

Comments Form

Name..... MRS D. WRIGHT



Planning application number..... 2013/3375/P

Planning application address.....

I support the application (please state reasons below)

I object to the application (please state reasons below)

Your comments

THE NOISE LEVEL WILL BE HORRENDOUS. ST CHRISTOPHER'S HAS ALREADY BEEN ALTERED AND ~~some~~ ROOMS THAT WERE IN ONE FLAT ARE NOW IN ANOTHER - SO ANY ORIGINAL SOUND PROOFING HAS GONE.

ALSO I LIVE TWO FLOORS BELOW THE NURSERY AND YOU CAN CLEARLY HEAR THE NOISE WHEN THE NURSERY WAS OPERATIONAL. IN THE MODERNISATION ST CHRISTOPHER'S NURSERY WAS NOT TOUCHED AT ALL. WHEREAS ALL THE OTHER FLATS WERE GIVEN A FALSE FLOORING SO THE ORIGINAL FLOORING - WITH ALL THE WATER/CENTRAL HEATING PIPES THEN ANOTHER FLOORING ALL HEAPS CONTAIN NOISE DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE BEING OFFERED IN THE NURSERY'S CASE. THERE ARE NO AMENITIES FOR THE EXISTING CHILDREN IN THE BLOCK TO PLAY ETC WITHOUT AT LEAST 6 MORE. ALSO THE NURSERY WENT HOME AT 17:30 THIS WILL MAKE LIFE UNBEARABLE FOR ALL US UNDER THE FLATS AND THOSE UNDER THE PROPOSED ROOF TERRACES AS PEOPLE WILL BE IN SITU 24/7. THE NURSERY HAS NOT BEEN POSITIVELY MARKETED AS IT HAS BEEN LEFT TO ROT! ALSO IF IT IS NOT FEASIBLE TO HAVE ~~2~~ CHILDREN UP THERE ~~UNDER~~ HEALTH & SAFETY HOW IS IT VIABLE TO HAVE ~~THE~~ FAMILIES LIVING THERE WITH ROOF TERRACE. ALSO Gilbert Bayes Sculptures in date need to

be preserved or removed - NO ONE AT ORIGINAL IS THINKING ABOUT THE HEUR FOR EXISTING TENANTS WITH PERMANENT NOISE AND

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

EVERYTHING ASSOCIATED WITH RESIDENTIAL LIVING PLUS THE NOISE AND DISRUPTION DURING THE 6 MONTHS IT WILL TAKE TO CONVERT. ALSO ORIGINAL RESIDENTIAL APARTMENTS ARE BEING DESTROYED

SO TO USE THE POSITION OF THE NURSERY AS A
NO-NO IS HYPOCRITICAL.

ORIGIN ARE DETERMINED TO MAKE THESE
TWO FLATS IRRESPECTIVE OF THE ^{existing} TENANTS FEELINGS
AND THE ADVERSE EFFECTS ON OUR HUMAN RIGHT
TO REMAIN PEACEFULLY IN OUR OWN HOMES.

WHEN THE FLATS WERE ORIGINALLY BUILT; THE NURSERY
WAS PURPOSE BUILT INTO THE FLATS IN ITS EXISTING
LAYOUT. THIS ~~WAS~~ ACTUALLY TOOK THE PLACE OF
4 FLATS - WHY, IF THERE IS A NEED FOR CHANGE OF
USE, COULD IT NOT BE 4 SMALLER FLATS.

ALSO THERE SHOULD BE NO ROOF TERRACES
AT ALL AS THESE TERRACES WILL DEFINATELY
AFFECT THE PEOPLE IN THE FLATS BELOW WHOSE
ROOF IT ACTUALLY IS. WHICH HAS ALWAYS
LEAKED AND THAT IS JUST WITH CHILDREN/TEACHERS
BEING THERE FROM MONDAY TO FRIDAY 9.30 - 5.00!
NO WEEKENDS OR OVERNIGHTS/EVENINGS:

100% Against this proposal