

## 159 – 161 Iverson Road,

## **Geo-Environmental Report**

Formation Homes (London) Ltd



Status:InformationRevision:0

Manhire Associates Geo-Environmental Limited Project No.: Date: 13079

19<sup>th</sup> September 2014



## **Contents**

- 1.0 Synopsis
- 2.0 Site Description
- 3.0 Development Proposals
- 4.0 Geology
- 5.0 Field Work
- 6.0 Laboratory Testing
- 7.0 Ground Conditions
- 8.0 Discussion

#### **Appendices**

- Appendix A Bore Hole Records
- Appendix B Laboratory Test Results
- Appendix C Cover System
- Appendix D Figures



#### 1.0 Synopsis

An investigation has been carried out at 159 - 161 Iverson Road in West Hampstead on the instructions of Formation Homes (London) Ltd.

The purpose of the investigation was to determine the ground conditions and to provide advice on geotechnical matters for the proposed mixed use redevelopment of the site.

One boreholes and four windowless sampler holes were completed to determine the ground conditions, supported by a programme of in situ and laboratory testing.

The ground conditions revealed by the investigation comprised Made Ground overlying London Clay. It is expected that piled foundations will be required for the proposed works; whilst contamination results found an excess of one contaminant which will require a cover system to be utilised within the garden and landscaped areas.

#### 2.0 Site Description

The site comprises the existing Iverson Tyres site. This consists of a single storey building at the rear of the site with adjacent portacabon, with rough hardstanding at the front. There is a small overgrown area to the rear north east of the main building, although no access to this area was possible during our works. The general layout of the site is shown at Figure 1 of Appendix D.

The site is located within a mixed retail and residential environment.

Small areas of isolated light staining was noted on the surfacing.

#### 3.0 Development Proposals

It is proposed to demolish all building on site and build a 19 unit multi storey blocks covering the majority of the site, although an area of landscaping is to be provided to the east of the main building as shown at Figure 2. Loading are not yet know but are expected to be moderate and too be carried on pile foundations.

#### 4.0 Geology

Published records of the British Geological Survey indicate the site to lie on London Clay.

#### 5.0 Field Work

The extent of the field work was specified by the Client and comprised one light percussive borehole to 20m depth. This was supplemented by four windowless sampler holes to 3m depth to give a more detailed assessment of near surface soils.

Representative soil samples were recovered from the borehole pits for subsequent laboratory examination and testing; whilst Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) were carried out as appropriate. Details of the strata encountered are provided on the Borehole Record at Appendix A; together with particulars of the samples recovered, groundwater observations and SPT results. The profile of SPT with depth is also presented at Figure 3 of Appendix D.



#### 6.0 Laboratory Testing

The following laboratory tests were conducted on samples recovered during the field work:-

- Natural moisture content: to assess the in situ condition of the soil.
- Liquid and Plastic Limits: to classify cohesive soil into behavioural groups
- Unconsolidated undrained triaxial compression: to determine the shear strength of cohesive material and thus to assess its load bearing capacity.
- Soluble sulphate concentration and pH value: for the specification of buried concrete.
- Contamination: chemical analyses to detect the presence of common contaminants:-
- Metals and semi metals:
- Arsenic
- Cadmium
- Chromium
- Lead
- Mercury
- Selenium
- Copper
- Nickel
- Zinc

Organic compounds Total monohydric phenols Total petroleum hydrocarbons - TPH Speciated polyaromatic hydrocarbons - PAH Others Asbestos

Results of these tests are presented at Appendix B. The variation of shear strength with depth is also shown at Figure 4 of Appendix D.

#### 7.0 Ground Conditions

#### 7.1 Stratigraphy

The stratigraphy of the site as revealed by the boreholes is described in detail at Appendix A and in general terms hereafter.

#### 7.1.1 Made Ground

Made Ground was encountered in all exploratory holes and to a maximum of 2m depth in the percussive borehole.

Beneath the hardstanding it generally comprised a brick rubble with some ashy pockets overlying a brown and occasional grey green sandy clay with various man made detritus.



#### 7.1.2 London Clay

London Clay was proved in all boreholes beneath the Made Ground and was proved to the limit of investigation. It was principally found to be a fissured dark grey clay which is consistent with the un-weathered portion of the London Clay. A layer of brown clay with grey mottling and orange brown sandy silt pockets was encountered initially and represents the upper weathered portion.

Triaxial testing will show it being in a firm to stiff condition, which confirmed the visual assessment.

#### 7.2 Ground Water

No groundwater was only during boring, however the speed of drilling and the low permeability of the London Clay may have masked any inflows.

Details of all groundwater observations are provided on the Borehole Records at Appendix A.

#### 8.0 Discussion

#### 8.1 General

The site has already carried development and it is therefore probable that pockets of Made Ground may exist locally; perhaps deeper, of different character or associated with underground construction; even though not detected during this investigation.

The thickness of the Made Ground and the expected column loads are such that piled foundations are expected to be utilised.

#### 8.2 Piled Foundations

Either driven or bored piles would be suitable in the ground conditions found at this site. However, compared with bored piling, construction of driven piles generates greater noise and vibration which is unlikely to be acceptable in this environment. In particular, high levels of ground - borne vibrations could damage nearby structures. Consideration of the various advantages and disadvantages of the different pile types suggests CFA piles to be preferred. They avoid many of the installation difficulties that would otherwise be experienced; particularly the need for casing and control of water. Parameters for their preliminary design are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Design	parameters	for bored	piles -	Shaft friction
-----------------	------------	-----------	---------	----------------

Stratum	Depth, m	Ultimate unit shaft friction
All material	0.0 - 2.0	Ignore
London Clay	2.0 - 20.0	Increases linearly from 20 to 75 kPa

Table 1 has been derived in conjunction with an adhesion factor of 0.6 in the London Clay.

Stratum	Depth, m	Ultimate unit end bearing capacity
London Clay	10.0 - 20.0	Increases linearly from 655 to 1080 kPa

#### Table 2: Design parameters for bored piles - End bearing capacity

A factor of safety must be applied to derive the allowable working load from the ultimate values obtained from Tables 1 and 2. An overall value of 2.5 is commonly employed in compression.

Working pile load tests could be carried out to verify the chosen FoS on a development of this scale. This could result in a lower factor of safety than 2.5 which could result in significant cost savings. The actual factor of safety will be dependent upon the knowledge and experience of the chosen pilling contractor and agreement with relevant parties, but is generally in the order of 2.25.

Tables 1 and 2 predict that a CFA pile of 4500 mm diameter, bored to 18m depth, will have an allowable load capacity of some 460 kN required under an overall factor of safety of 2.5.

Table 3 provides preliminary load capacity for bored piles in compression under a factor of safety (FoS) of 2.5 for various pile diameters.

	Ultimate Unit				Pile dia. 4	30			Pile dia.	0.45		Pile dia. 0.60				
Depth	Cohesion	Cap	Capacity		ate Load Cap	acity	Allowable	Ultim	ats Load Cap	Acity	Allowable	Ultim	ate Losd Cap	acty	Allowable	
below g.I.		Shaft	End	Shaft	End	Total	Load	Shaft	End	Total	Load	Shaft	End	Total	Load	
		Friction	Bearing	Friction	Bearing		Capacity	Friction	Bearing		Capacity	Friction	Bearing		Capacity	
m	kPa	kPa	kPa	kN	kN	kN	kN	kN	kN	kN	kN	KN	EN	kN	KN	
2.0	35	21	315	0	22	22	9	0	50	50	20	0	89	.89	36	
2.5	37	22	336	10	24	34	14	15	53	69	28	20	95	116	46	
3.0	40	24	358	21	25	46	19	32	57	89	35	42	101	1.43	57	
3.5	42	25	379	33	27	59	24	49	60	109	44	65	1.07	172	69	
4.0	44	27	400	45	28	73	29	67	64	131	52	90	113	203	8	
4.5	47	28	421	58	30	88	35	87	67	154	61	116	119	235	94	
5.0	49	30	443	71	31	103	41	107	70	177	71	43	125	268	107	
5.5	52	31	464	86	33	118	47	128	74	202	8	171	131	302	121	
6.0	54	32	485	101	34	135	54	151	77	2.28	91	201	137	338	135	
6.5	56	34	506	116	36	152	61	174	81	255	102	232	1.43	375	150	
7.0	59	35	528	132	37	170	68	199	84	282	113	265	149	414	166	
7.5	61	37	549	149	39	188	75	224	87	311	124	298	155	454	181	
8.0	63	38	570	167	40	207	83	2.50	91	341	136	334	161	495	198	
8.5	66	39	591	185	42	227	91	2.78	94	372	149	370	167	537	215	
9.0	68	-41	613	204	43	247	99	306	97	403	16	408	173	581	232	
9.5	70	42	634	224	45	268	107	335	101	436	174	447	179	626	251	
10.0	73	44	655	244	46	290	116	366	104	470	188	488	185	673	269	
10.5	75	45	676	265	48	313	125	397	108	505	202	529	191	721	288	
0.11	78	47	698	286	49	336	134	429	TH	540	216	573	197	770	308	
11.5	80	48	719	309	51	359	144	463	114	577	231	617	203	820	328	
12.0	82	49	740	331	52	384	153	497	118	615	246	663	209	872	349	
12.5	85	51	761	355	54	409	164	533	121	654	261	710	215	925	370	
13.0	87	52	783	379	55	435	174	569	124	693	277	759	221	980	392	
13.5	89	54	804	40.4	57	461	184	606	128	734	294	808	227	1036	414	
14.0	92	55	825	430	58	488	195	645	131	776	310	860	233	1093	437	
14.5	94	56	846	456	60	516	206	684	135	819	327	912	239	1151	46	
1.5.0	96	58	868	483	61	544	218	724	1.38	862	345	966	245	1211	484	
15.5	99	59	889	511	63	573	229	766	[4]	907	363	1021	251	1272	509	
16.0	101	61	910	539	64	603	241	808	145	953	381	1078	257	335	534	
16.5	103	62	931	568	66	634	253	852	148	000	400	1135	263	1399	559	
17.0	106	64	953	597	67	665	266	896	151	1047	419	1195	269	464	586	
17.5	108	65	974	628	69	696	279	941	155	1096	438	1255	275	1530	612	
18.0	111	66	995	658	70	729	292	988	158	1146	458	1317	281	1598	639	
18.5	113	68	1015	690	72	762	305	1035	162	1197	479	1380	287	667	667	
19.0	115	69	1038	722	73	796	318	1083	165	1249	#83	1445	293	1738		
19.5	118	71	1059	755	75	830		1133	168	1301	122	1511	299	1810		
20.0	120	72	1080	789	76	865	346	1183	172	355	\$40	1578	305	883	233	
				-						-						

#### **Table 3: Pile diameters and capacities for bored piles** (FoS of 2.5)

Single piles could stress soil below depth of investigation; prove ground conditions throughout zone of stress before using. Pile groups should be considered separately.



The actual load capacity achieved in practice depends upon the precise installation procedures. Advice should therefore be sought from specialist contractors to verify the load capacity and settlement characteristics of their particular piles in the ground conditions revealed by this investigation. In any event, it is recommended that the chosen pile configuration be confirmed by preliminary load tests conducted before installation of the contract piles in order to take advantage of minimum FoS and thus minimum cost.

#### 8.3 Ground Floor Slabs

The previously mentioned thickness and variability of the Made Ground is such that suspended ground floor construction should be adopted.

#### 8.4 Excavations

#### 8.4.1 Stability

Made Ground is inherently variable in both composition and compaction and should thus be regarded as unstable. It is recommended that all excavations should be supported at all times. This is especially important for the safety of personnel when required to work in or close to excavations.

It should be ensured that support is always provided to adjacent structures and that temporary works are sufficient to resist the additional lateral earth pressure from the structures without significant deformation.

It is expected that shallow excavations should remain sensibly dry.

#### 8.5 Contamination

Contaminant testing was undertaken on selected soil samples and the results compared with the limited number of CLEA[1] Soil Guideline Values (SGVs) for residential land use that have been published to date. Where not available from that source, reference has also been made to the LQM CIEH Generic Assessment Criteria[2]. Appropriate trigger levels are given within the results at Appendix B. All results for metals and semi metals are below the relevant trigger concentrations.

There is no trigger presently available for lead, but the results are at worst less than half the previous trigger of 450mg/kg and are thus not expected to prejudice the development. In addition the results are below the value of 276mg/kg provided in the AtRiskSoil database produced by Atkins.

The results of the TPH analysis found levels to be below detection limits or at very low concentrations and are thus not expected to prejudice the development.

Elevated level of PAH's have been found for the vigorous carcinogen Benzo (a) pyrene [B(a)P] which was found to be above the trigger concentration of 0.83mg/kg in all samples.

Based on the above it is considered that where in areas of hardstanding or below building footprints, contaminants can remain in situ as the pathway in a source - pathway - receptor model will be blocked.

Due to the elevated levels of PAH it is recommended where in areas of soft landscaping or gardens; a cover system is provided as an appropriate remedial option. This should be constructed in accordance the BRE Cover Systems for Land Regeneration [3].



Based on the recorded concentrations of B(a)P at the site, covered by imported clean material and assuming a mixing zone thickness of 600mm, a cover thickness of 527mm should be utilised with a minimum of 176mm of the overall cover comprising a topsoil layer. A geotextile barrier should be utilised at the base of the cover layer to discourage excavation below that level. It should be ensured that a maximum concentration of 0.6mg/kg of B(a)P should be present within the imported materials.

Validation testing of the soil to be imported should be undertaken at a rate of one sample per garden area or equivalent to ensure suitable imported material is used. In addition the thickness of the imported material should be verified.

No asbestos was detected.

#### 8.6 Buried Concrete

Laboratory tests yielded a maximum soluble sulphate concentration of 0.92g/l which give a Design Sulphate Class[4] of DS-2.

The soil was found to be slightly alkaline and the groundwater conditions are considered mobile. The aggressive chemical environment for concrete, ACEC, is therefore classed as AC-2.



## References

- The Contaminated Land Exposure Assessment Model Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs The Environment Agency
   R & D Publications SGV 1 *et al.*, March 2002
- The LQM/CIEH Generic Assessment Criteria for Human Health Risk Assessment (2nd Edition)
   Nathanail, C.P., McCaffrey, C. *et al* Land Quality Management Ltd., 2009
- [3] Cover Systems for Land Regeneration Thickness of cover systems for contaminated land BRE Press BR465 2008
- [4] Concrete in aggressive ground BRE Special Digest I Building Research Establishment, 2005



# **PROCEDURAL NOTES for GROUND INVESTIGATIONS**

## General

This report is based upon data obtained from field descriptions of the strata and examination of the samples by an engineer, together with the results of in situ and laboratory tests as appropriate. Responsibility cannot be accepted for variations in ground conditions between and around any of the exploratory points that is not revealed by the data. Whilst the report may offer an opinion on the ground conditions between exploratory points and below the depth of investigation, this is for guidance only and no liability is accepted for its accuracy.

## Drilling procedure

Boring by light cable percussion drilling allows the ground conditions to be reasonably well established. However, a certain amount of disturbance is inevitable and some mixing of soils can occur.

## Sampling procedure

"Undisturbed" samples of predominantly cohesive soils are taken with a 100mm diameter open tube sampler, generally in accordance with BS 5930: 1999.

Where appropriate, or where an undisturbed sample is unsuccessful, disturbed samples are recovered and sealed into polythene bags.

Groundwater samples are taken when water is encountered in sufficient quantity.

## Standard penetration tests

The test is conducted generally in accordance with BS 1377: Part 9: 1990. The sampler tube is subject to a seating drive of 150mm into the soil at the base of the borehole. Results are given on the Borehole Records as the number of blows required to drive the sampler tube a further 300mm and this is known as the "N" value. Where the driving resistance is such that full penetration is not achieved, the test is generally terminated after 50 blows and the actual distance penetrated is recorded.

#### Groundwater

Groundwater observations necessarily reflect the conditions encountered at the time of the exploratory work. Long term monitoring of standpipes is usually required to establish an equilibrium water level since the normal rate of boring is too fast to permit steady state conditions to be achieved.

Groundwater levels are subject to variations caused by changes in drainage conditions and seasonal climatic changes.

Water may necessarily be added to advance the bore whilst casing may be required to maintain an open hole. These can both mask subsequent groundwater observations and are therefore noted on the individual Borehole Record.



# APPENDIX A BOREHOLE RECORDS



#### SYMBOLS and ABBREVIATIONS



suffix identifies separate strikes

	Manhire Associates MANHIRE ASSOCIATES GEO-ENVIRONMENTAL LIMITED							Site 159-161 IVERSON ROAD, LONDON, NW6 2RB	Borehole Number BH1	
Boring Meth Cable Percus	nod ssion	Casing 15	Diamete Omm cas	<b>r</b> ed to 2.50m	Ground	Level (mC	D)	Client Formation Homes (London) Ltd	Job Num 130	<b>ber</b> 179
		Locatio Se	<b>n</b> e site pla	n	Dates 05	5/09/2014		Engineer	Shee 1/	t 2
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level Depth (mOD) (m) (Thickness)		ss)	Description	Legen	<u>Б</u> Water
0.50	D4					(0.3	30) 30	CONCRETE MADE GROUND: Brick rubble with some gravel sandy clay and ashy pockets		
0.50	D1					E (0.6	50) 90			
1.00-1.45	SPT N=6			1,1/1,1,2,2			0)	MADE GROUND: Brown silty clay with some gravel and brick fragments		
1.50	D2						0)			
2.00-2.45	SPT N=5			1,1/1,1,1,2		2.0 1	00	Firm to stiff brown silty CLAY with some orange brown sandy silt pockets and grey laminations		X
2.50	D3								××	
3.00-3.45	U1			28 blows					××	
3.50	D4								×	
4.00-4.45	SPT N=11			2,2/2,3,3,3					××	
4.50	D5								××	
5.00-5.45	SPT N=12			2,2/3,3,3,3					× × ×	
6.00	D6					L L L L L (8.4	15)		× × ×	
6.50-6.95	SPT N=14			2,3/3,3,4,4					××	
7.00	D7									
8.00-8.45	U2			58 blows					xx	
8.50	D8									
9.50-9.95	SPT N=15			3,3/3,4,4,4					× × ×	
Remarks Borehole dry Backfilled wit	th arisings	0.50					1	Scale (approx)	Logg By	jed
Chiselling fro	om 0.00m to 0.30m fo	or 0.50 ho	urs.					1:50	ljs	6

,	Manhire Associates	MANI GEO-	HIRE AS	SSOCIATES ONMENTAL LIMI		Site 159-161 IVERSON ROAD, LONDON, NW6 2RB	Borehole Number BH1			
Boring Meth Cable Percus	od ssion	Casing 15	Diamete Omm cas	<b>r</b> ed to 2.50m	Ground	Level (mOD	Client Formation Homes (London)		Job Numbe 13079	er
		Locatio Se	n e site pla	n	Dates 05	5/09/2014	Engineer		Sheet 2/2	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness	Description		Legend	Water
						(8.45)	Firm to stiff brown silty CLAY with some orange br sandy silt pockts and grey laminations	own	××	
10.50	D9					E 10.45	Stiff fissured dark grey silty CLAY with some sand laminations	y silt	××	
11.00-11.45	U3			64 blows					××	-
11.50	D10								× ×	
12.50-12.95	SPT N=18			3,4/4,4,5,5					x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	•
13.50	D11									
14.00-14.45	U4			66 blows					xx	
14.50	D12								x x x x x	
15.50-15.95	SPT N=21			4,4/4,5,6,6					x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	
16.50	D13								× ×	
17.00-17.45	U5			69 blows					x ×x	
17.50	D14								× × ×	
18.50-18.95	SPT N=23			4,5/5,6,6,6						•
19.50	D15								×	
20.00-20.45	SPT N=26			5,5/6,6,7,7		E E 20.00			××	
Remarks						. 20.00		Scale (approx)	Logge By	d
								1:50	ljs	
								Figure N 13079 F	<b>lo.</b> 3H2	

	Manhire Associates	MAN GEC	NHIRE ASSOCIATES D-ENVIRONMENTAL LIN	AITED		Site 159-161 IVERSON ROAD, LONDON, NW6 2RB	Number WS1
Excavation Drive-in Win	Method dow Sampler	Dimens	ions n	Ground Dates	Level (mOD) 4/09/2014	Client Formation Homes (London) Engineer	Job Number 13079 Sheet
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth	Description	Legend
0.00-1.00	L1	(m)	30% recovery		(0.35) (0.35)	CONCRETE MADE GROUND: Granite cobble stones over brick and clinker MADE GROUND: Brick rubble with some ashy pockets	
1.00-2.00 1.00-1.45	L2 SPT N=5		90% recovery 2/1,1,2,1		(0.70) 1.20 (0.60)	MADE GROUND: Brown silty clay with some brick and concrete fragments	
2.00-3.00 2.00-2.45	L3 SPT N=6		90% recovery 3/2,1,1,2		- 1.80 	Firm to stiff brown silty CLAY with some orange brown sandy silt pockets and grey laminations	
3.00-3.45	SPT N=13		4/3,3,3,4			Complete at 3.00m	
Remarks Borehole dry	/	1	1			Scale (appro 1:25	Logged x) By ljs

	Manhire Associates	MA GE	NHIRE ASSOCIATES O-ENVIRONMENTAL LI		Site 159-161 IVERSON ROAD, LONDON, NW6 2RB	Number WS2	
Excavation Drive-in Win	Method dow Sampler	Dimens	ions	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client Formation Homes (London)	Job Number 13079
		Locatio Se	ee site plan	Dates 04	4/09/2014	Engineer	Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Kater Vegend
0.00-1.00	L1		80% recovery		(0.07) 0.07 (0.73) (0.73)	CONCRETE slab MADE GROUND: Brick rubble with some ashy and clayey pockets MADE GROUND: Brown and grey green silty clay with	
1.00-2.00 1.00-1.45	L2 SPT N=5		90% recovery 1/1,1,2,1		- (0.90) - (0.90) - (0.90)	Firm to stiff brown silty CLAY with some orange brown sandy silt pockets and grey laminations	
2.00-3.00 2.00-2.45	L3 SPT N=6		90% recovery 2/1,2,1,2				
3.00-3.45	SPT N=13		Water strike(1) at 3.00m. 4/3,3,3,4			Complete at 3.00m	<u> </u>
Remarks Borehole dry	/		1		<u></u>	Scale (approx	() Logged By
						1:25 Figure	ljs ≱ No. 79 WS2
1							0 0002

	Manhire Associates	MAN GEC	NHIRE ASSOCIATES D-ENVIRONMENTAL LIM		Site 159-161 IVERSON ROAD, LONDON, NW6 2RB	Number WS3		
Excavation Drive-in Wind	Method dow Sampler	Dimens	ions	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client Formation Homes (London)		Job Number 13079
		Locatio Se	<b>n</b> ee site plan	Dates 04	4/09/2014	Engineer		Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description		Kater Kater
0.00-1.00	L1		90% recovery		(0.15) 0.15 	CONCRETE slab MADE GROUND: Brown silty clay with some bric concrete fragments and gravel	k,	
1.00-2.00 1.00-1.45	L2 SPT N=5		70% recovery 2/1,1,1,2		- (1.55) 	Firm to stiff brown silty CLAY with some orange by sandy silt pockets and grey laminations	rown	
2.00-3.00 2.00-2.45	L3 SPT N=9		100% recovery 2/2,2,3,2		- (1.30) - (1.30) - (1.30)			
3.00-3.45	SPT N=12		Water strike(1) at 3.00m. 4/2,3,3,4			Complete at 3.00m		<u> </u>
Remarks Borehole dry	,						Scale (approx) 1:25	Logged By
							Figure N 13079	<b>o.</b> WS3

Manhire Associa consuming inclusion	es MANHIRE A GEO-ENVIR	ASSOCIATES RONMENTAL LIMITED	I	Site 159-161 IVERSON ROAD, LONDON, NW6 2RB	Number WS4		
Excavation Method Drive-in Window Sampler	Dimensions	Groun	d Level (mOD)	Client Formation Homes (London)	Job Number		
	Location See site plan	Dates	04/09/2014	Engineer	Sheet 1/1		
Depth (m) Sample / Te	Water sts Depth Fiel (m)	Id Records (mOD	) Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Kater Value		
0.00-1.00 L1	70% recov	ery	(0.12) - 0.12 - (0.68) - (0.68) - 0.80	CONCRETE MADE GROUND: Brick rubble with some ashy pockets			
1.00-2.00 L2 1.00-1.45 SPT N=5	100% reco 1/1,1,1,2	very	- (0.50)	MADE GROUND: Brown silty clay with some brick and concrete fragments			
			- 1.30 	Firm to stiff brown silty CLAY with some orange brown sandy silt pockets and grey laminations			
2.00-3.00 2.00-2.45 SPT N=9	100% reco 2/2,2,3,2	very	- (1.70) - (1.70)				
3.00-3.45 SPT N=12	Water strik 4/3,3,3,3	e(1) at 3.00m.		Complete at 3.00m	<u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u><u>x</u></u>		
Remarks Borehole dry	1 1	I		Scale (appro	x) By		
				1:25	ljs e No		



# **APPENDIX B**

# LABORATORY TEST RESULTS



T	Ηd				7.2	7.2	7.1		
HEMICA	(SO4)	Soil	(Sol)	g/]	0.76	0.92	0.42		
C	Sulphate	Water	:	<u>م</u>					
		ı, kPa	u, deg						
S	Cohesion	Pa cu	ing Øt	0					
STRES		cu, k	assum	≥nØ	54	63	72	86	0
I - TOTAL	Deviator	Stress		kPa	108	126	144	171	200
PRESSION	Radial	Stress		kPa	60	160	220	28	340
<b>(IAL COM</b>	Bulk	Density		Mg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.01	1.98	2.00	1.98	2.02
TRIAY	Moisture	Content		%	30	29	29	30	28
	Type				UU 102	UU 102	UU 102	UU 102	10 C
	Class				S				
	Mod.	Plast.	Index	%					
N	assing	t25μm		%	001				
FICATIC	Plast. F	Index 2		%	48				
CLASS	Plastic	Limit		%	28				
	Liquid	Limit		%	76				
	Natural	Moisture	Content	%	30				
	Description				Frim brown and orange brown mottled silty CLAY with some sandy pockets	Frim brown and orange brown mottled silty CLAY with some sandy pockets	Stiff fissured dark grey silty CLAY with some sandy silt laminations	Stiff fissured dark grey silty CLAY with some sandy silt laminations	Stiff fissured dark grey silty CLAY with some sandy silt laminations
	Depth			в	3.00	8.00	00.11	14.00	17.00
	Sample	No			n	U2	U3	U4	S
	Location				ВНІ				

# SUMMARY OF GEOTECHNICAL TESTS

Note: Soil Classification based upon unmodified Plasticity Index

Manhire Associates CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Ha	anje,										ensionless	×			
											hich is dim	tesidential			
	LORAL CB.	<5	Ś	Š	42	<u>∞</u>					pt for pH w	oted thus: F			
	5ED 120	<5	Š	Š	28	<u>∞</u>					tated, excel	ptions denc			
NNOO L	123,913	<5	<5	~5	4	< <u>5</u>					otherwise s	Exce			
9 Hall	CIS CIC	<5	5	5	Š	<5 S					soil unless o				
	CIO CIS	S	Ŝ	Ş	Š	< <u>5</u>					weight of				
	CIO C8	S	Ş	Ş	5	< <mark>5</mark>					e mg/kg dry				
OFBRUIC	26 JUDIOS										All units ar				
STOUJJUL	JUDAHOHOHI TOI	v	v	v	v	v	210	1100000							
HV-d	2CLGGU														
<sup>IIOJO</sup> ET	105 Jajen	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	291	92000							
Selentiun		0.6	0.7	1.2	Ξ	1.2			350	13000					
Sinc		78	50	92	101	104	3750	665000							
Jatto)		54	57	61	6	84	2330	71700							
Nicker		17	20	48	58	82			130	1800					
Mercury	INOLESADIC	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.5			170	3600					
Peo 7		53	28	48	22	86									
Chroninna		=	17	26	8	17	627	8840				Er			
Cadminn		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	m	348				organic mati c matter			
Arsentic		12.6	18.2	8.8	9.6	22.1			32	640		at 1% soil c soil organi	1		
<sup>Inda</sup> q	47	1.30	0.50	1.20	0.70	00.1	dential	mercial	dential	mercial		GAC given given at 6%	,		
UOJP-			<b>S2</b>		S3	S4	C <sup>1</sup> resi	com	EA <sup>2</sup> resi	com	es	QM/CIEH (			
Loc.	Ansell Hous	≤ ≤ 119	≶ - 125	Ewel	Š I Roa	Š d	 GA		CLE	l e	ອ <u></u> ້ອີ ເ: 02	0 E - 0 - 2 - 8 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	390	909	97
	Surbiton	i, Sur	rey,	K16	бAL				I	ra>	c: 02	08	390	788	88

CONTAMINANTS IN SOIL

Manhire Associates Geo-Environmental Limited

				opeciated r	UIVAL UILIAUIC T	LYOLFOCALTUOLIS DY O	CIMD				
Loca	vition WSI	WS2	WS2	WS3	WS4				ΓQ	1/CIEH	
Sam	ple							 	U	AC <sup>3</sup>	
Depth	, m 1.30	0.50	1.20	0.70	1.00			reside	ential allo	tments	ommercia
Determinand						Concentr	ation, mg/kg				
PAH											
Naphthalene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			 	5		200
Acenaphthylene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			 17	0	58	84000
Acenaphthene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			 21	0	34	85000
Fluorene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			 16	0	27	64000
Phenanthrene	2.6	2.9	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			 6	2	9	22000
Anthracene		0.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			 23(	۳ 00	80	530000
Fluoranthene	5.2	7.2	<0.5	<u>8.</u>	<0.5			 26	0	52	23000
Pyrene		6.1	<u>8</u> .		0.9			 56	0	0	54000
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.6	8.I	l.6	1.2				 'n	_	5	90
Chrysene	4.1	5.2	2.1	0.6	0.8			 6.	0	9.	I 40
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.5	4.2	4.2	1.2	2.2			 5.	9	5.5	00
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.5	3.1	2.2	<u>8.</u>	0.9			 ö	5	8.	I 40
Benzo(a)pyrene	2.5	2.2	6.1	2.4	2.5			 0.8	33	.6	4
Indeno(123-cd)pyrene	0.9	2.1	0.9	<u>8.</u>	0.6			  S	5	œ	60
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	<0.5	0.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			 0.7	76 0	.76	<u>n</u>
Benzo(ghi)perylene	<0.5	0.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			 4	4	02	650
Total PAH	26.4	36.8	14.7	6.11	0.6						



Manhire Associates

Manhire Associates Geo-Environmental Limited

# Tel: 020 8390 9097 Fax: 020 8390 7888

Notes

ŏ

Exceptions denoted thus: Residential

Commercial

Total PAH = Sum of EPA16 identified components

The results are expressed as mg/kg dry weight soil after correction for moisture content
 GAC given at 1% soil organic matter



# CONTAMINANTS IN SOIL

Sample	Depth		Asbestos identification	
	m	Description of matrix	Overall percentage of asbestos identified (approx.)	Type of asbestos identified
	1.30	sandy loam		none detected
	0.50	loam		none detected
	1.20	sandy loam		none detected
	0.70	sandy loam		none detected
	1.00	sandy loam		none detected
		1.30 0.50 1.20 0.70 1.00	n       Description of matrix         1.30       sandy loam         0.50       loam         1.20       sandy loam         0.70       sandy loam         1.00       sandy loam         1.01       sandy loam         1.02       sandy loam         1.03       sandy loam         1.04       sandy loam         1.05       sandy loam         1.06       sandy loam	n         Description of matrix         Overall percentage of asbestos identified (approx.)           1.30         sandy loam            0.50         loam            1.20         sandy loam            0.70         sandy loam            1.00         sandy loam            1.00         sandy loam            1.01         sandy loam            1.02         sandy loam            1.03         sandy loam            1.04         sandy loam            1.05         sandy loam            1.06         sandy loam            1.07         sandy loam            1.08         sandy loam            1.09         sandy loam            1.00         sandy loam            1.01         sandy loam            1.02         sandy loam            1.03         sandy loam            1.04         sandy loam            1.05         sandy loam            1.10         sandy loam



# **APPENDIX C**

## **COVER SYSTEM**



Calculatio	ons bas	ed on r	nixed z	one (M)	)		600	mm		
Contaminant		Site	Data		Expres	sed as a Guidelir	Factor o ne Value	f Target	Cover Thickne Compliance to Guidelir	ss Required for Specified Target ne Value
	Contamination of Ground (Cg)	Contamination of Cover (Cc)	Target Guideline Value 1	Target Guideline Value 2	Soil / Target Guideline Value	Cover / Target Guideline Value	Soil / Target Guideline Value	Cover / Target Guideline Value	Target Guideline Value 1	Target Guideline Value 2
	Ur	nits	Ur	nits		Fra	ction		(m	im)
Arsenic										
Cadmium (Soil pH8)										
Chromium										
Chromium (VI)										
Mercury										
Selenium										
Copper										
Nickel										
Zinc										
Lead										
Boron (Water sol)										
Sulphate (total)										
Phenols										
Sulphide										
Cyanide										
Solvent Extractable Matter										
Benzo (a) pyrene	2.5	0.6	0.83		3.0	0.7	No TV	No TV	527	No TV
	——		——							
					Summa	rv				

Summa	ry	
	Target Guideline ∀alue 1	Target Guideline Value 2
Number of contaminants	17	17
Number of contaminants with no thickness calculation	16	17
Breakdown - Number for which no TV specfied	16	17
Breakdown - Number for which no soil specified	16	16
Breakdown - Number for which no cover specified	16	16
Breakdown - Number for which cover > TV	0	0
Number of contaminants with thickness calculation	1	0
Breakdown - Number for which no cover required	0	0
Breakdown - Number for which cover required	1	0
Overall thickness of cover required	527	0







# APPENDIX D

# FIGURES







SPT PROFILE 159 - 161 IVERSON ROAD, NW6 2RB





## SHEAR STRENGTH PROFILE 159 - 161 IVERSON ROAD, NW6 2RB

