Printed on:	01/10/2014	09:05:19
-------------	------------	----------

						Printed on: 01/10/2014 09:0
	Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/5432/P Raphael and David	Raphael and Irene David	-	20/09/2014 18:40:57	OBJEMAIL	Re: application ref 2014/5432/P	
			NW6 1HR			We are writing to object to the application for the proposed work at 74 Crediton Hill and, more important, to bring an issue to the Council's attention. We are owners of flat 2 in the property, and the proposed expansion would be above our flat. Over a year ago the freeholder, via his solicitor, asked us to re-word our lease such as to permit him to expand and build upon the property. We did not allow such modification or grant permission to develop, hence we question the freeholder's authority to proceed. Without explicit authority to develop, he does not have the right to do so under the provisions in the existing lease. It is apparent to us, and we now bring to the attention of the Council, that he is aware of this restriction by having requested that we amend our lease as a condition for a lease extension.
						We, therefore, veto the proposed re-development works in the context of our lease, as well as its breach of the concept of 'quiet enjoyment' of our property. Specifically:
						 noise would infringe upon the quiet ambiance of the house for a long period construction activity and scaffolding would infringe upon privacy for a long period equipment and building materials will be unsightly re-design and add-ons will alter the look of the house and may no longer be harmonious with the neighboring surroundings the creation of roof extensions, floors, dormers, etc. will infringe upon daylight/sunlight construction and subsequent corrections will be a time-consuming disturbance the installation of utilities to newly created flats, such as plumbing and electricity, has the potential to negatively affect our flat and the overall house, posing safety issues and inconvenience the structural stability of the house which is quite old may be at risk with the added weight, piping, plumbing, woodwork, etc.
						We prevail upon you to take all the above aspects into serious consideration – in the context that the proposed works are, in fact, restricted by our lease, as well as the way such construction would diminish well-being for all inhabitants. Please provide confirmation of receipt of this message, as well as notification as to further disposition. Respectfully, Prof. Raphael David, M.D. and Irene David, Ph.D. reendy@aol.com

					Printed on: 01/10/2014 09:05:19
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/5432/P	DARIUS FISHER	Ground Floor Flat 1 74 Crediton Hill London NW6 1HR	30/09/2014 20:13:33	 D14 20:13:33 OBJLETTE R To whom it may concern, I am writing to object to the application for the proposed work at 7-R Hill and to bring an issue to the Council's attention that my dear friends in Flat 2 also wrote t about on Sept 20th. I am the owner with my mother, for whom I have power of attorney, of F the proposed expansion would be above my friends', The Davids, flat 2. The wording of my I same as Flat 2 and it is my understanding that without explicit authority to develop from mys Flat 2, he does not have the right to do so under the provisions of the existing lease. I, therefore also veto the proposed re-development works in the context of my lease, as well a breach of the concept of 'quiet enjoyment' of our property. Specifically: noise would infringe upon the quiet ambiance of the house for a long period construction activity and scaffolding would infringe upon privacy for a long period equipment and building materials will be unsightly re-design and add-ons will alter the look of the house and may no longer be harmor the neighboring surroundings the creation of roof extensions, floors, dormers, etc. will infringe upon daylight/sun construction and subsequent corrections will be a time-consuming disturbance the installation of utilities to newly created flats, such as plumbing and electricity, potential to negatively affect our flat and the overall house, posing safety issues and inconvertion. 	To whom it may concern, I am writing to object to the application for the proposed work at 74 Crediton Hill and to bring an issue to the Council's attention that my dear friends in Flat 2 also wrote to you about on Sept 20th. I am the owner with my mother, for whom I have power of attorney, of Flat 1 and the proposed expansion would be above my friends', The Davids, flat 2. The wording of my lease is the same as Flat 2 and it is my understanding that without explicit authority to develop from myself and Flat 2, he does not have the right to do so under the provisions of the existing lease. I, therefore also veto the proposed re-development works in the context of my lease, as well as its breach of the concept of 'quiet enjoyment' of our property. Specifically: • noise would infringe upon the quiet ambiance of the house for a long period
					 equipment and building materials will be unsightly re-design and add-ons will alter the look of the house and may no longer be harmonious with the neighboring surroundings the creation of roof extensions, floors, dormers, etc. will infringe upon daylight/sunlight construction and subsequent corrections will be a time-consuming disturbance the installation of utilities to newly created flats, such as plumbing and electricity, has the potential to negatively affect our flat and the overall house, posing safety issues and inconvenience the structural stability of the house which is quite old may be at risk with the added weight,
			proposed works are, in fact, restricted by my lease, as well as the way such construction w		