

Titchener, Paul

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 August 2013 17:04
To: Planning
Cc: gordon macqueen; Richard Simpson
Subject: 72-74 Parkway, NW17AL ; Application ref: 2013/4013/P - logged 14/8 PT

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Orange

For the attention of Sally Shepherd.

Dear Sally,

We are writing on behalf of the Camden Town CAAC.

We object to this application for the following reasons:

The proposed plant units, to be placed on the first floor rear extension of 72-74 Parkway, are sizeable, stretching across two shop units. They will be overlooked by residential properties, not only by the second floor flats at 72-74 Parkway but also by adjoining second floor flats in Parkway. They will also be overlooked by the listed houses in Gloucester Crescent which back onto the proposed site.

It is proposed that the industrial units will be enclosed by a timber screen but this will only shield the sight of the units from adjoining first and ground floor properties. They will certainly be visible from the windows higher up in the Parkway and Gloucester Crescent houses.

Also we have not been adequately reassured about the sound levels. The units will be active all through the day and night so surely readings should be taken in the residential flats in Parkway and in the Gloucester Crescent houses.

Finally, the proposed Location Plan is wrong, outlining Nos 78-80 Parkway and not Nos 72-74.

We are copying this letter to Richard Simpson, the Chairman of the Primrose Hill CAAC.

Yours sincerely,

Gordon Macqueen & Margaret Richardson, Co-Chairs of the Camden Town CAAC,
31 Oval Road,
London NW1 7EA