

Tel: +44 (0) 1202 654600 Fax: +44 (0) 1202 654601

Enterprise House Old School Close Ferndown Bournemouth BH22 9UN

Audit Sheet

This report is provided for the stated purposes and for the sole use of the named Client. It will be confidential to the Client and the client's professional advisers. Hoare Lea accepts responsibility to the Client alone that the report has been prepared with the skill, care and diligence of a competent engineer, but accepts no responsibility whatsoever to any parties other than the Client. Any such parties rely upon the report at their own risk. Neither the whole nor any part of the report nor reference to it may be included in any published document, circular or statement nor published in any way without Hoare Lea's written approval of the form and content in which it may appear.

50 AVENUE ROAD

ENERGY STATEMENT

CONTENTS

50 AVENUE ROAD

ENERGY STATEMENT

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report has been produced by Hoare Lea Consulting Engineers to outline the energy strategy for the proposed 50 Avenue Road development. The assessment was carried out to show compliance with the requirements of the London Borough of Camden and the London Plan Policy.

This energy strategy has been developed in line with the Energy Hierarchy of "Be Lean"' "Be Clean" and "Be Green" stages to reduce the energy consumption of the development.

"Be Lean" measures are proposed within the report. The overall development reduction in $CO₂$ emissions over a Part L 2010 compliant scheme due to the energy efficiency proposals is approximately 21%.

- High-performance, engineered facade with optimised U-Values and G-Values:
- Windows carefully designed to balance daylight, heat loss and heat gain;
- Low air permeability;
- Low energy lighting;
- Variable speed pumping;
- Efficient ventilation systems with heat recovery.

The baseline energy benchmarks for the energy strategy are based on the Target Emissions Rating (TER) from the Building Regulations Part L 2010 assessment. This has been derived for the building using compliance software; NHER Plan Assessor v5.4.2. Emissions associated with non-Building Regulation elements (i.e. cooking and appliances) have been calculated in accordance with the methodology in SAP 2009.

The passive and active energy efficiency measures proposed for the scheme include:

Figure 1 below sets out how the proposed energy efficiency measures and renewable systems reduce $CO₂$ emissions in line with the London Plan Energy Hierarchy.

Table 1 and Table 2, below show $CO₂$ emissions breakdown and percentage savings at each stage of the hierarchy.

Consideration has been given to connecting to off-site networks. Currently there are no district heating schemes in the area and none are currently planned. An assessment as to the feasibility of CHP has been carried out. It was found that a micro-CHP unit was suitable for the site. The incorporation of the micro-CHP unit resulted in a further 2% decrease in carbon emissions over the "Be Lean" scheme.

Various renewable technologies have been appraised. The technology that has been considered appropriate for the scheme is solar photovoltaic. The other technologies that were appraised but considered inappropriate for the scheme include ground source heat pumps, solar water heating panels, biomass boilers, wind turbines and bio-diesel CHP.

The incorporation of renewable technologies results in a further 4% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions over the "Be Clean" scheme.

The overall predicted reduction in $CO₂$ emissions from the baseline development (which is Part L 2010 compliant) is approximately 25%, which represents an annual saving of approximately 6 tonnes of $CO₂$.

Figure 1: The Energy Heirarchy

Table 1: Carbon Dioxide Emissions after each stage of the Energy Hierarchy

Table 2: Regulated carbon dioxide savings from each stage of the Energy Hierarchy

Regulated Carbon Dioxide emissions reductions are shown to be approximately 25% lower on average than a Part L 2010 compliant development.

2. INTRODUCTION

The energy assessment for 50 Avenue Road has taken a three-stage approach to reducing the building's carbon emissions:

- 1. Estimating a target for total regulated and unregulated annual energy consumption and $CO₂$ emissions of the proposed development. The estimates are based on Part L Approved Software modelling results.
- 2. Estimating savings in regulated and unregulated annual energy consumption and $CO₂$ emissions of the proposed development (broken down into heating, hot water, cooling and other electricity loads) through passive and active measures. The estimates are based on Part L Approved Software modelling results.
- 3. Assessing if CHP would be appropriate for the development and assessing the potential reduction of $CO₂$ emissions.
- 4. Estimating the potential contribution to Carbon Dioxide reductions that could be achieved by the use of renewable technologies.

The energy assessment comprised the following stages:

3. ASSESSMENT OF ENERGY CONSUMPTION AND CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSIONS (BASELINE SCHEME - TARGET)

The first step in showing the required improvement on 2010 Building Regulations, is to calculate a baseline, on which to compare subsequent improvements.

For the purpose of this study the baseline will be the carbon dioxide emissions for a 2010 compliant building.

In order to work out this figure the building has been modelled using NHER Plan Assessor v5.4.2.

One of the outputs of the model is the TER, which is the target $CO₂$ emission rate expressed as kgCO₂/(m².year). To turn this figure into total emissions, the TER was multiplied by the area of the dwelling.

The figure worked out above covers the regulated emissions of the dwelling. The unregulated emissions, that is, emissions associated with cooking and appliances have been calculated using the methodology suggested in SAP 2009.

The baseline carbon dioxide emissions are summarised in Table 3.

Table 3 – Baseline Carbon Dioxide Emissions

50 AVENUE ROAD

ENERGY STATEMENT

4. PASSIVE & ACTIVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES *"BE LEAN"*

4.1 Passive Measures

The following typical envelope performance characteristics and passive design measures will be incorporated into the scheme design to limit the building's energy consumption:

- High-performance, engineered facade with optimised U-values and g-values
	- o Walls 0.15 W/m^2 .K
	- o Glazing 1.0 W/m².K
	- o Pedestrian Doors 2 W/m².K
	- o Heat Loss Floors 0.12 W/m².K
	- o Heat Loss Roofs 0.12 W/m^2 .K
	- o Glazing Shading coefficient 0.76
- Low air permeability targeting 3.5 m³/hr per m² envelope @ 50Pa
- The design of energy efficient facades with appropriate proportions of glazing has also been incorporated (this complies with Part L 2010 Criterion 3 limit on solar gains)

4.2 Active Measures

The energy consumption of the development will be further reduced by the incorporation of active energy efficiency measures in the design of the mechanical and electrical engineering systems. The following energy efficiency measures will be incorporated into the scheme design:

- Low Energy Lighting
- Variable speed pumping
- Efficient ventilation systems with heat recovery
- High Efficiency Boilers Targeting >89% efficient (SAP efficiency)

Figure 2: Regulated Carbon Dioxide Emissions

5. ENERGY NETWORKS AND COMBINED HEAT & POWER "BE CLEAN"

5.1 Decentralized Energy Networks

Currently there are no district heating schemes in the area and none are currently planned.

5.2 Gas-fired combined heat and power (CHP)

CHP uses a gas-fired reciprocating engine, connected to a generator to simultaneously generate both heat and power (electricity). Useable heat is generated by recovering the heat from the engine that, in a conventional generator, is rejected to atmosphere. In order to optimise the performance of the CHP engine it is important to provide consistent heating and electrical loads. For this reason, such a system would be supplemented by a gas fired boiler system and public electrical supply.

To ensure that CHP is financially viable it is essential that the unit is selected to meet the base heat load and that this load is maintained over a large proportion of the day (a figure of 14 – 17 hours per day is often quoted subject to the load profiles and gas and electricity prices) to ensure that the additional costs (maintenance) associated with running a CHP unit can be recovered.

The need to run the CHP plant, as much as possible makes the building load profile of prime importance when reviewing the viability of such solutions and in particular the summer time heat load profile. CHP systems only make financial sense to operate when the waste heat associated with generating the electricity is usefully used. To enable the CHP plant to run continuously when it is operating, a thermal store is often used so that excess CHP capacity can be used to generate hot water for use at a later time.

To analyse the appropriateness of using CHP, the regulated carbon dioxide emissions have been broken down into emissions by each end use, see Figure 3.

Figure 3 – Regulated Carbon Emissions by End Use

Figure 3 shows that the carbon emissions due to hot water production only account for 4% of the total regulated emissions of the development. As this is a relatively low load, A micro-CHP unit has been deemed suitable for this development.

Figure 4 below shows the estimated reduction in regulated carbon dioxide emissions after the "Be Clean" stage. Emissions are compared against the energy efficient and baseline schemes.

Figure 4: Regulated Carbon Dioxide Emissions

Date: September 2013 Project: 07/09663 X:_PROJECTS_Projects 9600 - 9699\9663 - 50 Avenue Road\11 REPORTS\11A INTERNAL REPORTS\Sustainability\Energy Strategy Report

Ground source heat pumps utilise either water extracted from an aquifer (open loop) or water circulated within underground pipework (closed loop) as the heat source in a refrigeration process enabling them to produce hot water, typically at around 45°C, that can be used as a heating medium in buildings. Due to the relatively constant temperature of the ground at depth (typically 10-14°C in the UK) this produces heat more efficiently in winter than an air source heat pump, and usually with lower carbon emissions than a gas-fired boiler.

6. RENEWABLE ENERGY "BE GREEN"

6.1 Ground Source Heat Pumps

Open loop systems require the water extracted to be re-injected into the aquifer at another borehole on another part of the site. A licence from the Environment Agency (EA) is required for both abstraction and discharge

although these licences cannot be obtained until a test borehole has been constructed and the appropriate EA tests undertaken.

The high density nature of the site makes the use of GSHPs unsuitable due to the small area available for ground coupling in comparison to the heating and cooling demand.

Ground Source Heat Pumps are therefore not proposed for the development.

6.2 Solar Water Heating Panels

Solar water heating systems use heat from the sun to heat domestic hot water. The system requires solar panels on the roof, ideally south facing, linked to hot water storage cylinders.

As the panels produce hot water they will reduce the load on the proposed CHP unit. They will also have a negative impact on the visual appearance of the building, which is located in a conservation area. Solar water heating panels are therefore not proposed for the development.

6.3 Biomass Boilers

A biomass boiler uses a natural fuel such as wood chips or wood pellets for combustion. Since it uses a natural

resource that can be replanted it is considered as a renewable energy source subject to the distance the fuel is transported. The carbon dioxide emitted from burning biomass is balanced by that absorbed during the fuel's production. Biomass heating therefore approaches a carbon neutral process.

The primary disadvantage of a biomass boiler is that it would reduce the heat load on the proposed CHP unit. In addition, large storage volumes are required for fuel, regular deliveries are required and biomass exhaust gases would require significant treatment to avoid degrading local air quality.

Biomass boilers are therefore not proposed for the development

.

6.4 Wind Turbines

Wind turbines use the wind's lift forces to turn aerodynamic blades that turn a rotor thus generating electricity. There are three basic types to consider: horizontal axis (propeller type), vertical access (helical type) and building integrated (where the building design is adapted to suit the wind turbine). Building integrated systems are still at the development stage.

Wind turbines have a significant visual impact and the roof space will be sensitive in townscape terms, which is likely to preclude wind turbines. They can create noise and vibration problems. Additionally, there is limited roof area across the site where clean air flows and good wind speeds can be realised and which are vital to delivering a useful electrical output. Even if a suitable location could be found, the output of a wind turbine and the consequential Carbon Dioxide emissions will be very limited when compared to the emissions of the whole development. They will also have a negative impact on the visual appearance of the building which is located in a conservation area.

Wind turbines are therefore not proposed for the development.

6.5 Solar Photovoltaic (PV)

Solar photovoltaic (PV) solar cells generate electricity from the sun's energy. Solid PV panels can be either roof or façade mounted (although solar modules fitted on a south facing façade have only 75% the output of roof mounted modules). The solar PV array will be located at roof level of the tallest part of the building to prevent shadowing. The proposed solar PV will reduce regulated emissions by approximately 1%.

Table 4 shows the photovoltaic selections.

Table 4: Photovoltaic Details

6.6 Bio-diesel CHP

Bio-diesel CHP is essentially the same as gas-fired CHP apart from the different fuel source and different engine type; a compression ignition engine rather than spark ignition engine. Bio-diesel fuel comes from many sources and in varying blends from just 5% biodiesel to 100% biodiesel.

Although additional $CO₂$ savings over gas-fired CHP could be realised by use of bio-diesel there are disadvantages. Bio-diesel is approximately $2.5 - 3$ times the cost of gas. Competing demand for fuel in the transport industry may drive up prices and may exacerbate the problem. Diesel fired engine exhaust gases also contain significantly higher NO_x emissions than an equivalent gas fired engine and therefore have more of an impact on air quality. The lack of a constant base heat load, as explained before for gas-fired CHP, also means that bio-diesel CHP will have a limited impact on $CO₂$ emissions.

An energy assessment has been undertaken in line with GLA guidance to address the Borough of Camden and GLA policies. A range of passive and active energy efficiency measures will be employed on the development. A PV array is also proposed for the development. Regulated Carbon Dioxide emissions reductions are shown to be approximately 25% lower than a Part L 2010 compliant development. Table 5 and Table 6 below show $CO₂$ emissions breakdown and percentage savings at each stage of the

Bio-diesel CHP is therefore not proposed for the development.

6.7 Renewables Summary

Figure 5 shows the revised estimated development regulated carbon dioxide emissions taking into account the contribution of the PV array. Emissions are compared against the clean, energy efficient and baseline schemes.

Figure 5: Carbon Dioxide Emissions

7. CONCLUSIONS

hierarchy.

Table 5: CO2 Emissions Breakdown

Table 6: Regulated CO2 Emissions Savings

Figure 6 below sets out how the proposed energy efficiency measures and LZC systems reduce regulated CO2 emissions in line with the London Plan Energy Hierarchy.

Figure 6: The Energy Heirarchy

APPENDIX A – PART L MODELLING RESULTS – SAP WORKSHEET

SAP 2009 Worksheet

Design - Draft

3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter

The *k*-value is the heat capacity per unit area, see Table 1e.

Annual average hot water usage has been reduced by 5% if the dwelling is designed to achieve a water use target of not more than 125 litres per person per day (all water use, hot and cold)

This design submission has been carried out using Approved SAP software. It has been prepared from plans and specifications and may not reflect the property as constructed.

1. Overall dwelling dimensions

URN: 50 Avenue Road version 14 NHER Plan Assessor version 5.4.2 SAP version 9.90

formula 1/[(1/UValue)+0.04] paragraph 3.2

 $FA - 13.9$

149.22 \bigcap (43)

6. Solar gains

 \overline{N}

Solar gains are calculated using solar flux from Table 6a and associated equations to convert to the applicable orientation. Rows (74) to (82) are used 12 times, one for each month, repeating as needed if there is more than one window type. De

Oct

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

Total = $\Sigma(104)6...8$ =

 0.00

Total = $\Sigma(106)6...8$ =

 0.00

Total = $\Sigma(107)6...8 =$

 $(107) \div (4) =$

 $fc = cooled area \div (4) =$

 0.00

 0.00

Sep

 0.00

Jul

0.89

 0.00 7794.61 7440.69 6813.96 0.00

 0.25

145.68

 $\boxed{10177.52 \mid 7235.18 \mid 7235.18 \mid 0.00}$

Aug

0.85

728.40 477.52 0.00

 0.25

 95.51

Jun

 0.74

 0.00

 0.25

 0.00

Jan

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

Space cooling requirement in kWh/m²/year

Useful loss, η mLm (Watts) = (100)m x (101)m

Calculated for June, July and August. See Table 10b.

Feb

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 $\overline{0.00}$

Space cooling requirement for month = (104) m x (105) x (106) m

applicable weather region based on Table 10, not Table 6a)

Mar

 $\overline{0.00}$

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

Apr

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00 Space cooling requirement for the month, whole dwelling, continuous (kWh) = $0.024 \times [(103)m - (102)m] \times (41)m$ set (104)m to zero if (104)m < 3 x (98)m with (98)m with (98)m calculated using weather data from Table 10

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

Gains (internal gains as for heating except that column (A) of Table 5 is always used; solar gains calculated for

Heat loss rate Lm (calculated using 24°C internal temperature and external temperature from Table 10)

May

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

8c. Space cooling requirement

Utilisation factor for loss, nm

 $(100)m$

 (101) m

 $(102)m$

 $(103)m$

 (104) m

 $(106)m$

 $(107)m$

Fr Fr - Fr Fr

 (f)

Cooled fraction

Intermittency factor (Table 10b)

37.50 $(98) \div (4)$

Nov

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 0.00

 (99)

Dec

 $\boxed{0.00}$ (100)

 0.00 (101)

 0.00 (103)

 (104)

 (105)

 (106)

 (107)

 (108)

 0.00 1205.93

 0.00

 0.00

 0.80

0.75

241.19

 0.15

Water heating:

Output from water heater, kWh/month (calculated above)

 0.00 0.00 0.00 (102)

nergy cost deflator (Table 12) Energy cost factor (ECF)

SAP rating

SAP band

12a. Carbon dioxide emissions - Individual heating systems including micro-CHP

13a. Primary energy - Individual heating systems including micro-CHP

