| 6 | | | | | |
|--------------|----------|----|---|---|----|
| | Card and | Ca | m | d | |
| Canal Street | 5 | La | | u | en |

Planning application address. IA frograd London NW3 6AL I support the application (please state reasons below) RECEIVED I object to the application (please state reasons below) - 5 222 2013 Your comments Culture & Environment THE WORKS PROPOSED REQUIRE AN AGREED ACCESS PLAN WITH AN OF THE TENANTS/OWNERS AT HAMPSTERD GATE THE DEVELOPED HAS COMMERCED DORKS & HAS FAILED to PUT SUCH A PROPOSAL TO THE OWNERS/TENANTS ME HAS ALSO FAILES TO ATTEND AN ACREED MEETING TO DISCUSS SAME. WE ARE ALL SMALL BUSINESSES HELE & REDUIRE ACCESS AT ALL TIMES of THE DAY + NIGHT. WHEN WE GO TO MEETINGS, OF REQUIRE ACCESS IT IS OFTEN ON A TIME-SENSITIVE BASIS. WE CANNOT ACCEPT THAT THERE WILL BE VEHICLES BLOCKING OUR ACCESS AT ANY TIME. PLEASE SEE Coly of LETTER TO THE DEVELOPER' REPRESENTATIVE. (ATTACHED) ANY EXTENSION TO THE DEVELOPMENT WILL INCREASE PROBLEMS.

Mr Brian Smith Shannon Integrated Services Ltd Ground Floor 87-89 Baker St London W1U 6RJ

Dear Mr Smith,

29 November 2013

I am in receipt of the letter (undated, but annotated November 2013) from Ms Claire Smith regarding the development at the entrance to the Hampstead Gate office estate

I have had discussions with your colleague Mr Darren Clarke on site and I have given him my business card. I was therefore a little surprised to receive a general letter addressed to 'whom it may concern'.

I have also had a telephone conversation with Mr Pascal Alles and we arranged for him to come and see me here at my office. He failed to turn up for that meeting.

I am delighted to note from the letter that your teams are informed, polite, dependable and respectful. However, I am afraid that is not enough in the case of your proposed development.

I am sure that you will agree that communication is vital in situations such as this. In spite of this a large amount of planting that was on our side of the party wall has been completely destroyed by your initial works. As I mentioned in my conversations with your colleagues, a simple discussion with the tenants here would have made that matter far more palatable, rather than the sight of large amounts of foliage lying on the ground.

Your Planning Permission only allows the development to take place once an acceptable access plan has been put in place between you and the tenants / owners of this estate. That has not happened. There are already instances where people have had issues gaining access in their vehicles. Neither you nor the owners of the site have made any attempt to discuss such a plan with the tenants.

> Registered in England: 2808198 Registered Office: Fenton House, 4 Hampsted Gate, 1A Frogual, London NW3 6AL. England.



ISO9001:2008/FS 557646 ISO14001:2004/EMS 593093 The units here are occupied by small businesses. We tend to work long hours and there are frequent 'comings and goings' of vehicles. It is often the case that people are in a hurry. You will again appreciate that it is not acceptable for the owners / tenants to be inconvenienced in any way, whether it be during the working week, or even during your proposed weekend working hours. There are frequently people working here at the weekend.

Whilst I appreciate that you will try to ensure minimal disruption, I am afraid that is not good enough if I require to enter or leave Hampstead Gate for meetings and I am time sensitive. All of the other tenants are in the same position.

I also note that the owner of the site has applied for a larger development than was originally proposed. Again, unless a workable access plan is put into place, this application will have to be contested.

You are aware of your commitments under the planning permission that was granted. I look forward to hearing from you in writing with your proposed access plan that will not interfere with the unfettered access of the users of this estate.

I have copied this letter to Camden Council, the Managing Agents for the estate and our lawyers.

