

Oct. 11, 2013

14 OCT 2013

[REDACTED]

Camden Regeneration and Planning Development Management
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall
Judd Street London WC1H 8ND

Reference: 2013/6038/P 66 South Hill Park

Dear Mr Tulloch,

I was shocked to learn that yet another application has been submitted threatening the integrity and safety of this unique environment, as well as all the inconvenience involved.

1. A chief concern is the excavation of basement as well as front garden thereby threatening the stability of the water table. We experienced similar work carried out at 72 and 74 South Hill Park. The outcome was flooding to the number 70 side of our garden. What guarantee is there that flooding will not recur?
2. The proposed rear and side extension will reduce privacy and 'peaceful enjoyment' of our garden. It also looks as if the view and light between 66 and 68 will be compromised (the Mansions was refused planning permission - sought by one resident only some years ago - for a lift to be fixed on the flank wall facing no. 66).
3. Over nearly 3 years we experienced great discomfort and unhappiness due to the noise, dust and dirt of the building works next door. The likelihood of this happening again is a particularly distressing prospect for those of us who through infirmity are unable to get out, or work at home.
4. For me, now mobile only in a powered wheelchair, it will not be possible to use the pavement if blocked through building works, as there are no dropped kerbs to enable me to cross to the other side of the road.
5. I require live-in care and my carer's bedroom wall faces 66. I am concerned that the noise and nuisance will lead to problems in retaining my carers.

I hope your committee will consider these comments not only in the letter of the law but in the spirit of sensible building development.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]