	<i>a</i>	~		~	Printed on. 28/08/2014 09
Application No:	Consultees Name:	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4805/P	Richard Dessar	10 Quickswood NW3 3SJ	27/08/2014 16:23:17	COMMEM AIL	Dear Sirs
					I am the elected member the for the Quickswood Sector on the the Chalcot Architect Forum.(CAF)
					We are happy to support this application other than we will object to the proposed "Georgian" paneled doors at roof level. This design does not visually knit with or complement the late 1960's architecture of the Estate. We recommend that each door should contain a single pane of clear glass set in either a white PVC or aluminium frame. We have no objection to a double frame or as to whether the doors open inwards, outwards or slide. Should this amendment be agreed (and I believe it will) we are happy to fully support the application.
2014/4805/P	Gary Vanger	31 Quickswood London Nw3 3SG	22/08/2014 23:11:50	COMMNT	I am the owner of number 31 and share the party wall with the above mentioned property.
					I have viewed the plans submitted and have no objection to the proposals relating to the front elevation. I have valid objections regarding the rear extension as detailed below.
					Please note there is no Party Wall Agreement as yet.
					1. The proposed extension is in line with the border of my property. I am not prepared to agree to or allow the foundation of this extension to encroach onto my land. Furthermore, I have raised brick flower beds to the three sides of my garden and this proposal will mean the removal of the bed on the border side. I realise this could be re-built but having made enquiries I note it will be impossible to match new brickwork with existing. Furthermore any encroachment may affect future plans for me to extend my own property.
					2. I note from the internal plans (ground floor) steels will clearly be required to hold up and secure the point where the existing building line finishes and the extension starts. I am not prepared for the steel to be "fixed" into the adjoining wall.
					Surely the solution to this is for part of the existing main wall to remain to form of a pillar (peer) with the steel resting above. I believe this was done on a similar extension in Quickswood as recently as 2011.
					If the above can be agreed and confirmed in advance I will of course support the revised application.

Printed on: 28/08/2014

09:05:17