

Comments Form

Name..... Ms JUDY SANDS

Address..... [REDACTED]

Email address..... ✓

Telephone number..... [REDACTED]

Planning application number..... 2013/2017/P

Planning application address..... 30 ROCHESTER ROAD, LONDON, NW1 9JT

I support the application (please state reasons below)



I object to the application (please state reasons below)



Your comments

Please see attached letter

23 MAY 2013

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

Mr Neil Quinn
London Borough of Camden
Planning + Development Dept
Town Hall, Tudd Street
London, WC1H 8ND

27TH May 2013

Dear Mr Quinn,

Re: Proposed Extension at 30 Rochester Road, NW1 9JJ

Application Reference 2013/2017/P

Thank you for your letter received on 10th May regarding the above proposed extension, and I would like you to take the following material considerations into account:

- Increase in noise nuisance - while I would not expect the level of noise from this address to be intolerable, the fact that ANY noise will be closer to us will therefore impact on our ability to enjoy our property without intrusion.
- The further loss of privacy - which we are already experiencing from the illegal extension at No 28, and to some extent from No 31, would be unbearable. We feel that the stifling onslaught of extensions should be reduced to restore the integrity of the original buildings which were specifically designed with the all-round comfort of residents and neighbours in mind.
- Loss of garden area - will considerably reduce the permeable surface area and have further impact on the absorption of water to the subsoil. As well as losing our garden 'lungs', the extensions will also have a negative impact on urban wildlife.
- Permitted development - I assume the above proposal does not exceed the single storey extension of up to 10% volume of the existing property, or exceed the maximum height of 4m?
- If the single storey extensions at the side or at the rear are to have FLAT ROOFS, this will effectively mean that the residents will be able to use them as additional first floor outdoor areas which will have considerable additional noise implications.

- Window layout and roof light - Although we have not been able to view plans or the additional information that used to be enclosed with the PAC letter from the Planning Dept., we have no objection to these proposals in principle. However, it would have been helpful to have had a little more information and perhaps a location map, as no-one in Flats B, C or D has, or can use, a computer, and your Department now seems very inaccessible to us.
- Replacement of front entrance steps - As these works do not affect us at all, we will not be commenting on this aspect of the Application.

I hope you will give the above comments your serious consideration.

You may like to know that all 4 flats at No 13 Bartholomew Rd (which back directly onto 30 Rochester Rd) are Council owned, and I understand Mr Fernando of Flat D has already sent his comments under separate cover.

Yours sincerely,



JUDY SANDS

