

## Gentet, Matthias

---

**From:** Thuaire, Charles  
**Sent:** 12 December 2013 12:23  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: RE application 2013/7242 P

**Categories:** Orange Category

PI log on mvm as objection

Charles Thuaire  
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 11 December 2013 17:17  
**To:** Thuaire, Charles  
**Subject:** RE application 2013/7242 P

I have been resident in this area for 25 years and am a frequent visitor to Hampstead Heath and its environs.

I strongly oppose this application on the grounds that:

- Permission to erect the flats requires the house to be retained and restored – an obligation that has to be honoured even if the house is sold.
- The house is rich in architectural detail both inside and out and I am convinced that it is still in good enough condition to be worth restoring.
- The area of the new house would be over 60% larger than the existing house. This contravenes the rules on rebuilding houses in Metropolitan Open Land such as the Heath. These rules were upheld in 2008 by the Court of Appeal in respect of the Garden House site by Hampstead Heath as a result of local action.
- The new house would be intrusive in size, scale, style, colours and detail, damaging the character of this popular area of the Heath immediately adjacent to the newly restored Kenwood House.

It is high time that these developers are properly held to account and planning conditions properly enforced. LB Camden should not allow this application as doing so would seriously undermine the current planning frameworks in force in this part of London which Camden has a responsibility to abide by. Camden officers must be - and be seen to be- thoroughly scrupulous in enforcing Section 106 throughout the borough

[REDACTED]