

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Carr, Seonaid  
**Sent:** 31 July 2013 13:18  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: Objection to planning application ref 2013/3477 and 2013/3956  
**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Orange

Can Mr Fenton's objection below be logged onto M3 and IDOX.

Thanks

Seonaid Carr  
Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 2766

---

**From:** Leyland, Claire-Louise (Councillor)  
**Sent:** 23 July 2013 15:53  
**To:** 'Marlene Fenton'  
**Cc:** Islam, Rofikul  
**Subject:** RE: Objection to planning application ref 2013/3477 and 2013/3956

Hello Gordon,  
Thank so much for your email. We've had a few residents ask us about this.  
My member support officer will get back to you shortly with a time-frame for the response.  
All the best,  
Claire-Louise

Claire-Louise Leyland  
Councillor (Belsize ward)  
Leader of the Conservative Group  
[www.camden.gov.uk](http://www.camden.gov.uk)

Come and share any issues you may have at my street surgery on the first Saturday of each month - England's Lane, 10-12pm.

---

**From:** Marlene Fenton [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** 20 July 2013 12:33  
**To:** Leyland, Claire-Louise (Councillor)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Objection to planning application ref 2013/3477 and 2013/3956

Dear Ms Leyland

May I bring to your attention our serious concern and objection to the proposed planning application in respect of 10a Oakhill Avenue.  
We would appreciate your overview of this matter especially for its implications on the immediate local environment .

Thanking you in anticipation

Gordon Fenton

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Marlene Fenton <[gormarfen@aol.com](mailto:gormarfen@aol.com)>  
**Date:** 18 July 2013 14:27:36 BST  
**To:** [planning@camden.gov.uk](mailto:planning@camden.gov.uk)  
**Subject:** **Objection to planning application ref 2013/3477 and 2013/3956**

Dear Sir/Madam

Owner/Occupier Flat 5 Autumn Rise 10 Oakhill Avenue

I am concerned as to the depth of the proposed building being considerably greater than that existing which coupled with the proposed design of balconies/ terraces close to the boundary wall must impinge on our privacy and give rise to noise nuisance.

The extension of the proposed building further back than the existing one will result in the removal of many trees thus spoiling the view from our rear windows.

I am naturally concerned about the proposed new building incorporating some considerable basement construction as to its possible effects on this our building number 10 in the matter of subsidence

Yours faithfully

Gordon Fenton

Sent from my iPad