

Victoria Pound – Planner (Conservation) London Borough of Camden

Argyle Street London WC1H 8FQ Please ask for: Tel: Daniel Barker

0303 444 8063

Email: daniel.barker@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Your ref:

2013/4377/L

Our ref:

NPCU/LBC/X5210/72608

Date:

10th October 2013

Dear Mrs Pound

Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 Application for Listed Building Consent 39 Delancey Street, London NW1 7RX

I am directed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government to refer to your e-mail of September 3rd 2013 with enclosures, concerning your Council's application for Listed Building Consent to dismantle and rebuild a damaged rear garden wall between numbers 37 and 39 Delancey Street. The application was made in accordance with the provisions of Regulation 13 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Area) Regulations 1990.

Registery Support Office -

The Secretary of State has considered the information submitted by your Council in support of the application and noted that English Heritage has no objections, and no representations or objections were made by Amenity Societies or other third parties to the proposals.

Therefore the Secretary of State hereby grants Listed Building Consent for the above works subject to the following conditions:

- The works hereby permitted shall be begun not later than the end of three years from the date of this consent.
- All new work and work of making good shall be carried out to match the original work as closely as possible in materials and detailed execution.
- The works hereby approved are only those specifically indicated on the following drawing(s): Site location plan; 13/039-02; 03; Heritage statement (includes photographs).

This letter does not convey any consent or approval required under any enactment, byelaw, order, or regulation, other than Section 8 and 17 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

A separate Note is attached setting out the circumstances in which the validity of the Secretary of State's decision may be challenged in the High Court.

Attention is also drawn to the enclosed Note relating to the provisions of the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970.



RIGHT TO CHALLENGE THE DECISION IN THE HIGH COURT

These notes are provided for guidance only. If you require further advice on making any High Court challenge, or making an application for Judicial review, you should consult a solicitor or other advisor or contact the Crown Office at the Royal Courts of Justice, Queens Bench Division, Strand, London, WC2 2LL (0207 947 6000).

The attached decision is final unless it is successfully challenged in the Courts. The Secretary of State cannot amend or interpret the decision. It may be redetermined by the Secretary of State only if the decision is quashed by the Courts. However, if it is redetermined, it does not necessarily follow that the original decision will be reversed.

SECTION 1: PLANNING, TREE PRESERVATION ORDER & ADVERTISEMENT APPEALS; CALLED-IN PLANNING APPLICATIONS; GRANTS OF PLANNING PERMISSION IN ENFORCEMENT NOTICE APPEALS.

Depending on the circumstances, the decision may be challenged by making an application to the High Court under either or both Sections 288 and 289 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (the 1990 Act). There are differences between the two sections, including different time limits, which may affect your choice of which to use. These are outlined below.

Challenges under Section 288 of the 1990 Act

Decisions on called-in applications under section 77 of the 1990 Act (planning), appeals under section 78 (planning) or section 195 (Lawful Development Certificate) may be challenged under this section, as may tree preservation order and advertisement appeals. Section 288 also relates to enforcement appeals, but only to decisions granting planning permission or discharging conditions. Success under section 288 alone would not alter any other aspect of an enforcement appeal decision. The enforcement notice would remain quashed unless successfully challenged under section 289 of the 1990 Act or by Judicial Review.

Section 288 provides that a person who is aggrieved by the decision to grant planning permission or discharge conditions (on an enforcement appeal) or by any decision on an associated call-in under section 77, appeal under section 78 or section 195 of the 1990 Act, may question the validity of that decision by making an application to the High Court.

SECTION 2: LISTED BUILDING & CONSERVATION AREA CONSENT APPEALS & CALLED-IN APPLICATIONS: LISTED BUILDING ENFORCEMENT APPEALS.

Depending on the circumstances, the decision may be challenged by making an application to the High Court under either or both sections 63 and 65 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (the LBCA Act). There are differences between the two sections, including different time limits, which may affect your choice of which to use. These are outlined below.

Challenges under section 63 of the LBCA Act

Decisions on appeals made under section 20 (listed building consent) may be challenged under this section. Section 63 also relates to enforcement appeals, but only to decisions granting listed building consent or conservation area consent or discharging conditions. Success under section 63 alone would not alter any other aspect of an enforcement appeal decision. The enforcement notice would remain quashed unless successfully challenged under section 65 or by Judicial Review.

Section 63 of the LBCA Act provides that a person who is aggrieved by the decision to grant listed building or conservation area consent or discharge conditions (on an enforcement appeal) or by any decision on an associated appeal under section 20 of the LBCA Act, may question the validity of that decision by making an application to the High Court.

GROUNDS FOR APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTION 288 OF THE 1990 ACT AND SECTION 63 OF THE LBCA ACT

Challenges may be made on the grounds:-

- · That the decision is not within the powers of the Act; or .
- That any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with ('relevant requirements' means any requirements of the LBCA Act or the 1990 Act as appropriate, or of the Planning and Tribunals Act 1992, or of any order, regulation or rule made under any of those Acts).

These two grounds mean in effect that a decision cannot be challenged merely because someone does not agree with the Secretary of State's decision. Those challenging a decision have to be able to show that a serious mistake was made when reaching the decision, or, for example, that the inquiry, hearing or site visit was not handled correctly or that the procedures were not carried out properly. If a mistake has been made the Courts may decide not to quash the decision if the interests of the person making the challenge have not been prejudiced.

Please note that under both sections an application to the High Court must be lodged with the Crown Office within 6 weeks of the date of the decision letter. This time limit cannot be extended. Permission of the Court is not required to make these types of challenge.

CHALLENGES UNDER SECTIONS 289 OF THE 1990 ACT & 65 OF THE LBCA ACT

In both planning and listed building enforcement notice appeals, and tree preservation order enforcement appeals, the appellant, the local planning authority or any person having an interest on the land (to have an interest in the land means essentially to own, part own, lease and, in some cases, occupy the site) to which the enforcement notice relates may challenge the decision in the High Court on a point of law.

An application under either section may only proceed with the permission of the Court. An <u>application</u> for permission to challenge the decision must be made to the Court within 28 days of the date of the <u>decision</u>, unless the period is extended by the Court.

If you are not the appellant, or the local planning authority or a person with an interest in the land but you want to challenge a planning enforcement appeal decision on grounds (b) to (g) or a listed building enforcement appeal decision on grounds (a) to (d) or (f) to (k), or the decision to quash a notice, you may make an application for Judicial Review. You should seek legal advice promptly if you wish to use this non-statutory procedure. The procedure is to make an application for the permission of the Court to seek Judicial Review. This should be done promptly, and in any event within 3 months of the date of the decision.

SECTION 3; AWARDS OF COSTS

There is no statutory provision for challenging the decision on an application for an award of costs. The procedure is to make an application for Judicial Review.

SECTION 4: INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTS

Where an inquiry or hearing has been held any person who is entitled to be notified of the decision has a statutory right to view the documents, photographs and plans listed in the appendix to the report of the Inspector's report of the inquiry or hearing within 6 weeks of the date of the decision. If you are such a person and you wish to view the documents you should get in bouch with the office at the address from which the decision was issued, as shown on the letterhead on the decision letter, quoting the reference number and stating the day and time you wish to visit. At least 3 days notice should be given, if possible.

January 2001

CHRONICALLY SICK AND DISABLED PERSONS ACT 1970

The above Act requires persons undertaking the provisions of certain buildings or premises to make provision for the needs of disabled people. Your development is affected if it would result in the provision of one or more of the following:

- A building or premises to which section 4 of the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970 applies (buildings or premises to which the public are to be admitted whether on payment or otherwise);
- 2. Any of the following, being in each case; premises in which persons are employed to work:-
- i. Office premises, shop premises and railway premises to which the Offices, Shops and Railway premises Act 1963 applies;
 - ii. Premises which are deemed to be such premises for the purposes of that act; or
 - iii. Factories as defined by section 175 of the Factories Act.
- 3. A building intended for the purposes:
 - i, of a university, university college or college, or of a school or hall of a university; or
- ii. of a school within the meaning of the Education Act 1944, a teacher training college maintained by a local education authority in England or Wales or any other institution providing further education pursuant to a scheme under section 42 of that Act.

If your development comes within category (1) above, your attention is drawn to the provisions of section 4 and 7 of the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970 and to the British Standards Institution Code of Practice for access for the Disabled to Buildings (BS 8300:2009).

If your development comes within category (2) above, your attention is drawn to the provisions of section 7 and 8A of the 1970 Act and to the BSI code of practice (BS 8300:2009).

If your development comes within the category (3) above, your attention is drawn to the provisions of sections 7 and 8 of the 1970 Act and to Building Bulletin 102 "Designing for disabled children and children with Special Educational Needs", published in 2008 on behalf of the Secretary of State for Children, Schools and Families.





Mr Charles Rose
London Borough of Camden
Development Control Planning
Town Hall
Armyle Street

Argyle Street London WC1H 8ND LB Camden
FINANCE
1.4 DCT 2013
CEN SMAL MAILFOOM
Register Support Office - 02

Direct Dial: 0207 973 3777 Direct Fax: 0207 973 3792

Our ref: W: L00261677

9 October 2013

Dear Mr Rose

Notifications under Circular 01/2001, Circular 08/2009 & T&CP (Development Management Procedure) Order 2010 Application for Listed Building Consent

APARTMENT 2.09, ST. PANCRAS CHAMBERS, EUSTON ROAD, LONDON, NW1 2AR Application No 2013/5377/L

Thank you for your letter of 30 August 2013 notifying English Heritage of the scheme relating to the above site. Our specialist staff have considered the information received and we do not wish to offer any comments on this occasion.

Recommendation

This application should be determined in accordance with national and local policy guidance and on the basis of your specialist conservation advice.

We have therefore drafted the necessary letter of authorisation (draft attached) for your Council to determine the application as you see fit and referred the case to National Planning Casework Unit. Subject to the Secretary of State not directing reference of the application to him, they will return the letter of authorisation to you. If your authority is minded to grant listed building consent, you will then be able to issue a formal decision. Please send us a copy of your Council's decision notice in due course.

Please note that this response relates to historic building matters only. If there are any archaeological implications to the proposals it is recommended that you contact the Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service for further advice (Tel: 020 7973 3712).



1 WATERHOUSE SQUARE 138-142 HOLBORN LONDON EC1N 2ST Telephone 020 7973 3000 Facsimile 020 7973 3001 www.english-heritage.org.uk

English Heritage is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. 2000 (FOIA) and Environmental Information Regulations 2004 (EIR). All information held by the organisation will be accessible in response to an information request, unless one of the exemptions in the FOIA or EIR applies.

LONDON OFFICE

Yours sincerely

Claire Brady

Inspector of Historic Buildings and Areas E-mail: claire.brady@english-heritage.org.uk

Enc: Draft letter of authorisation

List of documents received by English Heritage

APARTMENT 2.09, ST. PANCRAS CHAMBERS, EUSTON ROAD, LONDON, NW1 2AR

Application No 2013/5377/L

Documents as approved



LONDON OFFICE

Mr Charles Rose

London Borough of Camden **Development Control Planning Services**

Town Hall Argyle Street

London WC1H 8ND Direct Dial: 0207 973 3777 Direct Fax: 0207 973 3792

Our ref: L00261677

22 September 2013

Dear Mr Rose

Notifications under Circular 01/2001, Circular 08/2009 & T&CP (Development Management Procedure) Order 2010

Authorisation to Determine an Application for Listed Building Consent as Seen Fit

APARTMENT 2.09, ST. PANCRAS CHAMBERS, EUSTON ROAD, LONDON, NW1 2AR Application No 2013/5377/L

Applicant: Ms Lily Cole

Grade of building(s):

Proposed works:

Internal alterations to existing flat

Drawing numbers:

Drawings as available on the London Borough of

Camden website

Date of application: Date of referral by Council: Date received by English Heritage: Date referred to CLG:

23 August 2013 30 August 2013 2 September 2013 22 September 2013

You are hereby authorised to determine the application for listed building consent referred to above as you think fit. In so doing English Heritage would stress that it is not expressing any views on the merits of the proposals which are the subject of the application.



LONDON OFFICE

Yours sincerely

Cleire Bach

Claire Brady

Inspector of Historic Buildings and Areas E-mail: claire.brady@english-heritage.org.uk

NB: This authorisation is not valid unless it has been appropriately endorsed by the Secretary of State.

