

[REDACTED]

From: Nicholas Self <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 29 March 2014 11:58
To: Planning
Subject: Objection: 2014/1528/P

Categories: Orange Category

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am a young home-owner and resident in Queen Alexandra Mansions. I have just been made aware of the planning application, in retrospect, to open a massage parlour at 106 Judd Street. If I am not too late, I want to add my voice in the strongest terms to object against this planning application. Put briefly, I believe Camden Council should be following the example of the regeneration at King's Cross and the plans to regenerate Euston. It should not be allowing thinly-veiled - in fact, obvious support for the undesirable activities that will both take place in the new massage parlour, and that will undoubtedly follow as a consequence of its approval. Considering there is a Sauna/massage parlour a few doors down, it is vital that the Council does not allow a cluster of parlours to spring up.

Many thanks,

Nicholas Self

165 Queen Alexandra Mansions

--

Nick Self

[REDACTED]

From: Chris Self <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 29 March 2014 12:58
To: Nicholas Self; Planning
Subject: RE: Objection: 2014/1528/P - logged seyi 8.4

Categories: Orange Category

Dear Sirs

I would like to echo Nicholas's observations below. The Kings Cross area has been known for many years as an undesirable area harbouring exactly this kind of establishment and increasing the frequency of undesirables in the area. Only in recent years has the area managed to start improving its image, with the Kings Cross regeneration etc, and the allowance of such establishments will surely only be a step backwards.

Kind regards

Christopher Self

From: [Nicholas Self](#)
Sent: 29/03/2014 11:57
To: planning@camden.gov.uk
Subject: Objection: 2014/1528/P

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am a young home-owner and resident in Queen Alexandra Mansions. I have just been made aware of the planning application, in retrospect, to open a massage parlour at 106 Judd Street. If I am not too late, I want to add my voice in the strongest terms to object against this planning application. Put briefly, I believe Camden Council should be following the example of the regeneration at King's Cross and the plans to regenerate Euston. It should not be allowing thinly-veiled - in fact, obvious support for the undesirable activities that will both take place in the new massage parlour, and that will undoubtedly follow as a consequence of its approval. Considering there is a Sauna/massage parlour a few doors down, it is vital that the Council does not allow a cluster of parlours to spring up.

Many thanks,

Nicholas Self

165 Queen Alexandra Mansions

--

Nick Self

From: feedback@camden.gov.uk
Sent: 31 March 2014 10:51
To: Planning
Subject: Comments on a current Planning Application
Attachments: 9305656.htm; 9305656.xml; 9305656.pdf

Categories: Orange Category

PLANNING APPLICATION DETAILS

Year: 2014

Number: 1528

Letter: P

Planning application address: 106 Judd Street

Title: Mr.

Your First Name: Bipin

Initial:

Last Name: Kotecha

Organisation:

Comment Type: Object

Postcode: WC1H 9DW

Address line 1: Flat 123Queen Alexandra MansionsTonbridge Street Address line 2: LONDON

Address line 3:

Postcode: WC1H 9DW

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Confirm e-mail: [REDACTED]

Contact number: [REDACTED]

Your comments on the planning application: I object to the this application due to the following:

I have lived in the area for nearly 30 years and have seen major positive transformations. The massage parlour is a throw back to the (bad) old days which I had hoped were behind us. In the immediate area to the massage parlour we have a primary school, social housing, a children's park, a place of worship, social centres, etc. The Bamboo Massage Parlour is not a low profile establishment blending into the immediate area and the information is that they are advertising to bring in customers from outside the area.

Sadly does this not mean that we would be going back to the old days where certain kinds of activity were pervasive in the area and residents were forever reliant on the authorities to help manage the situation? This is a very real risk given our proximity to major transport hubs. If planning is granted then more are sure to follow. The area will be transformed again but not for the better for the residents.

IF YOU WISH TO UPLOAD A FILE CONTAINING YOUR COMMENTS THEN USE THE LINK BELOW

-

No files attached

ABOUT THIS FORM

Issued by: Camden Council
Customer feedback and enquiries
Camden Town Hall
Judd Street
London WC1H 9JE
Form reference: 9305656

[REDACTED]

From: Daphne Davies - [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 March 2014 23:07
To: Planning
Cc: Hai, Abdul (Councillor)
Subject: Bamboo Massage Parlour = 106 Judd Street

Categories: Orange Category

Dear Planning Department,

As a resident of Queen Alexandra Mansions I am writing to object to the planning application by Meghray Properties for the use of a massage parlour at 106 Judd Street,

I passed 106 Judd Street on my way home this evening at about 9.30. I was amazed to see that the 'massage parlour' which was still open was lite up with a bright purple light and had a male customer inside. It is obvious that this is going to be a brothel, as it reminded me of 'shops' one sees in Brussels or in Amsterdam where women used to sit in the windows lite up by red or purple lights.

I often have my grand daughter (aged 4) to stay, and as I live in a flat, we go to the small park on the corner of Cromer Street. I do not want my grand daughter to pass what is clearly going to be a brothel every time we go to the playground, nor to sit next door to it, if we take a coffee at the Java cafe.

I am also surprised that there is a possibility of having a brothel so near a primary school in Argyll Street, so children going to and from school will have to pass it.

So much work has been done by the council to successfully clean up the area and rid it of its reputation as a red light district. Given the upmarket developments going on behind Kings Cross Station, and the measures to make this area into a second 'Kensington', allowing a brothel (to be followed no doubt by applications for similar parlours) in this area will sully the areas reputation.

For all these reasons I am objecting very strongly to the change of use proposed.

Daphne Davies
[REDACTED]

Skype: daphne.davies