Gentet, Matthias

From: Catherine Cinnamor

Sent: 06 August 2013 23:19 **To:** Calthorpe Street

Cc:

Subject: Re: response to planning applications Camden Ref: 2013/3807/P Islington Ref:

P2013/1423 and P2013/1435/1423 & P2013/1435

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Orange

Excellent! Excellent! Good work, women and men of Calthorpe Street.

I heartily endorse this response. I would like to add that the plans submitted seem to ignore everything we know about sustainable communities, healthy living, contemporary architectural design, ecological development and looking after the environment.....

Cath

Below is the copy of the letter I sent, it is rather feeble in comparison.....

Dear Richard

I am writing to express my concerns about Application Reference 2013/3807/P.

Design and layout -1 am still concerned shout the density of the layout. There is no sense of mixed use of this land site. While I agree it should be used for housing, I think it should be used for housing, I think it should be used to have high-rise thouses and I want to see more independent retailers, training health and leisure facilities in the planning stages. These were promised when King's Cross was first being developed and have never materialised. I think the will be 'massing' of Buildings and reduced light.

External appearance and materials - I'd like these to be sustainable and attractive. For example at Rubicon in York Way they are rossting in a glass box in summer and hard to heat in winter.

Less of daylight, sunlight and privacy of neighbours - a real and gemaine concern, as is the likely increase in petty crime. This is a maghbourhood relatively free from incident, and it is laugheble in the planning statement to read that the increased activity of a buildings site will reduce crime. I disagree.

Note nukance - I and my neighbours are very worned that the noise of the building and lonie will make it obtained, but those of us at home in the daytime, there are considerable numbers of electry to retried people in the area who will suffer as a result. There is also the worry about air quality due to dust and building works; even when one or two houses in the street have been removated this has a effected some of our furnaged included with a tendency to be estimate, difficulties or weak lungs.

Traffic and parking issues - we have been assured that no new parking permits of large layed, but what shout those with existing permits? I largely like to use my care for feer of not being shit to park on my return as it is; when a few spaces are out of commission for a few days because of tree or road works it is almost impossible to find a parking space. There is also the issue of safety for children and cyclistic. Collithoger Street is well used by cyclists.

Loss of, or increase in, a particular type of use of land - of course, it is a hourry to have open land that cannot be effected when we are so short of housing. However, it is disappointing in the extreme that so little provision for an open public space appears in the plans, all I can see is what looks like a small square between tower blocks. Which will appearably be gated. There is no need for a walkney between Gough Street and MF Pleasant. There is a need for open space, trees, independent retailers, and things that will innrove the outsit of life for local seconds.

It is insulting to red in the planning statement that the increase of building workers will be good for the economy of the area. I have seen the (in egyl year the ground building workers on the Post Office sits with case of coke, bage of cripps or using the workmen's cases. To suggest this enhances the area is spurious. Incidentally as far as I am aware that building work has been carried out with the minimum of direction to the color area.

Once more, I would like to put forward my own vision for the development of this area. I would like to see a low-rise development of

quality design that will enhance the area rather than hight it. It could contain provision for artisen end cast workshops which could provide training and employment. I would like to see small groups of diveilings a cound courtywad, seprens, allotments or public spaces. Although it might be that there would be a lower density housed, I believe it would create a more sustainable community with better future propects, rather than building yet more unappealing tower blocks. People may well initially be keen to located, but problems will soon emerge as there is little provision for children, dogs, or general amerities or leisure facilities to absorb such a large influx of people.

I believe this is a great opportunity to create a visionary new development that London could be proud of in this historic and well-regarded area of Clerkenwell.

Cath

On 6 Aug 2013, at 22:39, Calthorpe Street <calthorpe.street@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Sirs

Please find enclosed the response to the above planning application from the Calthorpe Street Residents' Association. It represents views from a broad range of local residents collated in recent months. It is being submitted now to meet the tight deadline for the consultation, but we would like to reserve the right to make further amendments to it following feedback from members of the group who have not yet been able to read and comment on the final letter.

Regards

Ann Nkune Chair, CSRA

<RESPONSE TO MP PLANNING APPLICATION foldocx>