



alexander house | 40a wilbury way
hitchin | hertfordshire | sg4 0ap
admin@burogloo.com | burogloo.com

Project 1268, changes to rear elevation fenestration
Site first floor, Ariel House, 74a Charlotte Street, London
Client Canada Life
Date 14-10-2014

Document **Design Statement**

introduction

Burogloo have completed this document on behalf of the applicant, Canada Life. Canada Life proposes to carry out minor alterations to rear elevation fenestration at the aforementioned site, increasing window depth and lowering cill levels for second floor windows. The works include for the alterations of existing cladding spandrels under first floor windows to accommodate glazing proposals.

No other changes are proposed.

context

The building sits between Charlotte Street and Charlotte Mews and the elevation to which changes are proposed faces onto Charlotte Mews, to the rear of the application building. No changes are proposed to any other floor other than first floor and no changes are proposed to the front elevation.

The site is flanked by other buildings of similar height and similar architectural quality and importance. Car parking to the site is accessed from Charlotte Mews, pedestrian access is afforded to the building from Charlotte Street. Neither access is altered by the proposals.

proposals

The proposals involve the replacement, in like-for-like style, materials and detailing fenestration to first floor with lowered cill, as indicated on proposal drawings and the extracted visuals below.

The proposal involves alterations to the cladding spandrels under the fenestration proposed for alteration, to suit the proposals. All cladding, fittings, fixings, make-up etc will be completed in a like-for-like manner.

Additional to this but outside of application remit is the redecoration of all of the rear cladding over first and second floors as indicated.

access

The proposals involve no changes to the access afforded to the building at present.

design

The fenestration and cladding are proposed as replacements for the existing in like-for-like manner. The proposals are limited to the lowering of the cill as indicated on design drawings and visuals.



Existing view to rear elevation



Proposed view to rear elevation

conclusions

The applicant's proposals are considered minor in their nature and confined to fenestration and associated cladding on one elevation. Design elements are limited and materials and detailing are proposed in like-for-like manner.

End