

Name Schair Schman

Address 26 Messina Ave, Jouden NW6 4L1

Email address...

Telephone number.....

Planning application number.....2014/1.5386/P

Planning application address..... 2.8. C. Messing Aue...

I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below)

Your comments

Please see the attached of my final decision. Thank you Mrs Sohair Soliman

26C Messina Ave

LONDON

Regeneration and Planning

NW6 4LD

Development Management

London Borough of Camden

10 October 2014

Dear Sirs and Madams.

Re: Application Ref: 2014/5386/P

Re: Associated Ref: 2013/5271/PRE

2013/8180/P

With reference to your letter dated the $23^{\prime\prime}$ of September received on the 6^{th} of October concerning the above mentioned application, I would like to Inform the committee of my objection to the application and state my decision supported with the following evidences.

A HISTORY OF SUFFERING

First of all let me explain the situation, I have been living in No 26C Messina Ave with my son since 1981 i.e. since he was one year old. Over one and half year ago we heard continuous noise and saw building materials spreading in front of No 24 reaching No 26. All the sudden the road was occupied by Lories and cars, signs restricting parking places came up during the nights without previous warning. At no time I received information from the council about any work taking place next door. Few months later and without even a respectable letter of informing; other disturbing noises came from No28C which we share our walls with; the work in both sides went on from early hours (before 8am) to late hours during the night (12 mid night and beyond) for more than nine months.

I would like to let you know that I am disable and relay on my son's help. All these disturbing actions left a tremoundas effects on my health and coasted so much money to fix the workers' damages to our television satellite and sky. Added to that the work in No 28C created structure damages to our flat:

A-Front room

- 1- A long crack had appeared on the ceiling started from the sharing wall side increased day by day with the destruction created by the work no 28C.
- 2-Front room's floor start shacking since the work in no 28C started to the extent that things on the table will fall when anyone walk in this room

3- A large gap appeared between the floor board and the skirts.

B-The back room

- 1-Damp and moulds had spread not only on the walls but also extended to the window.

 This problem started nearly two weeks after the work started in no 28C
- 2-We were forced to empty this room when we discovered so many of my son's work and study of the sound equipment's were destroyed and spoiled as a direct result of the fast spreading moulds.

The council had been informed of all the defects but no repair took place yet.

Now I would like to raise the issues which I relied on my appeal against the planning filed for no 28C

1-Design and layout

I trust the design will be quit similar to no 24C design, on that I shall state my points of refusal.

2-External appearance of materials

A part from the disturbance to the television and internet the builders of no24C had left electric wires exposed on our outside wall. My son has discussed this issue several times with the flat owner but unfortunately nothing done. I trust that form great danger to us. I am afraid that could be the case for no28C as well

3- Access to disabled people

No doubt the suffering which I suffered during the work on both places will be repeated for so many months. My concern as well is aetting in and out of the house.

4- Loss of daylight and sunlight

The balcony and the glass walls of no 24C no doubt had extremely reduced the day and sun lights to our flat. Add to that that made our flat very called thus we need to spend so much money on electricity in order to keep the flat warm for my needs.

I do believe that the development in no 28C will even further increase the loss of daylights and sunlight and obviously will add to bills further expenses.

5-Privacy

We have completely lost our privacy as the balcony exposed the stairs, the landing inside the flat and most of all the living room! I am sure that a balcony as such in no 28C will expose the back room's privacy; it is quite clear from the window.

6-Noise nuisance

No doubt that any gathering and parties on the balcony transfer the noise to our flat easily as we witnessed during the summer.

That could be the reason behind the planning for a balcony in no28 as well so we will lose not only privacy but also our peace of mind which I cannot afford under my mental health condition and wellbeing.

7-Traffic and Parking issues

Messina Ave is a street with no consideration for disabled people. There isn't even one place for disable parking. The difficulty of parking during the evening is even worse.

I am quite sure of many more traffic penalties will be burden on my son and I as we suffered before during the work and the transfer of building materials.

Those issues and more such as not knowing next door residents' attitudes, particularly, one of them has asked my neighbour to give me a massage stating that (I am only a council tenant and have no right to object their plan!) I did not reply and shall never reply to such unintellectual comment!

I shall appreciate it if the committee kindly consider sending a servicer to verify the facts of the additional inconvenient and the considerable damages caused by both sides building. The Houses in Messina Ave are more than two hundred years old and could not stand safe for all those demolition and rebuilding.

I thank you and looking forward to your reply

Vous	e faithfullu
Sona	ıı sonnun

PS: Relative Information

Address 26C Messina Ave, LONDON, NW6 4LD

Mobile

E-mail

Final comment: I object the application Ref No 2014/5386/P

Associated Ref No 2013/5271/PRE & 2013/8180/P