



For the attention of Niall Sheehan.

Dear Niall,

We are writing on behalf of the Camden Town CAAC.

We object to this application for the following reasons:

The drawings are very poor produced by an interior designer rather than an architect or surveyor. They are full of inconsistencies, for example we could not find any reconfiguration of down pipes to the rear or any differences in design for the front windows on the proposed third floor plan. This house is a listed building of the 1840s. The replacement window and doors on the proposed rear elevation have been given square glazing panes which are not in keeping with buildings of this period.

The existing fenestration on the front top floor should not be changed to a traditional pattern if the applicant wishes to retain a row of windows. There is no precedent for a row of square-paned windows in a house of this type. Consequently we feel that any replacement should be modern in execution and materials - particularly as it is set back behind a terrace. If, however, the applicant wishes to have a more traditional approach, then a mansard roof with sash dormers would be more appropriate.

Yours sincerely,

Gordon Macqueen & Margaret Richardson, Co-Chairs of the Camden Town CAAC,  
31 Oval Road,  
London NW1 7EA