

Dear David,

Re Application 2013/7486/P

Our studio is directly opposite the above application site and we would like to object to this proposal.

In 2009-10 the original two storey mews houses on this site were demolished, to be replaced by the much larger existing building shown in the photograph below, consisting of both the annasmand with faux party walls on Kings Terrace, and he awkward white rendered composition behind. It is now the tallest building on the street, and to try to extend it yet more would further detract from the character of this cobbled mews.

On 20 November 2008, I wrote to your colleague Tania Skelli-Yaoz "This is an important building for the Camden Town Conservation Area, and it seems to get ever more larger in size, and poorer in quality". I also attach two emails to her of 4th and 12th November, that remain relevant. It is only three years since the last enlargement was implemented, please could I ask you to refuse any more?



From: Bill Reed bill@reedspace.com>
Date: 4 November 2008 13:57:18 GMT
To: Tania.Skelli-Yaoz@camden.gov.uk

Subject: Re: 64-70 Camden High Street and 43-49 Kings Terrace NW1

Dear Tania.

Refs: 2008 / 1379/P & 1519/P.

I met the architect of the above scheme on 15th October concerning further amendments that they wish to make, and I understand that he has consulted you too.

The structure of the building is now pretty much in place, and it is already obvious that it is far too big, particularly from Kings Terrace where you can see it's full height from a variety of angles that you weren't supposed to. I know that I am not the only member of the Camden Town CAAC that has been extremely concerned at this, but they now seek to raise areas of the roof even higher. They also seek to revise aspects of the Kings Terrace elevations that were not thought through or accurately drawn in the original planning application.

I would like to register our objection to all the latest revisions and urge you to carefully consider what they are actually building, and ensure that they adhere to the approved scheme. If they are unable to achieve that, then any revisions should at least enhance the building, not detract from it as they do at present.

Kind Regards,

and any dissemination, distribution, copying or use is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender.	