

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2014/3117/P	de Coninck	33 Inverness street	07/07/2014 19:05:40	OBJ	My objection is over 32 000 characters so I sent it directly to officer Mrs Seonaid Carr+ Mrs Arbery +I will send it in a file to open it in another section of the planning portal but please note I want to attend the Committee and speak. regards

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/3117/P	Clare Taylor	40 Inverness Street NW1 7HB	03/07/2014 13:41:34	OBJ	<p>Although I live right opposite this proposed development (across the road) I have not been formally included in the consultation.</p> <p>I object to the current plans and request that a new design is put forward by the school, in line with the views of residents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed design is very ugly and not in keeping with the Victorian buildings in Inverness Street and Gloucester Crescent. The new building is a sort of 1970s utilitarian monster, build of horrible new yellow bricks, and the architect should be ashamed of himself for producing such an aesthetically bankrupt design.</li> </ol> <p>Gloucester Crescent is the finest street in Camden by far, a terrace of Italianate Victorian houses by Henry Bassett built between 1840 and 1845. Former resident and author Deborah Moggach described it as the "most spectacular Street in London. So, the development is round the corner in Inverness Street, but it still needs to be aware of the company its keeping. The terraces at the west end of Inverness Street compliment the houses of Gloucester Crescent, though they are less ornate, and the school development needs to continue to do the same. The material should be reclaimed London stock brick, and the design echoing the style and detail of existing Victorian houses. This is being done elsewhere in Camden - e.g. a "new" regency house in Downshire Hill, and was also demanded for a new build in Chelsea recently in the Evening Standard.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. The proposed building is too big, too tall, and too close to the pavement. It will have a very claustrophobic impact on the street. It will cast a huge shadow across the whole street at a place where currently sunlight streams through the gap between the buildings. (I have photos to demonstrate this). This gap has been there for decades and should not be lost. At Number 35 the new development was sensitively done. low built and set back from the road. This is what the school should also do. One storey (for their Hall) would be fine, and set back from the road.</li> <li>3. If the new building is set back, then the green area at the front could be enhanced. The Silver Birch tree should be preserved.</li> <li>4. I think the school is very short sighted to be prepared to have no parking for staff, parents or disabled people within the school. The absence of parking will mean more disruption in the residential streets, and will probably impact on staff recruitment and retention too.</li> <li>5. Dropping of and picking up of pupils from the school is already chaotic and will be made worse by this development. Inverness street needs to be one way (at least at school run times) and needs chicanes to prevent speeding. Gloucester Crescent needs speed humps. Sooner or later someone will be killed or injured by the speeding cars - visibility is poor because of the curve of the crescent, the corner of Inverness Street and the frequent double parked cars.</li> </ol>

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2014/3117/P	de Coninck	33 Inverness street	07/07/2014 19:06:05	OBJ	My objection is over 32 000 characters so I sent it directly to officer Mrs Seonaid Carr+ Mrs Arbery +I will send it in a file to open it in another section of the planning portal but please note I want to attend the Committee and speak. regards

---

2014/3117/P	de Coninck	33 Inverness street	07/07/2014 19:05:56	OBJ	
-------------	------------	---------------------	---------------------	-----	--

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/3117/P	Crolla	23 Gloucester Crescent NW1 7DS	02/07/2014 14:39:34	OBJ	Re : Objection to Cavendish school application 2014/3117/P

Dear Sir or Madam,

First of all I would like to say that I believe it's rather strange that the council made the choice to send consultation letters for this application to Parkway businesses (that won't be impacted by this application) and didn't send any consultation letters to residents of Inverness street nor to me having a house at the corner of Gloucester Crescent and Inverness Street. Let's remind you that the one and only entrance being used by Cavendish school is at 31 Inverness street.

Having nonetheless understood the application was in ; please let me comment it.

The school run times are already a nightmare for Inverness and GC residents. All the congestion is on Inverness street and Gloucester Crescent and is massive and dangerous.

Hundreds of buggies/scooters/cabs, cars, school buses all around the area in double row, unattended, yellow lines or in front of my private parking and I can't go out of my property.

Having said that, 16.15 of Camden development policies says: " The concentration of schools in some parts of Camden, including the Hampstead and Belsize Park areas, has led to traffic congestion, road safety and parking problems related to the 'school run' . As part of transport assessments, applications for new schools and for the expansion of existing schools will be expected to provide details of the projected growth in student numbers, how students are likely to travel, their impact on the transport system and any measures to offset transport problems. In areas with an existing problem with the school run, it is unlikely that the Council will grant planning permission for educational facilities that are likely to exacerbate the problem."

I am consequently extremely concerned that this application went through the pre application while there is such a massive problem of road safety and traffic parking existing yet.

Moreover I would like to comment that if the 15 car park bays existing on the actual site where to be removed from the project it's easy to assume that the school would apply for extra parking places though it's already hard for residents to find a place to park because of these school run issues+ already existing problem with the traders of Inverness market.

I would also like to comment that the proposed design is too high and too massive and would reinforce the impression of enclosure in Inverness street.

The school is applying for what is called a 3 storeys but the reality is the height of 4.5 storeys making it the hugest and highest building after the Camden probation center.

I don't feel like the mirror glass at the ground floor is acceptable in a Conservation area neither the aluminium window frame and certainly not a plant on top of the building.

Generally speaking I believe there should be no front building. On the contrary, the very nice unique living tree of the street that is in fact precisely at 31 inverness should be preserved and so does the long view to the 1850 nice building at the back.

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Consultees Addr:**    **Received:**

**Comment:**    **Response:**

Concerning the hall, I believe residents of GC and Inverness suffer enough of ASB and noise pollution from the venues not to suffer more problems of this kind and once again anyway the hall is too high too massive and not green enough.

Concerning the fact it's massive I don't understand neither why the rear wall of possible front building would be prominent from the rear line of the houses of Inverness street and a vertical green wall would be needed and to set back from adjoining property too I believe (number 33).

The hall should be replaced by a nice and simple one storey building allowing for 2 classrooms with a number of possible increase of pupils being capped. The tree should be preserved with a Tree Protection Order and a few car parks kept on the actual land too.

I consequently urge the council to reject this application.

Please note that the Committee for this application should be held no earlier than September for obvious reasons of transparency to the public.

Yours faithfully.

Anthony Crolla

---