Arboricultural Assessment Report

Summary Report on Trees

Client: Oriel Services Limited For: Zurich - UK Commercial Insurer: Site: Policyholder: 36 Frognal Ltd. **Risk Address:** 36 Frognal, London, NW3 6AG **OCA Ref:** 56161 Refs: **Client Ref:** 7711629 **Insurer Ref:** CRF4031569

Survey By:	Lee Cooper		
Title:	Surveyor	Date:	1 st July 2014
Report By:	Richard Brasnett-Mellor		
Title:	Arborist	Date:	21 st July 2014



4 The Courtyards, Phoenix Square, Severalls Park, Wyncolls Road, Colchester, Essex CO4 9PE Tel.No: 01206 751626

Email: colchester@oca-arb.co.uk www.oca-arb.co.uk



This Product is Licensed and Copyrighted @2005

1.0 Introduction & brief

- 1.1 OCA UK Limited has been instructed by Oriel Services Limited on behalf of the building insurers of 36 Frognal, London, NW3 6AG (the insured property). We have been advised by Oriel Services Limited that the property has suffered differential movement and damage which is considered to have been caused by trees growing adjacent the property influencing soils beneath its foundations.
- 1.2 We have been instructed to undertake a survey of the vegetation growing adjacent the insured property, to provide our opinion as to whether, based on the available information any of this vegetation is likely to be influencing soil moisture levels beneath the foundations of the property and if so to provide recommendations as to what tree management could be implemented to effectively prevent damage continuing.
- **1.3** The vegetation growing adjacent the risk address has been surveyed from the ground using digital measuring devices and/or standard tape measures. All distances are measured to the nearest point of the risk address unless otherwise stated.

2.0 Limitations

- 2.1 Recommendations with respect to tree management are associated with the risk address as stated on the front cover of this report and following consultation with investigating engineers. The survey of trees and any other vegetation is associated with impacts on the risk address subject of this report. Matters of tree health, structural condition and/or of the safety of vegetation under third party control are specifically excluded. Third party land owners are strongly advised to seek their own professional advice as it relates to the health and stability of trees under their control.
 - In relation to the possibility of heave damage, the owners of any trees within third party control must obtain their own advice in respect of the possibility of any damage to their own or any other structures outside of the control of the insurers of the risk address subject of this report from any soil heave.
- **2.2** Recommendations do not take account of any necessary permission (statutory or otherwise) that must be obtained before proceeding with any tree works.

Preliminary Report No: 56161 OCA©2009

3.0 Vegetation and subsidence of low rise buildings – property owner's guide

3.1 Soils, soil water and vegetation

All vegetation requires water to live and this water is substantially accessed from the soil within which the plants roots grow.

If the soil is classified as a clay soil then it will hold very much more water than sands, gravels and loam soils. During the summer as plants abstract water from the clay soil then the soil volume will "shrink" and "swell" as water is first removed and then added by summer rainfall.

In years in which rainfall during the summer is less than the total amount of water taken from the soil by plants then shrinkage will continue. This shrinkage may remove support from building foundations leading to cracking in the fabric of the building.

3.2 Vegetation management

The control of trees, shrubs and climbers by removal is a proven technique that controls total soil water loss thereby minimising soil shrinkage and allowing repairs to proceed.

If vegetation management works are carried out promptly then repairs can usually proceed very quickly and the duration and distress associated with the disruption that tree related subsidence brings can be minimised.

3.3 Third party liaison and statutory controls

Tree roots do not respect physical or property boundaries and can travel for many metres beyond the above ground "dripline" of the canopy of the vegetation.

The purpose of this report is to ascertain on a preliminary basis which vegetation is the most likely substantial and/or effective contributory cause of the damage witnessed to allow for liaison with third parties or with local administrative Councils as necessary.

You can learn more about tree related subsidence of low rise buildings by visiting:

www.oca-arb.co.uk/whatisSubsidence.htm

Preliminary Report No: 56161 OCA©2009

4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

4.1 Results of the field survey

We can confirm that vegetation exists on or near the insured property that is considered to be causing or contributing to the current subsidence damage.

4.2 Preliminary recommendations

On the basis of our preliminary findings we have considered a practical vegetation management specification. This specification will assist in reducing the impact of the adjacent vegetation on soil moisture levels thereby potentially stabilising foundations of the affected area of the building.

4.3 Recommended vegetation management to address the current subsidence:


Tree No:	Species	Works Required			
T1	False Acacia	Fell and grind stump			
T2	Lime	Fell and grind stump			
Т3	Lime	Fell and grind stump			

Preliminary Report No: 56161 OCA©2009

Age Class	YO - Young. SM - Semi-Mature.EM - Early Mature.	Ownership	PH – Within boundary of risk address.
	MA – Mature. FM – Fully Mature. OM – Over Mature		P3P – Within boundary of third party properties.
Condition	G – Good. F – Fair. P – Poor.		LA – Within land owned by a Local Authority.
	D – Dead, Dying or Dangerous		C3P – Commercial third party.
Stem Diameter	MS – Multi-stemmed tree		U – Within land of indeterminable ownership.



Tree No	Common Name	Age Class	Condition	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	Stem diam. (mm)	Dist to bldg (m)	Pruning history	Recommendation	Tree work constraints	Notes	Owner address	Owner
T1	False Acacia	MA	Р	10.6	10	550	4.2	2X Main vertical limbs have been topped heavily	Fell and grind stump	None	Tree is in poor form due to previous works. Evidence of limb failure	34/34A Frognal, London, NW3 6AG	PTP
T2	Lime	MA	F	18.2	11.8	430	4.7	No significant past tree works	Fell and grind stump	None		36 Frognal, London, NW3 6AG	PH
Т3	Lime	MA	F	18.5	13.8	520	6.7	No significant past tree works	Fell and grind stump	None		36 Frognal, London, NW3 6AG	PH
T4	Holly	MA	F	9.2	6	255	8.9	No significant past tree works	No work required.	N/A		36 Frognal, London, NW3 6AG	PH
G1	Yukka	MA	G	5.4	2.8	190	5	No significant past tree works	No work required.	N/A		36 Frognal, London, NW3 6AG	PH



By OCA Limited

Crown copyright 2014. License number 100043594

Site Photographs



1. Front right of the property, G1 to left with T1, T2, T3, and T4 on the right.



2. Close up of the trees to the right from the front, T1 at front.



3. View of trees from the rear of the property

Report No: 56161 OCA©2012