

Comments Form

Name..... O. M. BERT WISTLE.....

Address..... 81, O'DONNELL COURT, BRUNSWICK CENTRE.....
LONDON WC1N 1NX.....

Email address.....


Telephone number..... 

Planning application number..... 2014/3640/P.....

Planning application address..... TOWN HALL, ARCYLE STREET, W21H 3ND.....

~~I support the application (please state reasons below)~~
I object to the application (please state reasons below)

Your comments

ALL LISTED & EXPLAINED ON ENCLOSED
(ATTACHED LETTER. INADEQUATE
SPACE HERE 

To Planning Services,
London Borough of Camden,
Town Hall, Argyle Street,
London WC1H 8ND

Reference 2014/3640/P.
Ms Elmeax Heavey

MISS O M BERTWISTLE
61 O'DONNELL COURT
BRUNSWICK CENTRE
LONDON WC1N 1NX

2.10.2014

Dear Madam

Reference Revised plans for the Eyecatcher

Thankyou for your letters received on 23.9.14 & 18.

As a disabled resident in the above sheltered flat (for over 40 yrs) I should be grateful to receive a full copy, in plain English of the revised plans for the Eyecatcher Restaurant/Café over the Renow Cinema and beyond. I am over 80 yrs old, not computer-literate to deal with www.camden.gov.uk/planning; cannot get through on the phone number you gave, and have mobility problems to visit you.

From what I have been told the Western Extension would still affect all inward facing flats in O'Donnell and Foundlip Courts as far as music and partying noise emitted from these. This is an open space between the ends of the north and south podia & unprotected by them. My flat is the distance of the width of 4 or so flats away from this space which is occasionally used for bands or carols at Christmas and is clearly audible by day inside it and over the whole precinct. Similar noise, from the Eyecatcher would be by day and night. Our flats are protected from any noise by the 'overhang' of these podia above the many ground floor cafes immediately below.

Another point is if there is any contact with one of the pillars in the space or the 3rd floor above it this would permit conduction of noise through near by flats. I cannot see how any stable lift could avoid this as concrete possesses such conductive properties.

Lastly there seemed to be no mention of the obligatory fire escape from the Eyecatcher which would have a kitchen.

An on-site visit could clarify the points I am trying to raise in my objections.

Yours faithfully

P.S. Your objection option provided insufficient space for this explanation