No objection to:

list 246 2013/7661/T (TPO Ref: C820) 2013/7737/T 2013/7785/T

list 247 2013/8030/T

list 248 2013/8066/T

2013/8086/T; Journal of the trees were not of much amenity value as were behind a high garden wall and not very visible from the street. I presumed the application was requested due to light reduction.

2013/8295/T

I do object to the removal of 2.5m from the crown of this very old Yew tree. The removal of this amount of foliage could damage the tree irrevocably. Previous work was less damaging (2007/2248/T) when the crown was lifted to 6m and only thinned by 10%. The tree does need some pruning, but much lighter than applied for. In addition the neighbours at No 26 have no objection to the overhang in their garden.

I conclude that a TPO should be placed upon this tree to retain its shape and also prevent over zealous pruning in the future. Lower branches, any epicormic growth and dead wood could be removed, enabling the tree to retain its shape.

Also the removal of 2.5m in height would mean that it no longer was in balance with the Yew at No 24 Grove Terrace.

Thank you and a Happy New Year to you all,

Eileen Willmott