

## Gentet, Matthias

---

**From:** Miller, Rachel  
**Sent:** 21 October 2013 13:42  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: Objection to planning application for Mansard extension to numbers 7-9, Perrins Court, NW3. Planning Application - 2013/5170/P

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Please log 2013/5170/P

Rachel Miller  
Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 1343

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 21 October 2013 13:46  
**To:** Chung, Linda (Councilor)  
**Cc:** Miller, Rachel  
**Subject:** Fw: Objection to planning application for Mansard extension to numbers 7-9, Perrins Court, NW3. Planning Application - 2013/5170/P

--- Original Message ---

**To:** [linda.chung@camden.gov.co.uk](mailto:linda.chung@camden.gov.co.uk)  
**Cc:** [rachel.millar@camden.gov.uk](mailto:rachel.millar@camden.gov.uk)  
**Sent:** Monday, October 21, 2013 11:20 AM  
**Subject:** Objection to planning application for Mansard extension to numbers 7-9, Perrins Court, NW3. Planning Application - 2013/5170/P

Dear Linda Chung/Rachel Millar,

**Reference above planning application, as it relates my residence at 12, Perrins Lane, Hampstead NW3.**

I strongly oppose this proposal on the following grounds:

1. Given the historic architectural nature and ambience of the area, the contemporary appearance of an additional storey would have a negative effect on the amenity and destroy the visual harmony of the street, which is an appropriate mix of commercial and residential buildings. The proposed extension would alter this balance, creating the appearance of over-development, blocking out the light in this conservation area.
2. Invasion of privacy. In addition to double windows looking straight on to the rear of the property, there are plans to construct a double-volume, "green roof" facing numbers 10, 12 and 14 Perrins Lane. This would further compromise my privacy.
3. The extension which architects' plans reveal would comprise four, not two, apartments, would overlook and severely restrict the amount of light in my property, which is already a problem. This would necessitate the use of more electricity, which, apart from the cost, would be an

environmental issue.

Planning permission that was granted for conversion of existing office space on the first floor of the building should not infer the appropriateness of the addition of an another storey to the building.

Yours faithfully

