					Printed on: 28/07/2014 09:05:21
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4264/P	Mr John Alexander	4A Lyndhurst Road London NW3 5PX	16/07/2014 18:27:03	OBJNOT	see my previous cooments which have been incorrectly registerd as comments. I strongly object to the proposald and wish to attend and speak at teh committee meeting.
2014/4264/P	Mr John Alexander	4 A Lyndhurst Road London NW3 5PX	16/07/2014 18:20:37	COMMNT	The overall developemnt is excessive for the size of the current structure and for the size of the current property. The proposed basement development will occupy the majoity of the rear garden and is thus excessive. The retaining walls will cut into the water table which will impact adversley on my property which is imediately to the rear and down hill from the development. The Basement Impact Assessment report is incorect at page 7 section A-A as it incorrectly refers to number 4 Lyndhurst Rod which should be 4a Lyndhurst Road. 4A was extended towards the rear with planning permission in 2012 which brings 4A closer to the rear of 22 Thurlow Road than is shown on the plans and is lower than the proposed basement development and thus will be adversely affected by the development. The rear garden wall of 4A is an attractive old brick wall which is likely to be damaged by the development. The two Himalay Birch trees are a significant and attractive local amemnity which are planned to be reduced excessively unnecessarily. The proposed screening of their trunks during construction are too close to protect them and the basement development and retaining wall will irreversably damage their root sytems causing irrepairable damage to those beautiful trees. The new conservatory and dormor windows will allow the future occupants of 22 Thurlow Road to look directly into our bedroom windows and thus deprive us of a degree privavcy we currrently enjoy. The proposed conservatory is not in keeping with the style of the present atractive Victorian building. The whole scheme is thus flawed and should be rejected.