A 14 (4 NT			N · 1	C	Printed on: 26/08/2014 09:05:19
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4639/P	Paul Spyker	penthouse 6 bedford Court mansions	20/08/2014 16:48:42	OBJEMPER	I am a resident of Bedford court Mansions and have lived here for 9 years. I OBJECT in the strongest possible terms to the above applications.
		bedford ave London WC1B 3AE			During that time it has been an ongoing battle with the developers of the St Giles Hotel site. Their over intensification and commercialization of this space has been epic, without any consideration for its neighbours in the very residential area.
					I am amazed how lightly Camden deal with their never ending breaches of planning and licensing, indeed the judicial review this last January was it, found against them in almost every respect. They had also applied for use of the public footpath for tables and chairs, it is was turned down. The retrospective application for the ugly canopy and cladding was also turned down.
					Yet Camden does nothing to enforce the removal and correction of theses breaches, but seem to connive with the St Giles on every detail.
					Does Camden have its Christmas party at the St Giles I wonder!?
					Now I understand that officers have agreed an illuminated signon a canopy that has no permission, the old one being illegal and should be removedor is this another way that Hudson House will get their way?? Has any thought been given as to how bright this is? It shines directly at peoples bedrooms at the end of the streetthe only place the sign could actually bee seen as it is obscured by the existing St Giles Hotel canopy and sign.
					This illuminated sign must be stoppedit is a way that Hudson House seek to privatize the public footpathby stealth, having been denied it before
					The cladding that is proposed here is also aimed at doing exactly the same thing
					I urge you to REFUSE both applications and once and for all show Hudson House and the St Giles that Camden does mean business and will enforce the rules.
					The same rules that everyone else has to adhere to.
					I urge the planners and the officers of Camden to see this for what it is - a cynical attempt to circumvent previous decisions to get what they want and cynically commercialize this residential area