Eileen Willmott From:

Sent: 22 August 2014 01:19

To: Planning

DPCAAC tree applications; List 281 Subject:

Dear planners,

2014/4623/T No objection 2014/4878/T No objection No objection 2014/5062/T

2014/4942/T TPO Ref; 2003

2014/5043/T I strongly object to the felling of this Ash tree. It had a TPO served in 2013 and is of great amenity value, especially the people who overlook it, living in Denyer House, to

have no garden of their own. who

2014/4947/T Both trees need to be severly pruned as soon as possible

of Brookfield Park are

as

both their neighbours and the people in the eastern side affected. A neighbour was hit in the neck by a falling branch. They both show

previous pruning and the Sycamore, some evidence of suggest that the trees are cut back to their much pollarding. I earlier reductions points and shaped. These trees need to

be pruned regularly in the future.

I understand that the people in Brookfield Park are

suffering from overgrowth of an Ash at No 45 and a Sycamore at

47. Please may these be monitored? No

TPO Ref: C903 2014/4998/T

> I supported the granting of a TPO on this tree. However, it has grown far too large and blocks the light to the front to 33A and its neighbour. I support its reduction to 10m

height, but it will have to be expertly cut to retain its shape. in

Thank you,

No

Eileeen Willmott