

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/5236/T	Dieter Pevsner	5 Wildwood Grove London NW3 7HU	01/09/2014 10:29:34	COMMNT	<p>1. I am a resident and property owner in Wildwood Grove and a shareholder in Wildwood Grove Freehold Limited, Company No. 04200552, which owns the carriageway of Wildwood Grove and all the adjoining pavements and garden strips, as proved by Land Register Title No. is NGL814002 dated 05/02/2004.</p> <p>These trees stand on the Company's freehold land and are the property of the Company.</p> <p>I object most strongly to any permission for the applicants to remove our trees from our land.</p> <p>2. The application shows no third-party, independent evidence for the assertion that the trees are damaging Elmwood.</p> <p>3. The application refers to one tree. There are two trees.</p> <p>4. The trees are in thee Conservation Area and add to the greenness and attraction of the area.</p> <p>6. For these reasons I believe the application is invalid, and must be rejected.</p>
2014/5236/T	P.Mary Ogle	16 Wildwood Road, London NW11 6TB	31/08/2014 17:49:19	COMMEMP ER	<p>I write to object strongly to the proposal that permission be given to fell a sycamore tree in Wildwood Grove, NW3. There are indeed two sycamore trees, although only one is mentioned in the planning application. These two trees are in a conservation area and are not in the ownership of the applicant. The trees are located in the freehold area known as Wildwood Grove which is owned by a company "Wildwood Grove Freehold Limited". Furthermore, these two trees are already under the protection of a tree preservation order, "TPO Reference C574 2006".</p> <p>Both trees contribute significantly to the semi-rural ambience of Wildwood Grove and this illegal application made by a person (or persons) who have no legitimate claim to these sycamore trees which are in Wildwood Grove and not (as is implied in the planning application) in the Rear Garden of Elmwood.</p> <p>This misleading application to fell a healthy tree (or ? trees) which contribute much to the ambience of the environment and are not owned by the applicants should be refused.</p> <p>I myself am owner of one of the houses (number 13) in the terrace "Wildwood Grove" and as a freeholder am also a shareholder in the company "Wildwood Grove Freehold Limited".</p>
2014/5236/T	Ruth Hajioff	6 Wildwood Grove North End NW3 7HU	30/08/2014 08:59:01	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to the removal of these two sycamore trees. They are on land belonging to Wildwood Grove not to Elmwood. The owners after past correspondence agreed with Wildwood Grove Freehold Ltd to advise us first of any initiative to trim the trees after having done so in the past without permission. I believe they are protected.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/5236/T	dick carruthers	8 wildwood grove	29/08/2014 12:21:11	OBJ	<p>I OBJECT TO THIS APPLICATION IN THE STRONGEST POSSIBLE TERMS.</p> <p>please see below reasons for this objection , any one of which may suffice on its own , but together make a compelling case.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The is not one tree but two. The application clearly states 1 x sycamore. The " tree plans" contractors document also lists them as 1 tree and has a simple drawing somewhat ambiguously showing two. I believe this is deliberately ambiguous. The intention behind the application is clearly to cut down both trees. This is disingenuous at best and at worst fraudulent. 2. These trees are NOT owned by Elmwood ; they are on the land of and the property of Wildwood Grove Freehold Limited. The council's own map showing borders of Elmwood also clearly bear this out. The applicants are perfectly aware of this and they are perfectly aware that the company does not wish and would not wish these to be cut down; there have been disputes in the past. The comment on the "tree plans" form that "ownership [is] uncertain" is plain wrong , once again disingenuous at best , and itself inconsistent with the application which falsely claims the applicant is the owner of the trees. 3. The trees are under a tree preservation order - Reference C574 2006, (which also clearly states : "2 x Sycamore on land at or adjacent") Surely this is specifically in place to stop this kind of unilateral vandalism ? 4. Damage to property is also claimed but no evidence of damage has been submitted. Further, the comments on the contractors form "too close "honeydew" " do not make particular sense or sufficiently constitute grounds for felling. 5. Despite written assurances sent to Wildwood Grove Freehold Ltd, in 2011, that the company would be informed of any intended action with regards to these trees - no such information has been received. This may only be a matter of courtesy but with the above highlighted ambiguities and deliberate inaccuracies this application has every hallmark of skulduggery. 6. The trees are beautiful and form a pleasing aspect, contributing significantly to the desirable nature, ambiance and woodland character of Wildwood Grove.
2014/5236/T	karen Smith	8 wildwood grove	29/08/2014 12:49:05	OBJ	<p>These works cannot happen. the land is a private road and the trees have a preservatiom order. I object in the strongest possible terms to this work in any way shape or form.</p>
2014/5236/T	Kevin O'Sullivan	1 Wildwood Grove London NW3 7HU.	01/09/2014 15:38:37	COMMNT	<p>As the owner of I Wildwood Grove, NW3 7HU, I would like to object in the strongest possible terms to the application by the residents of Elmwood to destroy destroy the sycamore tree/trees on our approach road. Chopping the tree/ trees down would significantly spoil our view and, in general, make the approach road much uglier. No-one in Wildwood Grove wants this. Especially, those of us who live at Number 1. I trust our objections will be prevent this unnecessary vandalism to two attractive and harmless trees.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/5236/T	Dick Carruthers	8 wildwood grove	01/09/2014 16:28:07	PETITNOBJ E	We of WILDWOOD GROVE FREEHOLD LTD. write as the owners of the land and the trees. We hereby object wholly to this application and petition that it be thrown out. Further, that the applicant(s) be censured for claiming these trees belong to them when they belong to our company ; for claiming this to be one tree when it is manifestly and demonstratedly two trees; for failing to make any attempt whatsoever to communicate with the trees rightful owners about their intentions, despite verbal and written assurances that this would be the case, despite every reasonable and sensible guide regarding the pruning , lopping and maintenance of trees stating this should be one's first move, and despite these trees being a known point of tension.
2014/5236/T	Kevin O'Sullivan	1 Wildwood Grove London NW3 7HU.	01/09/2014 15:38:36	COMMNT	As the owner of I Wildwood Grove, NW3 7HU, I would like to object in the strongest possible terms to the application by the residents of Elmwood to destroy destroy the sycamore tree/trees on our approach road. Chopping the tree/ trees down would significantly spoil our view and, in general, make the approach road much uglier. No-one in Wildwood Grove wants this. Especially, those of us who live at Number 1. I trust our objections will be prevent this unnecessary vandalism to two attractive and harmless trees.
2014/5236/T	Kevin O'Sullivan	1 Wildwood Grove London NW3 7HU.	01/09/2014 15:38:15	COMMNT	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/5236/T	dick carruthers	8 wildwood Grove	01/09/2014 17:29:41	JUST	<p>We believe this application to be entirely Invalid since the applicants are not the owners of the trees, nor are the trees in the applicants garden.</p> <p>We further object to the substance of this application since no proof or justification has been offered or provided for a need to fell these trees. There is no "Right To Light" since the trees are under a TPO, and the trees have not become dangerous.</p> <p>We refer you to TPO C574, and to https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/244528/2127793.pdf</p> <p>Protected trees A guide to tree preservation procedures</p> <p>which states : "It is important to clearly specify 2 the work you want done and provide information to support your case (such as professional advice on the health of the tree and, in cases of alleged subsidence, professional evidence on the soil, the structure affected and the tree). "</p> <p>the claim in the contractors submission on the condition of the tree(s) " causing structural problems" is wholly unsubstantiated.</p> <p>WildwoodGrove Freehold Ltd.</p>
2014/5236/T	Kevin O'Sullivan	1 Wildwood Grove London NW3 7HU.	01/09/2014 15:38:37	COMMNT	<p>As the owner of 1 Wildwood Grove, NW3 7HU, I would like to object in the strongest possible terms to the application by the residents of Elmwood to destroy destroy the sycamore tree/trees on our approach road. Chopping the tree/ trees down would significantly spoil our view and, in general, make the approach road much uglier. No-one in Wildwood Grove wants this. Especially, those of us who live at Number 1. I trust our objections will be prevent this unnecessary vandalism to two attractive and harmless trees.</p>
2014/5236/T	Warren Adams	3 Wildwood Grove	29/08/2014 17:26:52	OBJ	<p>I object this application because it is quite dishonest and based on wholly untrue information. There are 2 trees in that particular spot, they have never caused any damage to Elmwood property and they sit on the land of Wildwood Grove Ltd. I have, as the chairman, again and again notified them that this area and any plants growing on this bit of land does not belong to them. I have even shown the people that live in that building physical drawings showing the boundaries that state the above. They continue to decline the obvious nature of this and continue to try to act as if it is part of their property. Their claims are spurious and I must insist and hope that the council will take note on file that these trees are constantly at threat from their dishonest claims and wishes.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your kind attention.</p> <p>Yours</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/5236/T	Caroline davies	7wildwood grove London nw37hu	31/08/2014 18:36:50	INT	As a Resident of wildwood grove I object to the tree -cutting applied for . Firstly these trees form part of the natural woodland ambience of our road and have historical and enviro mental value to us as residents of the road. cutting them will spoil our outlook and the look of the entrance to wildwood grove . also only the residents of number 1 have been notified directly about this application so correct consultation does not appear to have taken place.
2014/5236/T	Jill Adams	2 Wildwood Grove	29/08/2014 17:28:49	OBJ	I am so very sad to hear that people are proposing to cut our beautiful trees down. I understand they are part of Wildwood Grove land and not even their trees! They are not harming anybody or anybody's properties; I therefore disagree with this planning permission to cut them down. Yours,
2014/5236/T	Naomi Donne	10 Wildwood Grove London NW3 7HU	30/08/2014 08:41:11	OBJ	I am shocked that the destruction of a healthy sycamore tree is even being considered by Camden. I can see no reason for this at all. The sycamore trees add a dimension of natural beauty to a street that is a source of great pleasure to not just the residents but the thousands of people who access the heath by this route. In addition to this I am not even sure that the residents of Elmwood have a right to consider the destruction of the sycamore as I believe that the trees are on land that is not even theirs. When did it become acceptable to consider destroying entire healthy trees just to gain some light? I object to the destruction of these trees in the strongest possible way. It's consideration is heartbreaking.