

From: wendy rowe [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 September 2014 06:35
To: Martin, Carlos
Cc: Planning; Bushell, Alex
Subject: Fw: Camden Planning Applications: Refs 2014/4709/P and 2014/4708/P

Dear Mr Martin: I thought I would re-send the email below, originally sent to you on 4 Sept, as I know that you have been away for a few weeks and didn't want to risk my objections getting lost in the backlog of emails that I'm sure were waiting for you on your return...

Earlier in the week I spoke to Alex Bushell who said that he would send you a note explaining that I was concerned that the planning section of Camden's website looks as if only one person (Peter Riach) has registered an objection to the above planning applications in respect of Flat 23 Tamar House. In addition to myself, I am aware that a number of other Tamar House residents have submitted objections, either by email or via the on-line facility (including I believe the following: Lou Stein, Diana Scarrott, Derek Hook, Monica Vincent, Jocelyn Chatterton and Sven Topel). I did just want to make sure that you are aware that there have been a number of objections so that you will be able to take our concerns into account when considering your decision.

I would appreciate it if you could confirm that you have received my objections. Will my objections, as well as those of other neighbours, be uploaded onto Camden's Planning website?

Many thanks.

Yours sincerely,
Wendy Rowe

Flat 26 Tamar House
Tavistock Place
London
WC1H 9RD

tel: [REDACTED]

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: wendy rowe [REDACTED]
To: Martin Carlos <Carlos.Martin@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: "planning@camden.gov.uk" <planning@camden.gov.uk>
Sent: Thursday, 4 September 2014, 23:58
Subject: Camden Planning Applications: Refs 2014/4709/P and 2014/4708/P

Dear Mr Martin:

Further to our recent correspondence and brief telecon, I have set out below my objections relating to the above Planning Applications for a major programme of proposed works in Flat 23 Tamar House. I rang your office yesterday and, as you were away, spoke to your colleague, Sam Watts, who confirmed that the consultation period was still open. I am forwarding my objections for your consideration when you return from holiday next week.

I should point out that I live in Flat 26 Tamar House and I am the applicant's closest neighbour on the top (5th) floor of Tamar House.

I object to the applications for the following reasons:

- 1) Loss of light: I am very concerned that the proposed additional storey over the adjacent flat will result in a loss of daylight. I have a skylight in my hall ceiling which is the only source of direct natural light. I am assuming that scaffolding will be needed during the proposed building works which will further reduce the light in my flat.
- 2) External materials: I am extremely concerned that the proposed works on the roof might cause further deterioration or damage to the overall condition of the roof which was not designed or built to support the additional storey proposed by the applicant.

- 3) Loss of privacy: I am concerned that I may be overlooked from the additional storey when sitting on my terrace.
- 4) Noise nuisance: I am very concerned that the combined effect of the proposed works outlined in the two planning applications will be many months of disruption and major noise nuisance coming from building work taking place immediately above, below and to the side of my apartment.
- 5) Access for elderly and infirm residents: I am very concerned that the only lift in the building, which is a small passenger lift that serves 26 flats and already suffers from intermittent break-downs due to its age, will be used to transport heavy building materials and equipment to flat 23 (for the proposed internal works) and to the roof (for the proposed additional storey). The added strain on the lift is likely to cause even more frequent break-downs leading to additional repair costs and the risk of more frequent periods when the lift is out of order. Residents who are elderly, infirm or unfit will be faced with no option but to struggle with the stairs to access their homes whilst waiting for semi-obsolete parts that are difficult to source due to the lift's age to be tracked down.
- 6) External appearance: Tamar House is a listed building and the proposed external works, including the glazed extension at the rear and the additional storey, are not in keeping with the existing façade or the layout and design of the rest of the building.
- 7) External appearance: I gather that previous occupants of 4 Tavistock Place (which is located immediately adjacent to Flat 23), were denied permission by Camden to locate a small air conditioning plant on the roof of their property. I am unclear if this was primarily due to planning objections or listed building concerns but I feel that similar considerations should apply in this case.

Thank you for taking my objections into account when considering your recommendations in respect of the two planning applications that have been submitted for Flat 23 Tamar House (Refs: 2014/4709/P and 2014/4708/P). If you need to clarify any of the above concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Please could you note that my preferred method of contact is via email.

Yours sincerely,
Wendy Rowe

Flat 26 Tamar House
Tavistock Place
London
WC1H 9RD

tel: [REDACTED]

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: wendy rowe <[REDACTED]>
To: "Martin, Carlos" <Carlos.Martin@camden.gov.uk>
Sent: Wednesday, 20 August 2014, 16:08
Subject: Re: Consultation letter (Ref 2014/4709/P)

Dear Mr Martin:

Thank you for emailing me a copy of the missing consultation letter - appreciated your swift response. Thanks also for your help in clarifying the timetable and for explaining the issues which the Council may take into account when reaching its decision. I would like to comment on this planning application and will make sure to do so before the consultation period ends on 4 September. [NB: subsequently extended to 10 September]

Kind regards,
Wend Rowe

Flat 26 Tamar House
Tavistock Place
London WC1H 9RD
tel: [REDACTED]

From: "Martin, Carlos" <Carlos.Martin@camden.gov.uk>

To: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, 20 August 2014, 15:44

Subject: Consultation letter

Dear Ms Rowe,

As requested, please find attached a copy of the consultation letter previously sent.

Kind regards,

Carlos Martin
Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Culture and Environment
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 2717

Web: camden.gov.uk

2nd floor

5 Pancras Square

5 Pancras Square

London N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected.

This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.