

## Comments Form

Name JACQUELINE & LAURENT DECAUVAEINE

Telephone number

CULTURE &amp; ENVIRONMENT

Planning application number 2012 / 3089 / PPlanning application address NEW END NURSES HOME, 29 NEW END  
LONDON NW3 1TD

I support the application (please state reasons below)

I object to the application (please state reasons below)

Your comments

I am attaching our letter of 12<sup>th</sup> July 2012 and our arguments remain the same as before. The latest amendments made by the developer are MINOR and FAIL completely to address our and other residents' concerns with regards to over-development, vast basement excavation (with all the dangerous and majorly disruptive problems that go hand-in-hand) as well as inappropriate design in a highly sensitive area! Our quality of live would be SEVERELY effected for a very long period of time and the repercussions on the homes nearby because of excavation would be much more long-term.

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

Pro- PLEASE take our concerns very seriously and we would like this proposal to be rejected



Charles Thuaire  
Development Control Planning Services  
London Borough of Camden  
Town Hall  
Argyle St  
London WC1H 8ND


Application Ref: **2012/3089/P**  
Associated Ref: 2011/4317/P; 2011/1555/NEW and 2011/4322/C

12<sup>th</sup> July, 2012

Re: **New End Nurses Home, 29 New End London NW3 1JE**

Dear Mr.Thuair,

Thank you for your letter dated 29<sup>th</sup> June 2012 regarding the above planning application. We are replying to you to say that we object to this proposal.



The reasons for our objection are the following:

**The Scale, Size and Design of the Proposed New Building:**

The proposal seeks to nearly double the size of the existing building (from some 28,000 sq ft to over 48,000 sq ft) and although it has conceded to setting the building back from the street front slightly, it will descend deep underground where the width will be wider. This represents a non-justifiable and significant over development of the site.

The site is in a Conservation Area and as such any proposed new building must enhance the area to an appreciable greater extent than the existing building (as specified in the guidelines of the Local Development Framework and English Heritage). In our opinion, the proposed development does NOT do this – all it achieves is bulk and maximum living space and that to the detriment of a very beautiful area with a large proportion of privately owned traditional Georgian and Victorian houses some of which are Grade 2 listed.

There are a large number of families living around here with young children as well as the obvious numerous schools in the direct vicinity. Pavements are small and heavy traffic would be increased and extremely dangerous. Noise would also be an important issue, not only for schools but also for us residents whc are only asking to continue to live in a quiet area

We would sincerely hope that our concerns be taken into account when you consider this proposal and we would ask you to reject it.

