

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 July 2013 15:21
To: Planning
Subject: FW: (objection Petition 2013/1598/P) Garden Halls development

Follow Up Flag: [REDACTED]
Flag Status: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I have attached the hard copy of the petition that came in separately, but to which this email relates.

Thanks,

Richard McEllistrum
Principal Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 2056
-----Original Message-----
From: Wendy Shutler [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 27 June 2013 18:25
To: McEllistrum, Richard
Subject: Garden Halls development

I attach an online petition signed by 48 people in support of my request that Camden refuse planning permission for London University's Garden Halls development between Cartwright Gardens and Sandwich Street in its present form. We ask that the buildings be lower than the present model suggests, as it will take away a great deal of natural light and all direct sunlight from the Sandwich House flats.

Please ignore signatures 43 to 47 as they are duplicates made by mistake.

I've also today put a hard copy of this petition downstairs in the internal mailbox in the reception area of Camden Town Hall. I believe I say in this letter "45 signatures" - but there are actually 48 (I must not have counted the last page. Sorry!)

I have lived at 63 Sandwich House since 1967 - with the same windows -and many other residents have been here for more than 20 years. I believe there is a law known as Ancient Lights, which may perhaps be relevant to us?

I do hope you can help us.

Yours sincerely,

Wendy Shutler

To Richard McEllistrum,
Planning Dept.
London Borough of Camden.

From Wendy Shutler



26th June 2013

Dear Richard McEllistrum,

I enclose a hard copy of an online petition signed by 45 people in support of my request that Camden refuse planning permission for London University's Garden Halls development between Cartwright Gardens and Sandwich Street in its present form. We ask that the buildings be lower than the present model suggests, as it will take away a great deal of natural light and all direct sunlight from the Sandwich House flats.

I have crossed out some signatures on the penultimate page as they are duplicates made by mistake.

I have lived at [REDACTED] since 1967 – with the same windows - and many other residents have been here for more than 20 years. I believe there is a law known as Ancient Lights, which may be relevant to us?

I do hope you can help us.

Yours sincerely,



Wendy Shutler

Save-our-daylight

Sign

Blog

Signatures

Email friends

Tweet

The Petition

London University have applied to Camden Council for planning permission to build a very high block and tall townhouses as student accommodation plus conference hall between Cartwright Gardens and Sandwich St, Bloomsbury. This will reduce natural light and all direct sunlight from the Sandwich House flats, where I live. We get afternoon sunlight only at present. We will have none at all if this development proceeds. The new buildings will make our homes dark, depressing and gloomy, and sunsets will be a thing of the past.

At present I can see a square of sky from my flat. This little view of the sky and the treetops of Cartwright Gardens will be blocked out by the proposed high buildings. This may seem a trivial matter, but to be able to see a sunset, clouds, or a corner of the night sky, are simple, heartwarming pleasures, which should be freely available to all. Some of us in this block are not young, and the view from one's window is that much more important if you can't get out easily.

But the most serious consequence for local residents is the loss of natural light. We ask Camden Council to refuse planning permission for this development in its present form. We don't want to live in the dark!

Please sign this petition and pass it on to your friends.

Sign petition

Fields marked with * are required

Name: *

45

Goal: 400 signatures

Sponsor

WENDY SHUTLER

(No Sponsor Info)

Contact Petition

Spread the word

Help promote this petition with a widget on your site

Links

(No links added)

Sponsored links

The views expressed in this petition are solely those of the petitioner's sponsor and do not in any way reflect the views of iPetitions. iPetitions is solely a provider of technical services to the petition sponsor and cannot be held liable for any damages or injury or other harm arising from this petition. In the event no adequate sponsor is named, iPetitions will consider the individual account holder with which the petition

2

Save-our-daylight

[Sign](#)

[Blog](#)

[Signatures](#)

[Email friends](#)

Signatures

Page **1** of 2

1

Name: Wendy Shutler on Jun 6, 2013

Comments:

[Flag](#)

2

Name: Ivor Game on Jun 6, 2013

Comments:

[Flag](#)

3

Name: Nick Brooks on Jun 6, 2013

Comments:

[Flag](#)

4

Name: Mike Brooks on Jun 6, 2013

Comments:

[Flag](#)

5

Name: Gillian Brooks on Jun 6, 2013

Comments:

[Flag](#)

6

Name: Philippa Ritchie on Jun 6, 2013

Comments: I am an alumna of London University and I am appalled they're planning to do this.

[Sign Petition](#)

[Sign](#)

[Sign and send link](#)

3
7

Name: Janet Weitz on Jun 6, 2013

Comments:

Flag

8

Name: Edward Chaillet on Jun 6, 2013

Comments: The overall shape of London will be damaged by this addition in the centre, damaging the vital mix of commerce and residential life.

Flag

9

Name: Marlene Sidaway on Jun 7, 2013

Comments: Planners should have much more consideration for the needs of the neighbouring community, I support this petition absolutely - whereas there may be a need for student accommodation, it should be designed so that it does not impinge on the comfort and needs of other residents.

Flag

10

Name: Marie Collett on Jun 7, 2013

Comments:

Flag

11

Name: Alison Steadman on Jun 7, 2013

Comments: Life is tough. please don't take away tiny, tiny rays of daylight that help you get us through the day.

Flag

12

Name: Michael Elwyn on Jun 7, 2013

Comments:

Flag

13

Name: D W BaLL on Jun 7, 2013

Comments:

Flag

14

Name: Cclin Stepney on Jun 7, 2013

Comments: Natural light is surely something that we all have a right to experience, wherever we live. The added bonus of a glimpse of sky from our windows and to experience the glory of sunsets cannot be too much to ask for and treasure and want to hold on to. To allow this to be blocked out and taken away and hence diminish the quality of lives of those that will be affected, in favour of

4
of local residents many of whom have lived in the area most of their lives must surely and rightly be listened to and given priority over a thoughtless scheme that will blight their lives forever.
Flag

- 15 **Name:** Mark Bromley on Jun 7, 2013
Comments: I can't believe that a petition has had to be organised against this. The idea of planning something like this with no thought for the people it will effect should never have been put to paper in the first place. I sincerely hope that planning is refused.
Flag
- 16 **Name:** Leila Witkin on Jun 7, 2013
Comments:
Flag
- 17 **Name:** Toby Leigh on Jun 7, 2013
Comments:
Flag
- 18 **Name:** David Irving on Jun 7, 2013
Comments: It is not just the light and sunlight issues that are important. Noise and being overlooked are also an issue, as is increased traffic noise.
Flag
- 19 **Name:** Maralynn Velasco on Jun 7, 2013
Comments: This building should not be allowed to happen it will take away the natural light to the severe detriment of the occupants opposite.
Flag
- 20 **Name:** Caroline Poole on Jun 7, 2013
Comments:
Flag
- 21 **Name:** C Poole on Jun 7, 2013
Comments:
Flag
- 22 **Name:** April Turner on Jun 7, 2013
Comments: here's to heartwarming pleasures and down with Council corruption
Flag

3

23 **Name:** Carol Hodge on Jun 7, 2013
Comments: This would indeed be very sad.
Flag

24 **Name:** Tessa Skola on Jun 8, 2013
Comments: Don't destroy such a lovely, special area of London with a modern monstrosity.
Flag

25 **Name:** LYNDALL STOLL on Jun 8, 2013
Comments:
Flag

26 **Name:** Sheila Kelley on Jun 8, 2013
Comments:
Flag

27 **Name:** Kathy Abbott on Jun 9, 2013
Comments:
Flag

28 **Name:** *Anonymous* on Jun 10, 2013
Comments:
Flag

29 **Name:** Angela Collins on Jun 10, 2013
Comments: I have known this block and the lady who sent me this petition since 1977. The flats are potentially totally dark and depressing if they are deprived of the only natural light they receive from the front. Other residents (like my friend) are elderly and unable to get out of doors easily. If commercial developers are allowed to run roughshod over Camden residents in this matter, I shall ask members of the press to take up this matter.
Flag

30 **Name:** Madeline Buck on Jun 10, 2013
Comments: I support Wendy ! She has put it so succinctly.
Flag

31 **Name:** Denny Evans on Jun 10, 2013

6/

Flag

32

Name: Juliet Prew on Jun 10, 2013

Comments:

Flag

33

Name: BOBBY CROSSMAN on Jun 10, 2013

Comments:

Flag

34

Name: Victoria Kempton on Jun 10, 2013

Comments:

Flag

35

Name: M P ROSS on Jun 11, 2013

Comments: everyone should be exposed yo natural light.

Flag

36

Name: E Turkson & Yola Turkson on Jun 11, 2013

Comments: We don't want to live in a depressing, dark and gloomy environment polluted by drugs and noise on our hitherto quiet street. It is also not healthy nor safe for our young family

Flag

37

Name: Angela Curran on Jun 11, 2013

Comments:

Flag

38

Name: Elizabeth Stanton Jones on Jun 12, 2013

Comments: I used to live in Sandwich House and I know how important the light is that comes over the halls of residence. I believe it would be a serious infringement of planning laws to block the light to the extent that is envisaged. This does not prevent London University improving the halls of residence in Cartwright Gardens, but it does require them to have respect for their neighbours.

Flag

39

Name: Paul Jakeman on Jun 12, 2013

Comments:

Flag

7

Name: Judy Douglas Boyd on Jun 13, 2013

Comments: Enough, enough! Please, no more high buildings to block our our treasured and essential light. Listen to us please.

Flag

41

Name: Glenna Waterhouse on Jun 13, 2013

Comments:

Flag

42

Name: Adam Velasco on Jun 16, 2013

Comments:

Flag

43

~~Name: Adam Velasco on Jun 16, 2013~~

~~Comments:~~

~~Flag~~

DUPLICATE

~~43~~ 43

Name: Courtney Burt on Jun 16, 2013

Comments:

Flag

~~43~~

~~Name: Courtney Burt on Jun 16, 2013~~

~~Comments:~~

~~Flag~~

~~43~~

~~Name: Courtney Burt on Jun 16, 2013~~

~~Comments:~~

~~Flag~~

DUPLICATES

~~43~~

~~Name: Courtney Burt on Jun 16, 2013~~

~~Comments:~~

~~Flag~~

~~49~~

~~Name: Courtney Burt on Jun 16, 2013~~

~~Comments:~~

~~Flag~~

8
44
45
Comments:
Flag

~~50~~
Name: Alex Geach on Jun 16, 2013
Comments:
Flag

Page: 1 , 2