

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B and C Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:20:10	COMMLET TER	For the attention of Rachel Miller Camden Planning We, the occupants and owners of the second and third floor flats at 25 Tanza Road, are asking Camden Council to reject permission for the unsightly timber structure which the new owners of Nr. 27 Tanza Road have recently erected alongside the wall separating the rear gardens of Nr. 27 and 25. We find this coarse timber construction with its additional 20 wooden struts overtopping the garden wall of Nr. 25 by at least one metre visually objectionable and inappropriate for a garden backing onto the Heath or indeed any garden in this area. As justification for what we regard as an eyesore, Martin Sumner, Chartered Surveyor writing on behalf of the owners of 27, states that this structure "was installed following Police Crime Prevention advice reiterated in the 'Times' to erect trellis to protect rear boundaries so that intruders' struggle to climb them without making enough noise to raise the alarm". With regard to this argument we'd like to raise a couple of points. In the first place this structure has not been built along the rear or Heath boundary of Nr.27 but alongside the brick wall separating the gardens of Nrs. 25 and 27 — giving rise to the notion that Nr. 27 could be in danger of intruders coming over from Nr. 25, an imputation that strikes us — long-time and peaceable inhabitants of this building — as, to say the least, bizarre. Moreover, the owners of Nr. 27 liken this coarse timber fence to a 'trellis'. According to any definition, a trellis is a structure of cross-barred or lattice work, a far cry from the solid structure we now look down upon. Unlike the traditional attractive trellises erected along the back -i.e. Heath - walls of a number of gardens in Tanza Road and Parliament Hill which are potentially effective deterrents as they are likely to collapse under the weight of any would-be burglar - the solid timber fence raised by the owners of Nr. 27 would provide potential bu
2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B and C Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:20:43	COMMLET TER	
2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B and C Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:20:55	COMMLET TER	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4029/P	Joe Cohen	25a Tanza Road Garden Flat NW32UA	23/09/2014 14:37:08	OBJ	<p>We live in the lower and upper ground floor maisonette at 25, directly across the garden party wall from number 27. We've been in the property for almost 9 years and enjoy use of the garden throughout the year. My wife and I spend weekends and evenings together in the garden and our children play throughout the year as well. With the Heath just behind us and the great views on three sides it's been a great enjoyment for us.</p> <p>The fence that has been erected is at the top of a garden party wall that is already over 7 feet in height on both sides. With an additional three feet added by the fence our view to the northwest (towards the top of Parliament Hill has been completely obstructed. There is no sense that nature or the Heath exists looking in this direction.</p> <p>In addition to blocking the view, the height of the wall and the fence has become oppressive to us and feels very much like a barrier (or prison wall as described by my neighbours upstairs). It really has changed the nature of our garden for the worse and I fear we'll spend less time in the garden in the future as a result of the fence atop the wall.</p> <p>When the fence was constructed, I really didn't understand why our new neighbours felt the need to have it given the existing height of the wall. I wanted to find a solution and so I rang Polly and suggested we talk and try to find an accommodation. She was quite dismissive of me and suggested that I 'had it in for her' and 'didn't want her to have what she wanted in her garden.'</p> <p>I still don't understand why she'd take such a stance given we're likely to live next to one another for a long time? In any event, we'd ask that planning not be granted for this fence as it is (and will) having a very negative impact on our use of our garden and the views of the local amenities.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:34:33	COMMEM AIL	For the attention of Rachel Miller Camden Planning

We, the occupants and owners of the second and third floor flats at 25 Tanza Road, are asking Camden Council to reject permission for the unsightly timber structure which the new owners of Nr. 27 Tanza Road have recently erected alongside the wall separating the rear gardens of Nr. 27 and 25.

We find this coarse timber construction with its additional 20 wooden struts overtopping the garden wall of Nr. 25 by at least one metre visually objectionable and inappropriate for a garden backing onto the Heath or indeed any garden in this area.

As justification for what we regard as an eyesore, Martin Sumner, Chartered Surveyor writing on behalf of the owners of 27, states that this structure "was installed following Police Crime Prevention advice reiterated in the "Times" to erect trellis to protect rear boundaries so that intruders" struggle to climb them without making enough noise to raise the alarm".

With regard to this argument we'd like to raise a couple of points. In the first place this structure has not been built along the rear or Heath boundary of Nr.27 but alongside the brick wall separating the gardens of Nrs. 25 and

27 — giving rise to the notion that Nr. 27 could be in danger of intruders coming over from Nr. 25, an imputation that strikes us — long-time and peaceable inhabitants of this building — as, to say the least, bizarre.

Moreover, the owners of Nr. 27 liken this coarse timber fence to a "trellis" According to any definition, a trellis is a structure of cross-barred or lattice work, a far cry from the solid structure we now look down upon. Unlike the traditional attractive trellises erected along the back -i.e. Heath - walls

of a number of gardens in Tanza Road and Parliament Hill which are potentially effective deterrents as they are likely to collapse under the weight of any would-be burglar - the solid timber fence raised by the owners of Nr. 27 would provide potential burglars - emanating from our property - with an excellent foothold to invade Nr.27. The owners" offer to reduce this fence by 330mm would

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Consultees Addr:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

not answer any of our objections.

We would gladly invite any member of the Council to take a look from our balcony at this ugly and inappropriate structure — out of place in an area whose traditional appearance and character the Council takes such care to protect.

2014/4029/P Carla and Paul Fatt 25B Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA 22/09/2014 21:28:28 COMMEM AIL For the attention of Rachel Miller Camden Planning

We, the occupants and owners of the second and third floor flats at 25 Tanza Road, are asking Camden Council to reject permission for the unsightly timber structure which the new owners of Nr. 27 Tanza Road have recently erected alongside the wall separating the rear gardens of Nr. 27 and 25. We find this coarse timber construction with its additional 20 wooden struts overtopping the garden wall of Nr. 25 by at least one metre visually objectionable and inappropriate for a garden backing onto the Heath or indeed any garden in this area.

As justification for what we regard as an eyesore, Martin Sumner, Chartered Surveyor writing on behalf of the owners of 27, states that this structure "was installed following Police Crime Prevention advice reiterated in the 'Times' to erect trellis to protect rear boundaries so that intruders struggle to climb them without making enough noise to raise the alarm".

With regard to this argument we'd like to raise a couple of points. In the first place this structure has not been built along the rear or Heath boundary of Nr.27 but alongside the brick wall separating the gardens of Nrs. 25 and 27 — giving rise to the notion that Nr. 27 could be in danger of intruders coming over from Nr. 25, an imputation that strikes us — long-time and peaceable inhabitants of this building — as, to say the least, bizarre.

Moreover, the owners of Nr. 27 liken this coarse timber fence to a 'trellis'. According to any definition, a trellis is a structure of cross-barred or lattice work, a far cry from the solid structure we now look down upon. Unlike the traditional attractive trellises erected along the back -i.e. Heath - walls of a number of gardens in Tanza Road and Parliament Hill which are potentially effective deterrents as they are likely to collapse under the weight of any would-be burglar - the solid timber fence raised by the owners of Nr. 27 would provide potential burg

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:27:14	COMMNT	For the attention of Rachel Miller Camden Planning

We, the occupants and owners of the second and third floor flats at 25 Tanza Road, are asking Camden Council to reject permission for the unsightly timber structure which the new owners of Nr. 27 Tanza Road have recently erected alongside the wall separating the rear gardens of Nr. 27 and 25.

We find this coarse timber construction with its additional 20 wooden struts overtopping the garden wall of Nr. 25 by at least one metre visually objectionable and inappropriate for a garden backing onto the Heath or indeed any garden in this area.

As justification for what we regard as an eyesore, Martin Sumner, Chartered Surveyor writing on behalf of the owners of 27, states that this structure "was installed following Police Crime Prevention advice reiterated in the 'Times' to erect trellis to protect rear boundaries so that intruders' struggle to climb them without making enough noise to raise the alarm".

With regard to this argument we'd like to raise a couple of points. In the first place this structure has not been built along the rear or Heath boundary of Nr.27 but alongside the brick wall separating the gardens of Nrs. 25 and 27 — giving rise to the notion that Nr. 27 could be in danger of intruders coming over from Nr. 25, an imputation that strikes us — long-time and peaceable inhabitants of this building — as, to say the least, bizarre.

Moreover, the owners of Nr. 27 liken this coarse timber fence to a 'trellis'. According to any definition, a trellis is a structure of cross-barred or lattice work, a far cry from the solid structure we now look down upon. Unlike the traditional attractive trellises erected along the back -i.e. Heath - walls of a number of gardens in Tanza Road and Parliament Hill which are potentially effective deterrents as they are likely to collapse under the weight of any would-be burglar - the solid timber fence raised by the owners of Nr. 27 would provide potential bu

2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25C Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:25:08	COMMNT
-------------	---------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------	--------

2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:24:33	COMMNT
-------------	---------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------	--------

2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:24:15	COMMNT
-------------	---------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------	--------

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:22:06	COMMLET TER	For the attention of Rachel Miller Camden Planning

We, the occupants and owners of the second and third floor flats at 25 Tanza Road, are asking Camden Council to reject permission for the unsightly timber structure which the new owners of Nr. 27 Tanza Road have recently erected alongside the wall separating the rear gardens of Nr. 27 and 25.

We find this coarse timber construction with its additional 20 wooden struts overtopping the garden wall of Nr. 25 by at least one metre visually objectionable and inappropriate for a garden backing onto the Heath or indeed any garden in this area.

As justification for what we regard as an eyesore, Martin Sumner, Chartered Surveyor writing on behalf of the owners of 27, states that this structure "was installed following Police Crime Prevention advice reiterated in the 'Times' to erect trellis to protect rear boundaries so that intruders' struggle to climb them without making enough noise to raise the alarm".

With regard to this argument we'd like to raise a couple of points. In the first place this structure has not been built along the rear or Heath boundary of Nr.27 but alongside the brick wall separating the gardens of Nrs. 25 and 27 — giving rise to the notion that Nr. 27 could be in danger of intruders coming over from Nr. 25, an imputation that strikes us — long-time and peaceable inhabitants of this building — as, to say the least, bizarre.

Moreover, the owners of Nr. 27 liken this coarse timber fence to a 'trellis'. According to any definition, a trellis is a structure of cross-barred or lattice work, a far cry from the solid structure we now look down upon. Unlike the traditional attractive trellises erected along the back -i.e. Heath - walls of a number of gardens in Tanza Road and Parliament Hill which are potentially effective deterrents as they are likely to collapse under the weight of any would-be burglar - the solid timber fence raised by the owners of Nr. 27 would provide potential

2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B and C Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:21:40	COMMLET TER	
-------------	------------------------	--	---------------------	----------------	--

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/4029/P	Carla and Paul Fatt	25B and C Tanza Rd London NW3 2UA	22/09/2014 21:21:02	COMMLET TER	<p>For the attention of Rachel Miller Camden Planning</p> <p>We, the occupants and owners of the second and third floor flats at 25 Tanza Road, are asking Camden Council to reject permission for the unsightly timber structure which the new owners of Nr. 27 Tanza Road have recently erected alongside the wall separating the rear gardens of Nr. 27 and 25. We find this coarse timber construction with its additional 20 wooden struts overtopping the garden wall of Nr. 25 by at least one metre visually objectionable and inappropriate for a garden backing onto the Heath or indeed any garden in this area.</p> <p>As justification for what we regard as an eyesore, Martin Sumner, Chartered Surveyor writing on behalf of the owners of 27, states that this structure "was installed following Police Crime Prevention advice reiterated in the 'Times' to erect trellis to protect rear boundaries so that intruders' struggle to climb them without making enough noise to raise the alarm".</p> <p>With regard to this argument we'd like to raise a couple of points. In the first place this structure has not been built along the rear or Heath boundary of Nr.27 but alongside the brick wall separating the gardens of Nrs. 25 and 27 — giving rise to the notion that Nr. 27 could be in danger of intruders coming over from Nr. 25, an imputation that strikes us — long-time and peaceable inhabitants of this building — as, to say the least, bizarre.</p> <p>Moreover, the owners of Nr. 27 liken this coarse timber fence to a 'trellis'. According to any definition, a trellis is a structure of cross-barred or lattice work, a far cry from the solid structure we now look down upon. Unlike the traditional attractive trellises erected along the back -i.e. Heath - walls of a number of gardens in Tanza Road and Parliament Hill which are potentially effective deterrents as they are likely to collapse under the weight of any would-be burglar - the solid timber fence raised by the owners of Nr. 27 would provide potential bu</p>
2014/4029/P	Sofia Cohen	25a Tanza Road	23/09/2014 17:02:37	APP	<p>This fence is an eye sore, an insult to the neighbourhood and the most ridiculous structure. "A trellis", they say. Not so much. "For safety according to suggestions from the local constabulary." Really? I didn't think they thought it was a good idea to provide extra sturdy structures to scale walls. The wall is already 7ft, and the offered 12 inches off will not make a blind bit of difference to the ugly thing. The back wall to the heath is already too high, so why add insult to injury?</p>