From:
 McDonald, Neil

 Sent:
 08 May 2014 15:07

To: Planning

Subject: RE: 2014/2247/P G1 on Granary Square Minor Revisions

Importance: High

Can I ask for this to be prioritised as this application is being referred to Members Briefing on Monday.

Thanks

Neil McDonald

Principal Planning Officer Development Management

Telephone: 020 7974 2061

From: Wito, Alan Sent: 02 May 2014 16:46 To: Planning Cc: McDonald, Neil

Subject: FW: 2014/2247/P G1 on Granary Square Minor Revisions

Please log as an objection

Alan Wito

Senior Planner (Conservation)

Telephone: 020 7974 6392

From: Anthony Richardson Sent: 02 May 2014 16:24

To: McDonald, Neil

Cc: Wito, Alan; Del Brenner; Ian Shacklock; Malcolm T. Tucker; Peter Darley; Will Brimmer; Will Fulford

Subject: 2014/2247/P G1 on Granary Square Minor Revisions

Our Committee objects to the application above .

- 1) It is introduced as 'minor amendments ' but is in fact ,a major revision of the original proposal .
- 2) We consider that the original in-situ concrete face was more appropriate to the industrial setting of the building ,better relating to & matching the structure of the Boulevard bridge .

The brick facing in a special thin brick ,intended to lighten the structure will look

- mannered and artificial in the context of an area of yellow and purple stock bricks .
- 3) The proposed amendments show brick paving at the foot of the external stairs onto the towpath ,beyond the edge of the towpath line. This will damage the line of the path and paving should be drawn back so as not to break this line
- The management Plan for the Canal Conservation Area suggests that openings onto the canal space should be restricted and related to the canal boundary walls.

The revised proposals extend the over-sail of the building beyond the line of the towpath and the building whilst facing Granary Square successfully, will damage the Conservation Area.

- 5) A part of the Lower Ground Floor is to be lowered to provide room for the turntable . We applaud this . The turntable is an important part of the site's heritage and was part of the Outline proposal.
 - Its reinstatement should not be regarded as possible or optional as implied by the submission Page 5.
- We consider that it is unacceptable to consent a scheme which has not taken steps before submission to ensure that this vital aspect of the project can be achieved . Regards

Anthony Richardson Chair the Regents Canal CAAC

This message may contain information which is confidential and is intended for the addressee only. If you receive the in error, please delete the material from your computer and/or entevolt. Do not disaction its contents to others. ARP Architects accepts no responsibility or liability for post-dispatch changes or infection by computer viruses, which may result from this document transmittal.

Please consider the environment before printing this emall.