

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4270/P	Gavin Juniper	218 Grafton Road NW% 4AX	18/08/2014 10:56:43	INT	The trees here are not only important architectural parts of the original site design by Powell & Moya but also the only large trees there. They should not be disturbed. Furthermore building around any would endanger the building proposed once the tree died. this project is neither cost efficient or sensible. I am lodged 100% against this proposal. There are plenty of other plots still not explored by the council. This idea should be scrapped.
2014/4270/P	Ruth Jackson	45 Oak Village London NW5 4QL.	18/08/2014 23:28:40	OBJ	I object to this planning application on many grounds: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It will result in the loss of a community space i.e a community garden that is a valuable resource for local residents and a much needed green space. 2. It will result in the removal of trees and a loss of biodiversity. 3. It will remove the traditional intended use of the space by the original architects and planners. 4. The planning application states that it is a vacant and derelict space, this is incorrect because it is obviously a community garden. Perhaps your planning officers should take a look at it before writing a factually incorrect planning application.
2014/4270/P	Helena Juniper	218 Grafton Road London NW5 4AX	18/08/2014 11:05:16	OBJ	I object to this planning application in the strongest terms. Barrington Court Estate was designed with green spaces in mind, the corner plots were planted with trees carefully and aesthetically at the same time the existing houses and flats were built in the late 50's and the planting was to compliment the estate design and enhance the local area which it does. Gospel Oak is highly desirable and a welcome respite from the high density areas of council housing in nearby Kiln Place, Bacton and Weedington. Grafton Road is at the north end a highway over a busy train route and the open spaces nearby are important to maintain air quality and noise reduction. The tall trees deaden the sound of the trains and suck up the pollution like no green roof could ever do. If the council really wanted to invest in green roofs they need look no further than the flat roofs on the estate itself which are already there. The architectural plans for these dwellings overlook the actual size of the plots, the existing trees and the surrounding areas, these plots of land are not suitable for houses they are far too small. The cost to plan (already incurred) and to build these 3 bespoke small dwellings must be wholly disproportionate to the cost of house building on a larger scale which is unnecessary cost not worth the level of profit Camden are likely to see upon sale. I question their value anyway, they are never going to be design classics, they are pokey, inappropriate and an eye sore to local residents. One part of the application is a proposal to build one small house around the existing tree on the plot next door to Numbers 1-6 Barrington which is ludicrous. The tree is over 60 years old, it has a low canopy and wide trunk, there is no way house building around it would not disturb it and the tree work necessary to cut it back to make room for the house would be savage and counter productive. The tree anyway would die as its lifetime lot less than a house, what house owner would want that happening in the middle of their house, its ridiculous. As I say I object most strongly and I think Camden should think very carefully before presenting plans like this as these in particular are not worth the money for the paper they're printed on.
2014/4270/P	Louis Paltnoi	65 Moreland Court Finchley Road NW22TN	18/08/2014 22:28:52	COMMNT	I would like to object to this valuable loss of community space. Aside from losing a green space and openness, it takes away yet another place that my daughter can play, and as we regularly visit our local friends, this is a disaster for us. The creating of a sense of enclosure is also something that should be avoided at all costs.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4270/P	Catherine Allen	148 Oxford Gardens London W10 6LZ	18/08/2014 14:42:37	OBJ	<p>I'm a passionate appreciator of London's 20th Century architecture and feel that building a new residential development on such a fundamental piece of history would be incredibly disrespectful to London's architectural heritage.</p> <p>Powell and Moya were one of the most important British architectural partnerships of the post-war period. Their Barrington Court Estate epitomises society's mid 20th Century vision of the future, heralding in a new cultural and architectural era. Barrington Court was at the forefront of the "humane modernism" approach to urban building.</p> <p>New building would be a drastic augmentation to such a fundamental piece of our architectural heritage. I strongly object to this happening.</p>
2014/4270/P	Jack Morrison	24 Oak Village London Nw5 4qn	18/08/2014 21:00:29	OBJ	<p>The proposal for Barrington Gardens is out of proportion to the surroundings and should be refused on the following grounds:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - impact to local residents on loss of light. Our garden and home will be severely overlooked and lose light if built - loss of community space. Garden is used by many locals to grow vegetables and for children to play. Camden council should be supporting this community activity - any built should be limited to the two storey buildings of the surrounding streets - degrades the surrounding area but creating a closed-in feel and worsens resident experience of the area. We need some areas of greenery and trees <p>Please keep me informed regarding committee date.</p>
2014/4270/P	Tom Puukko	8a Wembury Road N6 5PU	18/08/2014 14:11:42	OBJ	<p>I object to this work for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Loss of Community Space. -Loss of Trees and Biodiversity. -Taking people's light and blocking views. -Loss of community allotments. -Creating a sense of forbidding enclosure. -Loss of Green Space and openness. -Loss of Children's play areas. -Flood risk increases by building on green space. -Removing the traditional and intended use of the space by the original architects and planners. -The established and distinctive terraced rows would be enclosed, and degraded. -Impact on the public's outlook, reducing the sense of openness in the area and creating a sense of enclosure
2014/4270/P	Simon Booker	56 Carysfort Road N16 9AP	18/08/2014 17:59:53	COMMNT	<p>I lived in Gospel Oak and Kentish Town for many years. These gardens have great value for the local community. It would be an act of vandalism to lose them.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4270/P	Jessica Morrison	24 Oak Village London Nw5 4qn	18/08/2014 21:36:00	OBJ	<p>As a resident of Oak Village and having lived in the local area all my life I write to object to the planning application as an interested party directly impacted by the proposal.</p> <p>The proposed building would overlook my house and garden and is an inappropriate scale for the plot. The houses suggested are too tall for the locations and will cause loo of light to me and neighbours.</p> <p>The community also regularly uses the gardens for community events and to grow vegetables, as well as a children's play area. These are all pastimes that Camden Council should be protecting for local residents, not removing. This will negatively impact the resident experience of their local area.</p>
