as a visual amenity by all the residents. It is part of the local character of the place i.e. our sense of place'. Gardens help shape the local area and Camden states it will resist developments that occupies an excessive part of a garden. (24.20 page 95 Camden Development Policies 2010). There is absolutely no need for the developers to do an inappropriate roof extension when there is room for them to extend in their garden leaving some open aspect of garden at the end. Last but not least where are the plans to protect the very large mature Sycamore tree in the pub

garden during the building works?



Address: 126 Torriano Avenue NW5 2RY.

I wish to attend and speak at the Committee hearing regarding this planning application.

#### Comments

I object to the proposal and ask Camden Council to refuse the application on the following

2. All the residents including those who live appeals the proposed devices.

 This application along with planning application 2014/5401/P should be considered as one because they both refer to the same address by the same developer. As such they constitute serious overdevelopment of the site. I believe the Design and Access Statement as supplied is

Ross Fairley

2014/4554/P

126 Torriano Avenue NW5 2RY

The Leighton 101 Brecknock Road N7

Date: 26-4-2014

Name:

Address:

grounds.

grounds.

disabled access

Signed:

highlights conserving local heritage.

developments on neighbours.

Planning Application NO:

Planning Application Address:

full of inaccuracies is condescending and arrogant.

	been notified of the planning application by post as it involves drastic changes to the character of the area. Not all residents, particularly elderly residents, have internet access.
	The Leighton /101 Leighton Road is already the highest building in the area and the proposed and misleading drawings fail to show how much more overbearing the proposed roof extension will be.
	The proposed flats can potentially house 18 people which could seriously add to the parking congestion already experienced by current residents. Camden Council refused planning application 2013/6719/P (91 Torriano Avenue) on the grounds that the proposed single flat

would result in parking congestion. Therefore 6 flats must surely be refused on the same

The proposed design and materials (aluminium and timber) proposed are not appropriate for a Victorian street and totally against Camden's own Development Plan (DP25) which

6. None of the flats are available for affordable or social housing . Nor are they suitable for

 There is inadequate provision for refuse/recycling and bicycle storage in the plans submitted
 The balconies overlooking Torriano Avenue will result in lack of privacy for existing residents. Camden Development Plan DP 26 states the importance of managing the impact of

These listed reasons are some of the points why I believe the application should be refused.

□ *
Z ·

#### Your comments

- . ROOF TOO HIGH FOR LOCAL AREA LOSS OF PRIVACY
- · ALUMINIUM MATERIALS TOO UGLY AND NOT IN KEEPING WITH THE CHARACTER OF STREET WHICH IS VICTORIAN @ 1850
- · PARKING A REAL PROBLEM FOR ELDERLY IN ROAD ALREADY
- . NO EVIDENCE ON PLANS FOR APPROPRIATE RUBBISH AND RECYCLING PROVISION FOR 6 FLATS

Name NYRIA GORGION
Address 133 TORRIANO AVE NWS 26X
Email address
Telephone number
Planning application number. 2014/4554/P
Planning application address. 101. BRECKNUCK, P.D., N.7.
I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below)
Your comments
I have lived here for SI years and Strongly object to the above mentioned application. Roof extention far too high for this street and the flat roof on top will create a lack of privacy for all local residents—  The materials proposed are foldly out of character in a victorian street.  To access for disabled people.  To me loss of light, particularly in the garden.  Parking is already an issue in this area—  Methis, taken in Conjuction with the building of two four storey houses in the pub garden is clearly an overdirelopment planners ghould take into account the quality of life of people who have lived here for many years.

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

Name TAWIA DE GREEFF and Jessica de GREE
Address 113B BRECKNOW ROMP
Email address
Telephone numbe
Planning application number. 2014/4554/P
Planning application address. LEIGHTON ARMS PUB
I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below)
Your comments
I object for the following reasons.
· Increased Noise
. Overdevelopment
2 Loss of privacy
· Reduction of parting places.
. Spoil the character of the local over.
14-9-14

Name Rose McChbon	
Address S. Lughon Grove	
Email address	
Telephone number	
Planning application number. 2504 / 4554 / P	
Planning application address ! bredwoch Road / Tomana menu	e
I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below)	_
Your comments	
1 object to the proposal. This is an overdevelopment of an already dense Corner. The pub is a community asset which is always busy and should be	

overdevelopment of an already dense Corner. The pub is a Community asset which is always busy and should be Charised. In Combination with simultaneous planning application 2004/5401/P this is a clear attempt to encroach on the pub - destroying its garden and character in such a way as to make it unviable. This rew development will take out light will oversoon several residential homes, increase congestion and inevocably destroy the pleasant, historic pub and coral area.

Name	1-40	MACG	11313020	
Address	is ce	16470M	CROVE	
Email address				······································
Telephone number				
Planning applicatio	n number	2014/	4554/	P
Planning applicatio	n address(0	1 BRECK N	10LK ROAD /7	arriano Nemuz

I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below)

#### Your comments

This is an overdend spread of the site which will endanger the viability of the pub; an important load community hub. I belie the developer may not have the feture security of the pub as a primary concern and that the loss of the pub would be damagely to the load community.

Name SHIRLEY BLACKTAN
Address BLEIGHTON GROVE
Email address
Telephone number.
Planning application number
Planning application address 2014/4554/P
I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below)
Your comments
I OBJECT TO THIS PROPOSAL DUE TO LOSS OF PRIVACY, LOSS OF PARKING.
TOO CROWNED & DESIGN OUT OF
Noise
14.9.2014

mail address	······			 	
elephone number				 	
anning application number	2014/.41	91.4Z		 	
anning application address		***********		 	
support the application (please stablect to the application (pleas	ate reas tate reas	ons below sons belov	<i>y</i> )		Ø
our comments	*	-			
I wish to object to	the	proposal	because		
Loss of LIGHT.					
Loss of alcenty					
Loss of alcenity Loss of Palking.					
Loss of alcentry Lose of Paleura. BAD DESIGN					
Loss of alcenity Loss of Palking.					
Loss of alcentry Lose of Paleura. BAD DESIGN					

Name GRAMAM ROTSINISON	
Address 120 JORRIANO AVE	
Email address	
Telephone number	
Planning application number 2014/4554/P Leighton Pulo.	· · · · · · ·
Planning application address O BRECINDEL ROAD N7	
I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below) Your comments	0 ×
THE POLITION MATERIALS AND STYLENCY ARE NOT INKERPIN WITH THE SURROLLWOING HOUSES WHICH I FEEL SHOULD BE PRESURVED. R  PARKING IS ALLROADY DIFFITCHED IN THIS AREA THE EXTRA FLATS WILL NOT HOLD  AMEDITIES IN THE AROA ARE NOT INCREASED TO CORE WITH EXTRA PROPLE IE DOCTORD SCHOOL HOSPITALS ETC.  THE ONLY PROPLE YOUR HELDING BY GRANTING PROMISSIO ARE GREATLY DEVELOPORD WHO HOURD NOT PLAT  THINGS LIKE THIS WHERE THEY LIVE.	

Name	λ	
Address 120 TORPIANO 1	tue	
Email address		
Telephone number		
Planning application number 2014 / 4-554	P Leight	on Pulo.
Planning application address 101 BRTUND	CK ROAD N7	
I support the application (please state real object to the application (please state real)		0 /
Your comments		
. Inappropriate being used . Parking is 2		11 E
1		
1		

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

	ORNANO AVENUE NOS
Email address	
elephone number	
	n number 2014 / 4554 / P.
Planning application	n address IDI. BRECKNOCK ROAD NO
support the applica	ation (please state reasons below) cation (please state reasons below)
our comments	3
Balconies	of aluminium is inappropriate and does the surrounding victorian street.  averlooking the street and surrounding area is a limit to privacy.

Name STEPHEN GANE		
Address FLAT 1, 124	+ TORRIANO AVE, LONDON	NW3
Email address		
Telephone number		
Planning application number2015	4/4554/P	
Planning application address107	1 BRECHNOCH RD	
I support the application (please state is lobject to the application (please state	reasons below) reasons below)	
Your comments		
BUILDING & KNE HAVE JOTH AESTHE	CL UNDERMINE VICTORIAN DOLH DOWN CHIMNEYS WA ETIC & HERLIACE VALL	HCH UE
· INADEQUATE PARTIE	TREETS	or

Name Blanka Wrig	ht			
Address 119 <sup>A</sup> Torrian	1.40		1115 20V	
Address9 10111an	o Ave	me 1	WS ZKX	
Email address	·			
Telephone number				
Planning application number		***************************************		
Planning application address!	1 Breci	knock R	oad N	W5
I support the application (please st I object to the application (please st	tate reasons state reasor	s below) ns below)		
Your comments				
believe Carriden local heritage who put formard in this extending reproduces becomes Jovelooking clock with the high Balconies with the high the princey of the The setra flots problems for the alverdy grade of The list of object doesn't seem as for refuse a veryel as well as the F	planm hith in pran Av hould hould cocal iff cult hous is dequate	controlly apple apple apple apple of the fee	to the property water the water the same this things to see the addition to party.	rals in all the male male male male male male male mal

Name SUSAN JOHNS Con secretary Tortians	Mesting House com
Address 99 TORRIAND AVENCE NWS	2 R X
Email address	
Telephone num	
Planning application number. 2014 4554 P. Leighton Arm's public	· Korus o
Planning application address.	ad NWS N70
I support the application (please state reasons below)	0 *
I object to the application (please state reasons below)	
Your comments	
These application is limbed to another to be built at the near of the same on would appear to be gross overdevelop crowding of the sets  There is no provision for, or offse offerdable from sing	ment and
Extensive building works would be eith the viability of the pub brusiness, leading yet another local amenity.  Russing the roofline would make it he surrounding building and, with any alters façades, would sport the largely intact street scape along both frontages.  In particular, the increased built and addit be overtearing, and destroy the present harm of the corner buildings at the Brecknock R	ighes than the thems to the pleasant tens would noneix before

Name CATHERINE FRIED			
Address 110 TORRIANO A	FNVE		
Email address			
Telephone numbe			
Planning application number2014	4224	.P	Puls.
Planning application address	ZEKNOCK	ROAD. 1	VW5
Loupport the application (alarmatic			

I support the application (please state reasons below)
I object to the application (please state reasons below)

#### Your comments

I strongly object to the proposed 200 f
extention. It's too high and the materials proposed
are totally ont of character for the area, which is
unusually homogenous. Moreover, the balconies are
obtrusive and involve loss of powacy for the neighbours. Parking is already officult and it will be
exacerbated with the abolitional six flats. From the
exacerbated with the abolitional six flats. From the
plans it appears that No green spaced has been earmanded.
Subsidence is already a big issue here and the exha
weight of another on the last house (put) at the top
of the hill will exent too much pressure and have a
kno ck-on affect on the neighbouring houses.

Name Mamiko Prince Flat   29 Torriano Avenue	
Email address	
Telephone number	1 House
Your comments  Too high - Too many cars Not right materials for as	ea

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

	ile
Add	tress 108 Tokkiano Avenus, London Muss 28
Em	ail address
Tel	ephone numi
Pla	nning application number 2014/4554/P Pb
Pla	nning application address. 101 Brocknock Rd
	pport the application (please state reasons below)
I ob	eject to the application (please state reasons below)
You	ur comments
	MAIN problem is going to be parking— there was not emphaphed for residents as it is.  The building matricus do not fit in with Golding ord victorien horses— aluminum proposed??  Also ballonies oraborche the shart at not in keeping with other buildings and
	make privally on usua nuth residents

Planning application address. 101 RRECKWOCK RD.

I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below)

0 /

Your comments

· Joo high · Wong materials For the street · Parking Problems likely