## Planning application number 2013/6326/P

I object to the application on the following reasons:

The changes of use from business to residential will have a dramatic impact on the community and local businesses. Leeder House and other units are suitable for continued business use and should be protected as such as indicated by policy DP13. The loss of employment direct and indirect and the loss foot traffic that these business bring to Primrose Hill will have a dramatic impact on the local Businesses. I have been coming to this area the last 12 years because of trivoga that is located here. In coming, I do business in a majority of the High Street Shops located here, speeding money continually through out the year, supporting these local businesses as well as supporting Camden with parking fees (about £12 a week = about £556+ a year x 12 years = £6.624 apx.) and there are the numerous parking tickets I have also incurred parking in the area by making my way to this part of town to go to trivoga. The amount I have contributed throughout the years has been a lot, and there are so many others like me, who make our way to these units and do the same. Injecting this community with money, energy and life. This loss would impact the community greatly. When you compare how many would be residing in these proposed units with how many are drawn to the area because of the business in Leader House and other units there is no comparison. A comprehensive approach should be taken to the development of all land/buildings at 6 Erskine Road including trivoga so that the impact can be fully understood and assessed! The changes of use and the proposed physical alterations would also have an undesired impact for the proposed plans are out of keeping with the character and appearance. The architecture of this building is not in keeping with the surrounding building being that it is also within the Primrose Hill Conservation Area. I therefore object on the following ground mentioned to the planning application 2013/6326/P. I hope you properly look into this matter and access the impact such a proposal and change would have in the area as it would not be a positive one.



I object to the above application for the following reasons:-

1) Leeder House and the other units are suitable for continued business use and should be protected as such as indicated by Policy DP13.

2) The site is within the Primrose Hill Conservation Area. The change of use and the proposed physical alterations are out of keeping with the character and appearance of the Conservation area.

 Loss of employment (direct and indirect) due to reduced foot traffic will greatly affect local businesses.

4) A comprehensive approach should be taken to the development of all land/buildings at <u>6 Erskine Road</u>, including Triyoga so that the impacts can be fully understood and assessed.

# Camden, Development Management

Regarding the planning reference 2013/6326/P, I would like to voice my strong disagreement with development. Primrose Hill is one of the few areas of London which still has a sense of community. I have chosen to live in the area for this reason. Any number of neighbourhoods in London have purely residential or chain store businesses. These lack charm and fail to hold the local people's respect and loyalty to the area. It leads to transience. Primrose Hill currently has a wonderfully strong community which will be put at risk under these planned works.

I personally would look to leave the area should it lose its currently feel. Having spoken to neighbours, I know I am not alone in this view.

Please do not approve this planning application on the grounds it will cause far more loss than gain for the area.

Thank you and Regards,

I am writing to object to the above planning application.

Leeder House is part of the Primrose Hill conservation area and these proposed alterations are out of keeping with the characteristics of the village. Leeder House and the other units provide direct employment in the area and if their use is no longer continued for business this will mean a great loss in foot fall around the area that will impact hugely on other local business's and threaten the survival of the village as it now is.

They should be protected as such as indicated by policy DP13.

Thank you and kindest Regards,

Dear Sir / Madam

I am writing to you in connection with the following application:

## 2013/6326/P

As I am sure you are aware, the aforementioned site is currently the location of many businesses, including TriYoga - which provide both direct and indirect employment

Losing these businesses would result in loss of jobs and foot traffic to local cafes, restaurants and shops.

Primrose Hill's thriving atmosphere relies on companies such as TriYoga being in the area and bringing with them both jobs and customers.

I urge you to take this into consideration and fully assess the merit of more housing in an already densely populated area.

Yours sincerely,

FAO: Camden Council Planning Authority

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to voice my strong opposition to allowing 6 Erskine to re zone itself from commercial to residential common use property.

I am a 7-year Camden resident and an ardent supporter of triyoga fitness located in the rear premises of the building at #6 Erskine Avenue.

Not only does the fitness centre provide a much needed recreational fitness for private use for the local community but as there are a shortage of public or private fitness facilities in my local neighbourhood (NWG) I feel if this closes it will further reinforce the notion that the Council does not care for the health nor the fitness of its community residents.

Please re-think your decision and vote against allowing the zoning at this location to change.

Many thanks for your kind attention.

Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to share my discontentment at the proposed closure of Tri Yoga. I personally find the benefits I gain from this yoga centre have helped me in my daily life, making working in London far less stressful.

Please reconsider granting permission for these building to be re developed.

Kind regards



## Dear Camden Council,

I would like to object to the planning application **2013/6326/P**. Leeder House and the other units are important training and community centre, they are suitable for continued business use and should be protected as such as indicated by policy DP13.

The site is within the Primrose Hill Conservation Area. The change of use and the proposed physical alterations are out of keeping with the character and appearance of the Conservation Area.

There will be a loss of employment and business both direct + indirect from reduced foot traffic, which will impact local businesses.

A comprehensive approach should be taken to the development of all land/buildings at 6 Erskine Road including triyoga, so that the impacts can be fully understood and assessed.

Please do consider this plea

A concern ditizen and active user of Leeder house and Units

**Kind Regards**