

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4620/P	Scott Robert	David Kohn Architects Linton House 39-51 Highgate Road London NW5 1RT	15/08/2014 10:20:09	OBJ	I am very concerned that the above mentioned works will increase the risks associated with traffic, contamination of land and flooding - with specific reference to the City of London's emerging proposals for the dams at Hampstead Heath Ponds. The application in question significantly increases the sites density without assessing the impact on the above points.
2014/4620/P	maggie railton	Linton House Second floor 39-51 Highgate rd	16/08/2014 00:02:43	COMMNT	<p>This refers to all Linton House floors change of use. 2014/4616/p 2014/4618/p 2014/4619/p 2014/46120/p</p> <p>Firstly we note that we these applications have been made without due other assessments, such as flood, or traffic and as such should be reassessed.</p> <p>There will be a considerable increase to traffic and parking in the area if the change of use goes ahead and this has not been considered adequately.</p> <p>We note also there is a significant change in the number of proposed dwellings on each floor. And over the entire building - four floors this has increased the dwelling / occupancy by approx 15% from the Permitted development application. As above this increase in proposed dwellings takes no account</p> <p>It would appear that these applications if approved decreases office use and removes creative industries in the area that will have a knock on effect throughout the area... in terms of hitting restaurants, shops. This is a great pity as there is currently a happy, thriving , creative community in this area and will be a great loss to Kentish Town and Camden</p>
2014/4620/P	Silvia Groaz	39-51 Highgate Road NW5 1RT	15/08/2014 10:36:47	OBJ	As a worker in Linton House, I would like to object to this planning application. My objection is pointing out that the number of units in the current application has increased significantly since the previous planning application of 14%. It was proposed in the first instance 11 units and in the current application 13 units. This reflects on the density of the building: from 44 to 50 units. In addition to that, the current proposal does not provide any detailed traffic and flood risk assessment. For this reason I argue that the original prior approval must be reassessed.